

Conceptualization of value creation process in brand alliances: Static and dynamic view

Mantiaba Coulibaly, Loïc Sauvée

▶ To cite this version:

Mantiaba Coulibaly, Loïc Sauvée. Conceptualization of value creation process in brand alliances: Static and dynamic view. 4 th Conference on Business Market Management, Copenhagen Business School, Mar 2009, Copenhagen, Denmark. hal-04313148

HAL Id: hal-04313148 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-04313148v1

Submitted on 29 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mantiaba COULIBALY and Loïc SAUVEE Conceptualization of value creation process in brand alliances: Static and dynamic view

In this communication, we conceptualize the value creation process in brand alliances through an approach both static and dynamic. We analyse this process in the food market.

We show that some components explain the value creation process in brand alliances: context, actors, objectives, behaviors, resources, governance mechanisms. The impact of these components depends on the nature of organizations and the market.

Mantiaba COULIBALY

PhD Student and Assistant Professor
Université Paris-Dauphine, Research Center DRM-CREPA, UMR CNRS 7088, France
Address: Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris cedex 16
E-mail: coulmanti@yahoo.fr

Loïc SAUVEE

Professor of Marketing
LaSalle Beauvais Polytechnic Institute
and Université Paris-Dauphine, Research Center DRM-CREPA, UMR CNRS 7088, France
Address: LaSalle Beauvais Polytechnic Institute, 19 rue Pierre-Waguet, BP 30313, 60026 Beauvais Cedex, France
Email: loic.sauvee@lasalle-beauvais.fr

Introduction

To present a static approach of the value creation process, we mobilize literature on brand alliances as well as strategic alliances. We identify some components which influence value for the consumers and the organizations in brand alliances: context, actors (their objectives and behaviors), resources and governance mechanisms. Also, we show that these components can have negatives impacts on brand alliances.

We also present a dynamic approach of value creation in brand alliances. We show that the evolution of some organizational factors impact value creation in brand alliances: internal factors such as objectives and resources of actors, internal tensions (Das and Teng, 2000) and governance mechanisms.

With a qualitative methodology, we use case studies (Yin, 2003; Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007) to apply the value creation process to two types of alliances in the food market: the alliance between a private certification brand and a private brand of banana, and the alliance between an ingredient food brand and a dietary food supplement brand.

Firstly, we analyse the value creation process in brand alliances with a static approach. Secondly, we explain a dynamic view of value creation in brand alliances. Finally, we apply the static and dynamic approach to the case studies and we compare the results.

1. A Static approach of value creation in brand alliances

We use research on brand alliances and strategic alliances to identify components which can explain value creation in brand alliances.

- Context of brand alliances

The context of alliances represents an external and internal environment of the alliances (Kumar and Nti, 1998). An external environment explains the economical, social and legal situation of alliance. An internal environment concerns for example communication on a product or strategy of commercialization adopted by organizations in alliance. According to Kumar and Nti (1998: 364), the internal and external environment can involve negative impacts on the relationship between organizations in alliance.

- Actors in brand alliances

Actors in brand alliances represent organizations which combine their brands and partners of these organizations (Golan, Kuchler and Krissoff, 2007). In the food market owners of brands exchange with their direct partners (producers, distributors, organization of control) and their indirect partners (government, public and private institutions). They note that all the organizations in brand alliances exchange activities and resources (Håkansson and Senhota, 1995) to create value for consumers and finally for organizations.

- Actors'objectives, their resources and behaviors in brand alliances

In brand alliances, actors (organizations) develop different (individual) objectives and/or common objectives. The objectives can be strategic: to realize benefit, to have legitimacy, and to reduce costs (Abratt and Motlana, 2002; Prince and Davies, 1999). The objectives can concern the brand image and the price of the product which represents the brands (Leuthesser and al. 2003).

In addition, organizations combine tangible resources such as cash flow, raw material, etc. and intangible resources for example brand, reputation, knowledge, etc. (Williamson, 1990; Gilbert and Strebel, 1987). So resources permit to create value for consumers (quality of product toward the brand) and organizations (reputation, benefit).

But, retention of resources involves dependence between organizations (Pfeffer et Salancik, 1978). And some organizations develop opportunistic behaviors because they want to improve rapidly their profit without other partners in the alliance (Williamson, 1990; 2002).

Using governance mechanisms in brand alliances

Previous research on inter organizational relationship (IOR) and strategic alliances show that we can have formal and informal governance mechanisms in alliances. We have formal mechanisms such as contracts between actors in alliance (Williamson, 1990), social and environmental norms, shared knowledge (Doz, 1996) and coordination of activities (Mintzberg, 1982). We have informal mechanisms such as trust and informal agreement between organizations. All these mechanisms allow value creation for consumers (best image of product which represents the brands of alliance) and organizations (good relationship, development of activities and sales). However, governance mechanisms involve some negative impacts in alliance: costs to negotiate contracts, difficulties to respect norms, to collaborate, etc.

