

# Morphological traits, niche-environment interaction and temporal changes in diatoms

Loïck Kléparski, Grégory Beaugrand, Martin Edwards, François Schmitt,

Richard Kirby, Elsa Breton, François Gevaert, Emeline Maniez

### ► To cite this version:

Loïck Kléparski, Grégory Beaugrand, Martin Edwards, François Schmitt, Richard Kirby, et al.. Morphological traits, niche-environment interaction and temporal changes in diatoms. Progress in Oceanography, 2022, 201, pp.102747. 10.1016/j.pocean.2022.102747. hal-04288026

## HAL Id: hal-04288026 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-04288026

Submitted on 15 Nov 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Morphological traits, niche-environment interaction and temporal
2	changes in diatoms
3	Loïck Kléparski <sup>1, 2*</sup> , Grégory Beaugrand <sup>1*</sup> , Martin Edwards <sup>3, 4</sup>
4	François G. Schmitt <sup>1</sup> , Richard R. Kirby <sup>5</sup> , Elsa Breton <sup>1</sup> , François Gevaert <sup>1</sup> , Emeline Maniez <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, CNRS, Univ. Lille, UMR 8187 - LOG - Laboratoire d'Océanologie et de
7	Géosciences, F-62930 Wimereux, France
8	<sup>2</sup> Marine Biological Association, Citadel Hill, Plymouth PL1 2PB, United Kingdom.
9	<sup>3</sup> Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, Plymouth, PL13DH, United Kingdom.
10	<sup>4</sup> University of Plymouth, School of Biological and Marine Sciences, Drake Circus, Plymouth, United
11	Kingdom.
12	<sup>5</sup> The Secchi Disk Foundation, Kiln Cottage, Gnaton, Yealmpton, PL8 2HU, United Kingdom.
13	* corresponding authors: <a href="mailto:lock.kleparski@hotmail.fr">lock.kleparski@hotmail.fr</a>
14	
15	Authors' contributions: L.K and G.B designed the study. L.K, G.B and E.M performed the analyses. L.K
16	and G.B wrote the first draft and L.K, G.B, M.E, F.S, R.K, E.B, F.G and E.M discussed the results.
17	
18	Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.
19	
20	Keywords: annual diatom succession, cell elongation, ecological niche, morphological traits,
21	phenology, North Sea, CPR survey.
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### 26 Abstract

27 Annual phytoplankton succession is a key ecological phenomenon that drives marine species' life 28 cycles and energy flows within marine ecosystems. Identifying processes that control annual 29 succession is critical to anticipate climate-induced environmental perturbations of this phenomenon 30 and the consequences upon ecosystem functioning. Here, we demonstrate that diatoms in the North 31 Sea undergo strong morphological changes throughout the year and that species with similar 32 phenology possess comparable morphological traits (e.g. cell elongation) and ecological niches. The 33 spring and autumn periods appear to be dominated by oblates (flattened cells) whereas the summer 34 period is dominated by prolate (elongated cells). Elongation of the cell shape enhances buoyancy and 35 confers a selective advantage in stratified low-nutrient waters typical of summer without changing a 36 diatoms' surface area/volume ratio or its ability to absorb nutrients. Diatom shape thus appears to 37 have evolved as a key adaptation to a specific environment and confers upon a species its specific 38 niche and phenology, and therefore its place in the sequence of annual succession. As a result, shape 39 influences temporal changes in the abundance of diatoms and their putative response to 40 environmental forcing. We suggest that biogeochemical and earth-system models should include 41 diatom cell shape as a parameter in order to improve model predictions and help our understanding 42 of the consequences of climate change on marine ecosystems.

43

#### 44 **1. Introduction**

Diatoms are a major phytoplankton clade that have evolved during the Middle Triassic when sea
levels were rising and continental margins were flooding (Falkowski et al., 2004). Diatoms'
subsequent increase in diversity during the mid-Cenozoic Era strongly influenced the global carbon
cycle (Katz et al., 2005). Today, diatoms account for about 40% of total marine primary production
and almost 40% of total particulate organic carbon exported to the deep ocean annually (Jin et al.,
2006; Nelson et al., 1995; Tréguer et al., 2018). Annually, the abundance of diatoms rises and falls on

a seasonal basis following a predictable pattern named "Annual Diatom Succession" (called hereafter
ADS).

53

54 In the North Sea, ADS is characterised by the succession of various species throughout the year, with 55 species such as Skeletonema costatum and Thalassiothrix longissima dominating in spring, Guinardia 56 striata and G. flaccida in summer and Poroboscia indica and Bidulphia alternans in autumn 57 (Caracciolo et al., 2021). ADS therefore determines the pulses of energy that influence the dynamics 58 of the whole marine ecosystem, the life cycle of many zooplankton and fish being coupled with the 59 peaks in primary production or species dominance (Cushing, 1990; Platt et al., 2003). Recently, 60 anthropogenic climate change has begun to alter diatom phenology and biogeography, and this is 61 likely to have strong consequences on trophic interaction and ecosystem functioning (Chivers et al., 62 2017; Edwards and Richardson, 2004).

63

64 To predict the future consequences of climate change on marine ecosystems, we need to i) better 65 understand how diatom communities naturally form and reorganise at multiple time-scales and ii) to 66 identify the key elements and processes that control phenology. Morphological traits (i.e. cell size 67 and shape) are known to play an important role in diatom succession because they influence 68 resource uptake, buoyancy and predation, which are all properties that may explain seasonal 69 changes in species dominance (Behrenfeld, 2010; Caracciolo et al., 2021; Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; 70 Margalef, 1978; Naselli-Flores et al., 2021; Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011). The niche of a species 71 (i.e. the set of environmental parameters that enable a species to grow, maintain and reproduce) is 72 also of paramount importance as it controls the range of environmental conditions that a species can 73 tolerate (Hutchinson, 1957). Here, we investigate the relationships between species' morphological 74 traits (size and shape), ecological niches, and phenology with the aim of identifying the key elements 75 and processes responsible for ADS.

#### 77 2. Materials and Methods

#### 78 2.1. Biological data

79 We used abundance data of 45 diatom species/taxa commonly sampled by the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) survey in the North Sea (51°N, 60°N, -3°E, 9°E; Supplementary Figure S1) between 80 81 1958 and 2017 (Reid et al., 2003). This long-term plankton monitoring programme has collected 82 plankton on a monthly basis in the extratropical regions of the North Atlantic Ocean and its adjacent seas since 1946. The sampling machine is a high-speed plankton recorder towed behind voluntary 83 84 merchant ships at a depth of approximately 7 m (Beaugrand et al., 2003; Reid et al., 2003) (see 85 Supplementary Text S1 for an overview of the CPR limitations and Supplementary Text S2 for CPR data availability)(Beaugrand et al., 2003; Reid et al., 2003). Each value of abundance corresponds to a 86 87 number of cells per CPR sample, which corresponds on average to 3 m<sup>3</sup> of seawater filtered (Jonas et 88 al., 2004). We calculated a daily climatology of the abundance of 45 diatoms sampled by the CPR survey. To account for all CPR samples collected between 1958 and 2017, we based our climatology 89 90 on 366 days. We applied twice a 6-order simple moving average on the matrix (366 days x 45 91 species/taxa) and standardized the abundance data between 0 and 1 for each species, following the 92 procedure described in Caracciolo and colleagues (Caracciolo et al., 2021).

93

#### 94 2.2. Environmental data

Supplementary Text S2 provides key references and internet sites for each environmental dataset we
used. Sea Surface Temperature (SST; °C) originated from the NOAA OI SST V2 high resolution dataset
provided by the Earth System Research Laboratory, Physical Science Division, Boulder Colorado, USA.
Mixed Layer Depth (MLD; m) was provided by the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring
service (CMEMS) and originated from the Global Ocean Physical Reanalysis product
(GLOBAL\_REANALYSIS\_ PHY\_001\_030). We used the MLD as a proxy of turbulence level (the deeper
the MLD is, the more active the wind-induced turbulence is likely to be) and stratification (a lower

MLD is in general associated with a higher stratification, which prevents nutrients recycling to thesurface).

105	Phosphate, silicate and nitrate concentrations (mmol.m <sup>-3</sup> ) were provided by the CMEMS and came
106	from the Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Hindcast (GLOBAL_REANALYSIS_BIO_001_029).
107	Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR; mole /m <sup>2</sup> /day) was provided by Globcolour Hermes. PAR
108	was estimated from satellites data. Euphotic depth of sea water (m) was provided by the NASA
109	Goddard Space Flight Center, Ocean Ecology Laboratory, Ocean Biology Processing Group. Euphotic
110	depth was calculated with Lee algorithm. Bathymetry (m) was provided by the British Oceanographic
111	Data Centre (BODC). Distance to nearest coastlines (km) was provided by the Nasa's Ocean Biology
112	Processing Group, Goddard Space Flight Center.
113	
114	We calculated a daily climatology for each environmental parameter in the North Sea (Figure 2b-h
115	and Supplementary Figures S1 and S2a-c), based on the time period 1997-2017. The climatology of
116	the euphotic depth of sea water was based on the time period 2002-2017. Here also, we based our
117	climatologies on 366 days to match the diatom climatologies. We assumed that the difference of
118	time periods between biological (1958-2017) and environmental (1997-2017) datasets did not alter
119	our conclusions because the seasonal changes observed here, are much more important than
120	decadal changes (see Figure 4). The use of the full time period for CPR data was important to have a
121	more reliable daily climatology and we know that daily variability is much higher than decadal
122	variability, an assumption that has been checked for copepod biodiversity assessed from the CPR
123	data (Beaugrand et al., 2003).