From these studies, we show that the context of alliance, the actors (organizations) which combine their brands in alliance and their partners), the objectives, the behaviors and resources of actors, and the governance mechanisms facilitate value creation in brand alliances. These components create value for consumers toward quality of product and trust of the brand. Then the components create value for organizations which combine their brands and their partners: they can increase benefit, allow access to new resources, improve trust with partners, have new knowledge, competitive advantage. However, organizations must support costs of contracts, management of relationship, respect of rules, etc.

2. A dynamic approach of value creation in brand alliances

We consider works on evolution of strategic alliances (Ring and Van de Ven, 1994; Das and Teng, 2000; Doz, 1996) to explain value creation in brand alliances on the long term.

Ring and Van de Ven (1994: 97) inform us on the steps of the evolution process of the relationship between organizations in alliance: negotiations (formal and informal negotiations), commitments (formal and informal contracts), and assessments and execution of commitments.

According to Doz (1996: 55), evolution of an alliance is impacted by initial conditions such as task definition, partners' routines, expectations of performance and behavior, etc.

Other researches give us information on the existence of contradictory elements during the evolution of an alliance. Indeed, Das and Teng (2000: 84-88) show that in alliances application of some decisions and activities cause modification of flexibility to rigidity. Then cooperation can be opposed to competition and in strategic orientation, short term can be opposed to long term.

From these researches we note importance of governance mechanisms (formal and informal), internal conditions (such as resources, objectives, their behavior) and internal tensions (degree of flexibility, degree of cooperation and strategic orientation) in the evolution of brand alliances. All of these organizational factors can impact positively or negatively on the value created in brand alliances. Finally interaction between actors in the long term can modify governance relationship in a market (Ritter, 2007).

3. Application of the value creation process to case studies in a food market

We use a qualitative approach applying case study protocol (Yin, 2003; Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007) to present a static and dynamic approach of the value creation process in brand alliances in the food market. We worked on types of brand alliances in two markets during three years (Coulibaly, 2008; Sauvée and Coulibaly, 2008).

The first case study on a fair trade market concerns an alliance between a private certification brand: "Fairtrade" of Max Havelaar association and a private brand of banana: "Oké" of Agrofair's company.

The second alliance on dietary food supplements market relies on an alliance between a private ingredient brand "Svétol" of Berkem's company and a private dietary food supplement brand "ADIP'LIGHT" of Lierac's company.

To collect data, we conducted 26 semi-directive face to face interviews (14 in the fair trade market and 12 in the dietary food supplements market) with executive managers, sales directors of the organizations which join their brand and their partners. We sent 6 written questionnaires for additional details. We completed these data with annual reports, secondary data on markets and firms. We analysed data with the help of "QSR Nvivo 8.0" software.

Case study 1



We present in table 1 and table 2 data collected in a static approach and a dynamic approach.