The kinematic viscosity (in m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>) was assessed from SST daily climatology using equations from
Pilson (Pilson, 2013) and assuming a salinity of 35 (i.e. mean salinity of the North Sea).

128	Data on monthly long-term changes in SST (°C), mean surface downward short-wave radiation flux
129	(W.m <sup>-2</sup> ), and northward and eastward wind components (m.s <sup>-1</sup> ) originated from the ERA5 dataset.
130	This monthly gridded dataset, which covered the period 1958-2017, were provided by the
131	Copernicus climate change service. Data on mean surface downward short-wave radiation flux (solar
132	radiations) were used as a proxy of PAR.
133	
134	2.3. Morphological traits
135	2.3.1. Cell shape
136	We gathered together information on the geometrical shape of each species from the Global
137	Diatoms Database (Leblanc et al., 2012). Six different shapes were distinguished: cylinder, cylinder +
138	2 half spheres, rectangular box, prism on an elliptic base, prism on a parallelogram base and prism on
139	a triangular base (Supplementary Table S1).
140	
141	2.3.2. Oblates and prolates
142	
112	we also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or
143	length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the
143 144	length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a
143 144 145	we also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined
143 144 145 146	We also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent
143 144 145 146 147	We also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent surface). Based on these cell dimensions, we divided diatoms into three groups: (i) oblates (i.e.
143 144 145 146 147 148	We also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent surface). Based on these cell dimensions, we divided diatoms into three groups: (i) oblates (i.e. minimum height < minimum diameter and maximum height < maximum diameter), (ii) prolates (i.e.
143 144 145 146 147 148 149	We also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent surface). Based on these cell dimensions, we divided diatoms into three groups: (i) oblates (i.e. minimum height < minimum diameter and maximum height < maximum diameter), (ii) prolates (i.e. minimum height > minimum diameter and maximum height > maximum diameter) and (iii)
143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150	we also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent surface). Based on these cell dimensions, we divided diatoms into three groups: (i) oblates (i.e. minimum height < minimum diameter and maximum height < maximum diameter), (ii) prolates (i.e. minimum height > minimum diameter and maximum height > maximum diameter) and (iii) oblates/prolates for the remaining species/taxa, meaning that they can be both oblates and prolates
143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151	We also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/neight or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent surface). Based on these cell dimensions, we divided diatoms into three groups: (i) oblates (i.e. minimum height < minimum diameter and maximum height < maximum diameter), (ii) prolates (i.e. minimum height > minimum diameter and maximum height > maximum diameter) and (iii) oblates/prolates for the remaining species/taxa, meaning that they can be both oblates and prolates (Supplementary Table S2).
143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152	We also retrieved information on minimum and maximum cell dimensions (diameter/height or length/width/height depending upon cell shapes). For cylindrical cells, we defined height as the dimension perpendicular to cell diameter. For other geometrical shapes, we first converted them to a cylinder of equivalent size: we calculated the area of the slice perpendicular to cell height (as defined in the database) and estimated the diameter of the equivalent disk (i.e. the disk of equivalent surface). Based on these cell dimensions, we divided diatoms into three groups: (i) oblates (i.e. minimum height < minimum diameter and maximum height < maximum diameter), (ii) prolates (i.e. minimum height > minimum diameter and maximum height > maximum diameter) and (iii) oblates/prolates for the remaining species/taxa, meaning that they can be both oblates and prolates (Supplementary Table S2).

153 2.3.3. Other morphological indices

154	Based on mean cell height ( $h$ ) and diameter ( $d$ ) (Supplementary Table S2), we estimated the area ( $s$ ),
155	the volume ( $v$ ) and the area/volume ratio ( $sv^{-1}$ ) of each species by assimilating their shape to a
156	cylinder:
157	
158	$s_{cylinder} = \pi d \left(\frac{d}{2} + h\right) $ [1]
159	
160	$v_{cylinder} = \frac{\pi}{4} d^2 h $ [2]
161	
162	We assessed an index of cell morphology, which had the advantage to be dimensionless:
163	
164	$\delta = msv^{-1} $ [3]
165	
166	with $m$ the cell maximum linear dimension (i.e. the mean cell diameter or height for oblates and
167	prolates, respectively). $\delta$ describes cell attenuation (i.e. reduction of one of the dimensions of the
168	cell volume or cell flattening) and its departure from a sphere (Naselli-Flores et al., 2021).
169	
170	We estimated the maximum area of light interception normalized by volume ( $\beta$ ). For oblates, we
171	calculated the ratio between disk area and volume as follows:
172	
173	$\beta_{oblates} = \frac{\pi \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^2}{\nu} $ [4]
174	
175	For prolates, we calculated the ratio between rectangle area (projection of a cylinder on a surface)
176	and volume:
177	
178	$\beta_{prolates} = \frac{dh}{v} $ [5]

180	2.4. Predation
181	We used abundance data of 74 small ( $\leq$ 2 mm) and 196 large (> 2 mm) copepods species/taxa
182	collected by the CPR survey in the North Sea (Supplementary Figure S1). We summed the total
183	abundance for small/large copepods in each CPR sample between 1958-2017 and then calculated a
184	daily abundance climatology for small/large copepods. We therefore obtained two vectors of 366
185	days (one vector for small and another for large copepods) with the daily mean abundance for
186	small/large copepods. As above, we applied twice a simple 6-order moving average and standardized
187	the abundance data between 0 and 1 (Supplementary Figure S2c).
188	
189	2.5. Phylogenetical classification
190	Information on the phylogenetical classification of the 45 diatoms (family, order, superorder,
191	subclasses) was retrieved from the WOrld Register of Marine Species (WORMS)
192	( <u>http://www.marinespecies.org/index.php</u> ). (Supplementary Figure S3 and Table S3).
193	
194	2.6. Analyses
195	2.6.1. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
196	We characterized Annual Diatom Succession (ADS) by applying a standardized PCA on a matrix of
197	daily abundance climatology (366 days) x diatom species (45 species) (Figure 1a). We examined the
198	first three axes (principal components and eigenvectors) of the PCA because a test based on a
199	broken-stick distribution (Legendre and Legendre, 1998) revealed that the first three axes of the PCA
200	were significant, i.e. they were above average eigenvalues calculated by the broken-stick model
201	(Supplementary Table S4). Seasonal changes in diatom abundance were examined by the first three
202	principal components (PCs) and relationships between species were investigated by using the first

- 203 three normalized eigenvectors, which represented the correlation of the 45 diatoms with the first
- 204 three PCs. We tested the relationships between the first three principal components and the daily

205 climatology for SST, euphotic depth, PAR, MLD, mean small/large copepods abundance, viscosity,

silicate, phosphate and nitrate concentration by means of a Spearman rank correlation coefficient.

207 The significance of the correlation coefficients was tested by applying a Montecarlo test with 100,000

simulations (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S5).

209

210 *2.6.2. Clustering* 

We applied a cluster analysis based on the first three normalized eigenvectors of the PCA. First, we calculated a squared matrix of Euclidean distance using the first three eigenvectors. Then we applied a Ward linkage algorithm (Legendre and Legendre, 1998). The resulting dendrogram was cut using a threshold of Euclidean distance of 8; this threshold was chosen because it enabled the identification of three species groups that closely matched the seasonal patterns exhibited by the first three PCs (Figure 1a, 3 and Supplementary Figures S2d-f, S4 and S5).

217

218 2.6.3. Relationships between phenology and morphological traits

219 We examined the relationships between the species groups and morphological traits in the space

220 defined by the first three eigenvectors: (i) geometrical shapes (Figure 1b), (ii) oblates/prolates (Figure

221 1c), (iii) height-diameter difference (Figure 1d), (iv) area/volume ratio (Figure 1e), (v) δ (Figure 1f), (vi)

222 mean cell diameter (Supplementary Figure S6a) and (vii) mean cell height (Supplementary Figure

223 S6b).

224

225 We tested the differences in the mean of the morphological indices (height-diameter

226 (Supplementary Table S6), mean cell diameter (Supplementary Table S7), mean cell height

227 (Supplementary Table S8), area/volume ratio (Supplementary Table S9) and  $\delta$  (Supplementary Table

S10)) among species groups by means of a Kruskal-Wallis test and post-hoc tests.

229

230 2.6.4. Comparison between morphological traits based on cylindrical and spheroidal shapes

In our analyses, we assimilated diatom shapes to cylinders. To test whether our conclusions were affected by this assumption, we also compared our results with those obtained by assimilating diatom shapes to spheroids. Based on mean cell height (*h*) and diameter (*d*) (Supplementary Table S2), we re-estimated the area (*s*), the volume (*v*) and the area/volume ratio ( $sv^{-1}$ ) of each species by assimilating their shape to spheroids as follows:

237 
$$S_{spheroid} = \frac{\pi d}{2} \left( d + \frac{h^2}{\sqrt{h^2 - d^2}} \sin^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{h^2 - d^2}}{d} \right)$$
 [6]

238

239 
$$v_{spheroid} = \frac{\pi}{6} d^2 h$$
 [7]

240

We examined the relationships between morphological traits (area, volume, area/volume ratio and
 δ) when cells were based on cylinders (see equations 1-3) and spheroids by means of Pearson
 correlation coefficients (Supplementary Figure S7a-d).

244

245 We also investigated the relationships between species groups and morphological traits estimated

with spheroidal shape in the space defined by the first three eigenvectors using (i) area/volume ratio

247 and (ii)  $\delta$  (Supplementary Figure S7e-h).