Table 1: Static approach of value creation process in food market

Case study 1: alliance between a certification brand and private brand of banana	Case study 2: alliance between a ingredient brand and a dietary food supplement brand	
External environment	External environment	
Fair trade market:	Dietary food supplements market:	
- Regulated market to reduce inequality between	- Regulated market to control and preserve health of	
producers in developing countries and industrial in		
	consumers.	
developed countries.	- Increasing of dietary food supplement particularly for	
- A high consumption of fair trade banana.	thinness.	
Internal environment	Internal environment	
Promotion of two types of brand:"Fairtrade" and "Oké"	Promotion of two types of brand: "Svétol" and	
on fair trade bananas "oké fairtrade".	"ADIP'LIGHT" on dietary food supplements	
	"ADIP'LIGHT".	
Owners of brand	Owners of brand	
Company Agrofair: importer of bananas.	Company Berkem: industry of food ingredients.	
Company Max Havelaar: association which promote	Company Lierac: industry of dietary food supplements.	
marketing of fair-trade products.	Direct partners of Berkem and Lierac	
Direct partners of Agrofair and Max Havelaar	Suppliers of raw material to produce ingredients,	
Producers, Ripeners, distributors, certification.	distributors of ingredients.	
organization (FLO : Fairtrade Labelling Organization),	Indirect partners of Berkem and Lierac	
consumers	Research center, hospitals.	
Indirect partners of Agrofair and Max Havelaar		
Voluntary, government.		
Common objectives of organizations in the alliance:	Common objectives of organizations in alliance	
- To ensure equality between organizations which	- To permit women to lose weight using a dietary food	
exchange in a fair-trade market to sell bananas labeling	supplement "ADIP'LIGHT" containing ingredient	
"oké fair-trade".	"Svétol".	
- To reduce problem of producers who produce bananas.	- Increasing of benefit.	
- To protect environment.	Behavior	
Behavior	Opportunism of organizations in exchange.	
Opportunism of organizations in exchange.		
Resources	Resources	
- Financial resources (subsidies).	- Clinical studies.	
- Notoriety of "Fairtrade" brand.	- Communication in scientific journal on the food market.	
- Strategy of communication, schedule of conditions.	Governance mechanisms in alliance	
Governance mechanisms in alliance	Formal mechanism	
Formal mechanism	- Confidential agreement.	
- Contracts between Agrofair and Max Havelaar : Max	- Respect of socials and environment norms in a dietary	
Havelaar give to Agrofair its agreement to put a fair-trade	food supplements market.	
brand on the banana and Agrofair pay royalty to Max	- Exchange of Knowledge concerning specification of	
Havelaar.	final product (dietary food supplement).	
- FLO verify respect of standard in fair trade market by all		
the actors: socials and environment norms.		
Cooperation at pagetiation between examinations in		
- Cooperation et negotiation between organizations in		
applying standard and produce knowledge.		
applying standard and produce knowledge.		
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism	Value creation in brand alliances	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar.	Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value:	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances		
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value:	Consumer value:	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT":	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value:	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol",	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit,	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value:	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries.	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase.	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value - Notoriety, reputation, brand image of fair trade.	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value - Scientific team work in Berkem and Lierac: doctors,	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value - Notoriety, reputation, brand image of fair trade Qualified producers: they know process of production.	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value - Scientific team work in Berkem and Lierac: doctors, engineers.	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value - Notoriety, reputation, brand image of fair trade Qualified producers: they know process of production Communication around a fair trade concept.	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value - Scientific team work in Berkem and Lierac: doctors, engineers. Negatives consequences in brand alliances	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value - Notoriety, reputation, brand image of fair trade Qualified producers: they know process of production Communication around a fair trade concept Improvement of live conditions of producers.	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value - Scientific team work in Berkem and Lierac: doctors, engineers. Negatives consequences in brand alliances - Negotiation costs of contracts, constraints to respect	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value - Notoriety, reputation, brand image of fair trade Qualified producers: they know process of production Communication around a fair trade concept.	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value - Scientific team work in Berkem and Lierac: doctors, engineers. Negatives consequences in brand alliances	
applying standard and produce knowledge. Informal mechanism - Trust between Agrofair and Max Havelaar. Value creation in brand alliances Consumer value: - Quality of fair trade banana "Oké-fairtrade": respect of environment rules, security, guaranty. Financial value: - Decrease of production costs, increase of benefit, investment in socials projects in developing countries. Competitive value - Notoriety, reputation, brand image of fair trade Qualified producers: they know process of production Communication around a fair trade concept Improvement of live conditions of producers. Negative consequences in brand alliances	Consumer value: - Quality of dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT": The product contains a thinness ingredient "Svétol", respects environment rules. Financial value: - Benefit of Berkem and Lierac increase. Competitive value - Scientific team work in Berkem and Lierac: doctorsengineers. Negatives consequences in brand alliances - Negotiation costs of contracts, constraints to respect social and environment rules.	

Table 2: Dynamic approach of value creation process in food market

		Case study 1: alliance between a certification brand and private brand of banana	Case study 2: alliance between an ingredient brand and a dietary food supplement brand
Modifications in internal factors	Resources	- Common communication of Agrofair and Max Havelaar to justify the price of « oké fairtrade » banana to the final consumer: write actions conducting by organizations to improve producers conditions, distribution of benefit between actors; - Augmentation des subventions	- To renew clinical studies and communication in scientific review
	Actors' objectives and behaviors	Max Havelaar encourage companies to invest in a fair trade market Common objectives : maintain alliance during a long time	No information
Modifications on internal tensions	Degree of flexibility: flexibility versus	Certification of Agrofair by the control organization / control of the Agrofair's activities by certification organization, payment of royalty by Agrofair.	No information
	Degree of cooperation: Cooperation versus competition	Common communication to promote banana "Oké faitrade" / potential competitors on the fair trade market to sell banana	Common communication on an ingredient "Svétol " in a dietary food supplement "ADIP'LIGHT " / communicate on others ingredients included in "ADIP'LIGHT"
	Strategic orientation: short term versus long term	Respect of producers's conditions, equality in the distribution of benefit between actors / Respect of the price of banana in the fair trade market	Using an ingredient "Svétol" to produce a dietary food supplement " ADIPT'LIGHT" /to replace a "Svétol" by other ingredient
Modifications in governance mechanisms	Formal mechanisms	- Reinforcement of standards, rules and norms - Increasing of appointments and exchanges between actors - Participation of producers at the decisions in fair trade market	No information
	Informal mechanisms	- Reinforcement of trust between actors	No information

Conclusion

From the case studies in the food market, we confirm contribution of the literature on brand alliances and strategic alliances concerning impact of context of alliance, common objectives of actors, resources, behaviors and governance mechanisms on value creation in brand alliances. We note also existing of difficulties when organizations join their brands.