248

249 Finally, we tested the differences in the mean of the morphological indices among species groups,

based on spheroidal shapes for area/volume ratio (Supplementary Table S11) and  $\delta$  (Supplementary

Table S12), by means of a Kruskal-Wallis test and post-hoc tests.

252

253 2.6.5. Relationships between morphological indices and the environment

254 We also examined the relationships between m and area/volume ratio (Figure 1g-h), PAR and  $\beta$ 

255 (Supplementary Figure S8), MLD and  $\delta$  (Supplementary Figure S9), kinematic viscosity and  $\delta$ 

(Supplementary Figure S10) and between copepod abundance and height-diameter difference(Supplementary Figure S11).

258

We calculated the Spearman rank correlation coefficient between *m* and area/volume ratio (Figure
1g), PAR and β (Supplementary Figure S8), MLD and δ (Supplementary Figure S9), kinematic viscosity
and δ (Supplementary Figure S10) and between copepod abundance and height-diameter difference
(Supplementary Figure S11). The correlations were tested by means of a Montecarlo test using
100,000 permutations (Jackson and Somers, 1989).

264

265 2.6.6. Phenology and niche

266 We estimated the optimum and amplitude (breadth) of the niche using nine ecological dimensions:

267 SST, euphotic depth, PAR, MLD, silicate phosphate and nitrate concentration, bathymetry and

268 distance to nearest coastlines. To do so, we estimated the weighted mean as a proxy for optimum

269  $(X_{opt})$  and the weighted standard deviation as a proxy for the amplitude ( $\sigma$ ) (Figure 2 and

270 Supplementary Figure S12). These proxies were calculated for each ecological dimension:

271

272 
$$X_{opt} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i}$$
[8]

273

274 
$$\sigma = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i (x_i - x_{opt})^2}{\frac{t-1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i}$$
[9]

275

where *w* is the abundance of the diatom species, *x* the value of the environmental parameter or
copepod abundance and *t* the number of days in the climatology (for SST, euphotic depth, PAR, MLD,
silicate phosphate and nitrate concentration, and small/large copepod abundance) or the total
number of CPR samples when bathymetry or distance to nearest coastlines was used.

281 We examined the relationships between diatom niche optimum/amplitude, their day of maximum 282 abundance and the daily climatology for SST, euphotic depth, PAR, MLD, silicate phosphate and 283 nitrate concentration (Figure 2b-h). We also investigated the relationships between diatom niche 284 optimum/amplitude and height-diameter difference (Supplementary Figure S12). 285 286 We tested the niche separation between oblates and prolates by means of a Krukal-Wallis test 287 performed on niche optima and amplitude (Supplementary Tables S13-S14). The relationships 288 between species niche optima/amplitudes and species height-diameter difference was examined by 289 applying a Spearman rank correlation coefficient and tested with a Montecarlo test using 100,000 290 simulations (Supplementary Figure S12)(Jackson and Somers, 1989). 291 292 2.6.7. Long-term changes in the abundance of oblates and prolates 293 We investigated long-term monthly changes in the mean abundance of oblates and prolates 294 collected by the CPR survey between 1958 and 2017 (Figure 4a). Oblate abundance in April and 295 October and prolate abundance in August were smoothed by means of a second-order simple 296 moving average (Figure 4b-d). The relationships between species abundance (in April and October for 297 oblates and August for prolates) and the long-term changes in SST, wind and solar radiations were 298 examined by applying a Spearman rank correlation coefficient and tested with a Montecarlo test 299 using 100,000 simulations (Supplementary Table S15)(Jackson and Somers, 1989).

300

#### 301 3. Results and Discussion

We first characterised ADS by means of a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) performed on a matrix of daily abundance climatology (366 days) x diatom species (45 species; Methods). The abundance matrix originated from data collected by the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) survey between 1958 and 2017 in the North Sea (Supplementary Figure S1). We retained the first three Principal Components (PCs), which were significant after applying a broken-stick test (Methods and

307 Supplementary Table S4). The first PC (26% of the total variance) showed a strong peak in spring at a 308 time of weak stratification and low temperature, reflecting the spring bloom, and a smaller peak in 309 late autumn at a time of decreasing temperature and stratification breakdown (Caracciolo et al., 310 2021) (Figure 1a). The second PC (25%) exhibited a similar pattern with two peaks, the lowest in 311 spring and the highest in autumn, again reflecting both spring and autumn blooms. The third PC 312 (15%) showed high positive values from late spring to the end of summer, identifying diatoms that 313 were dominant during the stratified low-nutrients period at a time of higher zooplankton abundance 314 (Supplementary Figure S2a-c). We therefore identify three key periods in ADS, confirming a pattern 315 seen in temperate seas (Caracciolo et al., 2021; Colebrook, 1986, 1984).



Figure 1. Annual Diatom Succession (ADS) and morphological traits. (a) ADS characterized by a PCA 318 319 applied on a species abundance matrix 366 days x 45 species. The first three principal components 320 (PCs) are shown. (b-f) Relationships between the first three eigenvectors and morphological traits. 321 Relationships between species groups and (b) morphological traits, (c) oblates and prolates, (d) 322 height-diameter differences, (e) area/volume ratio and (f)  $\delta$ . Blue area delineates the space occupied 323 by the spring-dominated group, green area the space occupied by the autumn-dominated group and 324 red area the space occupied by the LSSA group. (d-f) Size and colour of the bullets are scaled with (d) 325 mean cell height-diameter difference, (e) mean area/volume ratio and (f) δ. (g-h) Relationships

between cell greatest linear dimension (*m*)(log<sub>10</sub>) and mean area/volume ratio (log<sub>10</sub>). Spearman rank
 correlation coefficient (r<sub>s</sub>) and its associated probability (p<sub>s</sub>) are displayed on the top left.

329	We examined species phenology by studying the first three normalised eigenvectors, which
330	represent the correlation between each species and the first three PCs (Figure 1b-f). A cluster
331	analysis, performed on the first three eigenvectors, allowed us to identify three assemblages
332	(Supplementary Figures S2d-f and S4), each belonging to one of the phenological patterns we
333	identified previously (Figure 1b-f): (i) a spring-dominated group related to PC1, (ii) an autumn-
334	dominated group related to PC2 and (iii) a Late-Spring Summer Autumn (LSSA) group related to PC3
335	(Supplementary Figures S2, S4-S5).
336	
337	We next studied relationships between species phenology and morphological traits. Cell shape and
338	size are major traits affecting nutrient acquisition, light capture, grazing resistance, and vertical
339	motion (Clifton et al., 2018; Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; Litchman and Klausmeier, 2008; Naselli-Flores
340	et al., 2021; Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011; Padisak et al., 2003). To do so, we compiled data on cell
341	size and geometrical shape from the Global Diatom Database (Leblanc et al., 2012) for 45
342	species/taxa (Methods). Spring-dominated and autumn-dominated groups comprised a mixture of
343	species of different shapes (cylindrical, rectangular box and prism) whereas the LSSA group mainly
344	comprised cylindrical diatoms (Figure 1b and Supplementary Table S1). By assuming all diatom
345	shapes to be cylindrical (note that we also performed the same analyses by assimilating diatom
346	shapes to spheroids, see below), we separated diatoms as oblates (i.e., cell diameter > cell height,
347	flattened cell) and prolates (cell diameter < cell height, elongated cell). We identified 20 oblates and

348 21 prolates, the remaining being identified as oblates/prolates (i.e. species/taxa that can be both

during their life cycle; Methods and Supplementary Table S2). The spring- and autumn-dominated

350 groups mainly comprised oblates (62.5% and 58% in the spring- and autumn-dominated group,

respectively; Figure 1c and Supplementary Table S1) and the LSSA group mostly comprised prolates

352 (82%; Figure 1c and Supplementary Table S1).

353

354 Following this result, we investigated the variations of the mean cell height-diameter difference 355 between groups more deeply (Figure 1d). Highest and lowest positive differences characterized the 356 LSSA group and the spring-dominated group, respectively. Values were more variable from the 357 autumn-dominated group. A Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant differences and post-hoc tests 358 showed that differences occurred between LSSA and spring/autumn-dominated groups, but not 359 between spring- and autumn-dominated groups (Supplementary Table S6). Interestingly, negative 360 differences (shown in blue on the colour bar) were smaller than positive ones (from green to red; 361 Figure 1d), suggesting that cell height rather than diameter is modified during ADS; this result is 362 confirmed by insignificant differences in cell diameter and significant differences in cell height among 363 groups (Supplementary Figure S6 and Supplementary Tables S7-S8). Previous studies have suggested 364 that the size of phytoplankton is rarely greater than  $300 \,\mu m$  (Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011) 365 because of fundamental structural constraints imposed by natural selection, i.e. limited minimum 366 thickness and maximum diameter. For example, to avoid turbulence-induced damage and to benefit 367 from the viscosity of water, planktonic cells have to remain smaller than the Kolmogorov scale (i.e. 368 micro eddies) (Naselli-Flores et al., 2021; Reynolds, 1998) and the area/volume ratio needs to remain 369 relatively low to ensure chemical exchanges between the cell and its environment (Raven et al., 370 2005).