However, we note difference between objectives in the two case studies. Indeed in a fair trade market the main objective is to promote an equal trade of banana between developing countries and developed countries. In a dietary food supplement market, the main objective is to produce financial result. We note also the difference between governance mechanisms and modifications in resources, internal tensions, mechanisms during evolution of the alliance: in the case study 2, we don't identify informal mechanisms and modification

We conclude that value creation in brand alliances depend of factors such as nature of organization (commercial or non commercial) and the aspect of market (rules). These factors influence objectives, behavior of actors, exploitation of resources and governance mechanisms and finally value for the consumers and organizations.

So we advice managers to anticipate partners' behaviors in an alliance, to follow the evolution of environmental norms, to work and to exchange strategic information with the marketing board of the partners.

We also note two main limits in this communication. Firstly we apply a process of value creation only in two case studies and on the food market. Secondly we study dynamics on brand alliances only during three years.

We think that in future researches, it is possible to compare the value creation process in brand alliances in the food market and the industrial market.

References

Abratt, R., Motlana. P. (2002): "Managing co-branding strategies: global brands into local markets", *Business Horizons*, Vol. 45, N°5, september-october, pp. 43-50.

Coulibaly, M., (2008): Brand alliances and value creation: a network approach, An analytical framework tested on the food market, Fruit and Vegetable Summit, May 27-30, UNESCO, Paris.

Das T. K., Teng B. (2000): Instabilities of Strategic Alliances: An Internal Tensions Perspective, *Organization Science*, Vol. 11, N°1, pp. 77-101.

Doz, Y.L., (1996): the evolution of cooperation in strategic alliances: initial conditions or learning processes? *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol.17, Summer 96, Special issue, pp. 55-83.

Eisenhardt, K.M., Graebner, M.E., (2007): THEORY BUILDING FROM CASES: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol.50, N°1, February, p 25-32.

Gilbert, X., Strebel, P. (1987): Strategies to Outpace the Competition, *The Journal of Business Strategy*, Vol. 9, N°1, pp. 28-36.

Golan, E., Kuchler, F., Krissoff, B., (2007): Do Food Labels Make a Difference? . . . Sometimes, Contributing Eds., USDA, *Economic Research Service*, Vol. 5, Issue 5, November.

Håkansson H., Snehota I., (1995): Developing relationships in business networks, London and New York, Routledge.

Kumar, R., Nti, K.O., (1998): Differential Learning and Interaction in Alliance Dynamics: A process and Outcome Discrepancy Model, *Organization Science*, Vol. 9, N°3, pp. 356-366.

Leuthesser, L., Kholi, C., Suri, R., (2003): 2 + 2 = 5? A framework for using co-branding to leverage a brand, *Brand Management*, Vol. 2, N°1, september, pp. 35-47.

Mintzberg, H., (1976): The structuring of organizations, Englewood Cliffs N.J., Prentice Hall, trad. fr.(1982), Structure et dynamique des organisations, Editions d'Organisation.

Pfeffer, J., Salancik.G., (1978): The External control of organizations : a resource dependence perspective, New York, Harper and Row.

Prince, P., Davies, M. (1999): "Examining the longevite of new agency accounts: A comparative study of U.S. advertising experiences", *Journal of Avertising*, Vol., 28, N°4, pp. 75-89.

Ring, P., Van De Ven, A.H., (1994): Developmental processes of cooperative interorganizational relationships, *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 19, N°1, pp. 90-118.

Ritter T. (2007): "A framework for analyzing relationship governance", Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing, vol. 22, pp 196-201.

Sauvée, L., Coulibaly, M., (2008): The Interorganizational Dynamics of Brand Alliances, The 24th IMP Conference, Uppsala, Sweden, 4th-6th September.

Williamson, O. E., (2002): The Theory of the Firm as a Governance Structure: From Choice to Contract, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Summer 16, pp.171-195.

Williamson, O.E., (1990): A comparison of alternative approaches to economic organization, *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, Vol. 146, $N^{\circ}1$, pp. 61-71.

Yin, R.K., (2003): Case Study Research, 3rd ed, Sage Publications.