371

Phytoplankton exhibit different cell shapes that modify their area/volume ratio to optimize nutrient exchange and buoyancy with their surrounding environment (Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; Pahlow et al., 1997). Energy flux occurs through the cell surface while metabolism is related to the cell volume, which explains why the ratio between surface area and volume should be high, although the building of a large surface has also a negative metabolic cost (Brown et al., 2004). We did not find any significant seasonal changes in the ratio between surface area and volume amongst our three groups (Figure 1e and Supplementary Table S9). Similar results have been documented in tropical lakes and

379 coastal marine waters where the area/volume ratio was preserved through morphological changes 380 (Lewis, 1976; Stanca et al., 2013). We tested whether elongation (i.e. an increase in the maximum 381 linear dimension or m) could be a more relevant parameter. To do so, we used an index of cell 382 morphology  $\delta$  (i.e. *m* times the area/volume ratio; Methods)(Naselli-Flores et al., 2021). In contrast 383 to the area/volume ratio (Figure 1e), we found significant differences in cell morphology ( $\delta$ ) among 384 the three groups (Figure 1f and Supplementary Table S10). Assimilating diatom shapes to spheroids 385 led to similar conclusions (Supplementary Figure S7 and Tables S11-S12). A closer look on *m* versus 386  $sv^{-1}$  allowed a better understanding of why there was no significant change in the area/volume 387 ratio (Figure 1g-h). The two figures suggest that the dominance of prolates in the LSSA group during 388 the stratified low-nutrients period does not affect the area/volume ratio in contrast to oblates where 389 changes in size do influence the area/volume ratio. These results suggest that cell elongation is a way 390 of altering shape without changing the area/volume ratio that would otherwise affect nutrient 391 exchange (Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; Pahlow et al., 1997).

392

393 Further, we examined whether phylogeny (from family to subclass level) was responsible for the 394 observed differences in traits. We found no evidence for a phylogenetic effect at the family, order 395 and superorder levels. This was not so at the subclass level; although the LSSA and autumn-396 dominated groups were mainly composed of Coscinodiscophycidae, the spring-dominated group was 397 characterized by a diversity of subclasses (Supplementary Figure S3 and Table S3). This analysis, 398 therefore, shows that differences in morphological traits are also influenced by phylogeny. Other 399 studies have suggested that major morphological traits that drive strategies in nutrient uptake are 400 conserved over evolutionary time at a high phylogenetic level, a phenomenon known as trait 401 conservatism (Litchman et al., 2007). Trait conservatism results from stabilizing selection and niche 402 conservatism, i.e. species keeping the shape of their niche unaltered over time because of their 403 propensity to remain in places where the environment is highly suitable for them (Ackerly, 2003).

404 (Not all phytoplankton traits exhibit the same level of conservatism, some being more labile than
405 others. (Litchman et al., 2010; Schwaderer et al., 2011)).

406

407 Three other hypotheses could also explain the morphological changes we observed: (i) light capture 408 optimization (Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011), (ii) buoyancy (Naselli-Flores et al., 2021; Naselli-Flores 409 and Barone, 2011; Padisak et al., 2003) and (iii) response to predation (Smetacek, 2001). We 410 investigated relationships between maximum area of light interception normalized by volume ( $\beta$ ) and 411 Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) (Methods, Supplementary Figure S8). We found no clear 412 relationship between PAR and  $\beta$ . Noticeably,  $\beta$  diminished when maximum PAR increased, which is in 413 agreement with physiology (Reynolds, 1989). However, small values of  $\beta$  were observed for a large 414 range of PARs, which suggests that changes in  $\beta$  are not modulated by PAR for most species 415 considered here. We acknowledge that physiological processes by which the cell can adapt to 416 different light intensity are complex, however (e.g. photosynthetic pigments, see Supplementary Text 417 S3)(Reynolds, 1989).

418

419 Many other studies have provided evidence that variations in cell shape might result from an 420 adaptation to turbulence through a modification in sinking velocity (Clifton et al., 2018; Margalef, 421 1978; Naselli-Flores et al., 2021; Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011; Padisak et al., 2003). We tested this 422 hypothesis by investigating the relationship between Mixed Layer Depth (MLD, used as a proxy for 423 wind-induced turbulence intensity) and  $\delta$  (Supplementary Figure S9, Methods). This analysis revealed 424 a negative relationship between maximum MLD values and  $\delta$ . While oblates were found for a large 425 range of MLDs, prolates dominated low-turbulence waters. We therefore concluded that diatom 426 elongation observed during ADS may result mainly from an adaptation to buoyancy, although 427 resource acquisition and predation probably also play a role (Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; Pahlow et al., 428 1997; Sommer, 1998).

429

430 Studies have also found negative relationships between temperature and phytoplankton sinking 431 velocity through an alteration in viscosity (Smayda, 1970; Sournia, 1982). From Stokes' equation, and 432 considering a cell of 20 μm of diameter, sinking velocity increases of 4% for each increase of 1°C 433 because of a decrease in viscosity (Smayda, 1970). However, sinking velocity depends on cell shapes 434 (Padisak et al., 2003; Smayda, 1970; Sournia, 1982). We therefore tested the relationship between 435 kinematic viscosity and  $\delta$  and found a weak but significant negative relationship (Supplementary 436 Figure S10; Methods). This result suggests that prolates may also occur in stratified warm waters 437 because their shapes slow down sinking velocity (Padisak et al., 2003). We acknowledge that we have 438 not considered all processes that can impact phytoplankton buoyancy. First, many species produce 439 organic substances that increase the viscosity of the surrounding environment and that may then 440 trigger cell aggregation, sometimes associated with minerals and particles, which may ultimately 441 increase sinking velocity (Smayda, 1970; Smetacek, 1985). Second, phytoplankton cells can also 442 develop protuberances such as spines and setae that directly increase the frictional drag or help turn 443 the longest cell dimension perpendicular to the settling axis (Padisak et al., 2003; Smayda, 1970). 444 Third, some species form chains that may affect sinking speed, although contrasting results have 445 been observed for different species with either an increase or a decrease in buoyancy (Padisak et al., 446 2003; Smayda, 1970). Lastly, we have not considered intra-specific variations in size and seasonal 447 morphological plasticity, which can be important in many species (Ligowski et al., 2012).

448

Lastly, we investigated whether there is a relationship between diatom shape and predation. We examined the relationship between the abundance of small (≤2mm)/large(>2mm) copepods and morphological shape (Methods, Supplementary Figure S11). Although correlation cannot imply causation, we found a nonlinear positive correlation between zooplankton abundance for small and large copepods and height-diameter difference. This result is in agreement with works that have suggested that changes in diatom shape may be influenced by zooplankton predation (Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011; Smetacek, 2001), although other works have shown that

elongated cells can be ingested by copepods when turbulence is low (Visser and Jonsson, 2000). We
caution that defence mechanisms in diatoms are diverse, ranging from physiological (allelopathy) to
phenomena such as colony formation and escape response (Pančić and Kiørboe, 2018) and that all
mechanisms involved could not be realistically tested in this study.

460

461 Recent works have suggested that annual plankton succession results from the niche-environment 462 interaction (Caracciolo et al., 2021). We therefore explored the relationships between cell shape and 463 ecological niche for the 45 species/taxa. Here, the ecological niche was characterised by its optimum 464 and amplitude (i.e. niche breadth) (Hutchinson, 1957); these niche parameters were assessed for 465 each species/taxa and nine environmental parameters (Methods; Figure 2a). Our results suggest that 466 morphological traits influence species' niche of diatoms and that oblates and prolates had significant 467 differences in ecological optima for all niche dimensions. Amplitudes were also distinct for four niche 468 dimensions: nutrients (nitrate, phosphate and silicate) and MLD (Figure 2b-h, Supplementary Tables 469 S13-S14). Although the relationship was less obvious for sea surface temperature and euphotic depth 470 (Figure 2b-c), optima and amplitudes plotted against daily climatology of each parameter showed a 471 clear dominance of prolates during low-nutrients, turbulence and PAR conditions (Figure 2d-h). In 472 addition, oblates were significantly more euryoecious (i.e. larger niche amplitude) than prolates with 473 respect to nutrients and MLD (Figure 2e-h, Supplementary Figure S12 and Table S14). Prolates are 474 therefore K-strategists (i.e. equilibrium species), adapted to stable environment (i.e. predictable 475 environment with low perturbations) with respect to nutrients, turbulence and stratification (low 476 MLD) whereas oblates are more r-strategists (i.e. opportunist species), adapted to a more unstable 477 environment (i.e. unpredictable) (Southwood et al., 1974). In other words, prolates (K-strategist) 478 thrive in stratified water with low turbulence and constant low-nutrient concentration whereas 479 oblates (r-strategists) thrive in turbulent waters in the absence of stratification with more variable 480 levels of nutrients concentration (Figure 2). Because oblates and prolates have distinct niches, this 481 analysis demonstrates that morphological traits influence species niche and phenology. We

- 482 summarize the relationships between diatom morphological traits, phenology and the environment
- 483 in Figure 3 (see also Supplementary Table S5).



486 Figure 2. Relationships between day of maximum abundance and ecological optima/amplitude of 487 each diatom and environmental parameter. (a) Theoretical ecological niche with X<sub>opt</sub> representing 488 species niche optimum and  $\sigma$  denoting niche amplitude assessed by the weighted standard deviation. 489 The Y axis corresponds to the species abundance and the X axis to an hypothetical environmental 490 parameter. Relationships between day of maximum abundance and niche optimum/amplitude for 491 (b) SST, (c) euphotic depth, (d) PAR, (e) mixed layer depth (MLD), (f) silicate, (g) phosphate and (h) 492 nitrate concentration. Blue lines correspond to the North Sea daily climatology of the parameter 493 considered in the panel. The niche optimum can be read on the ordinate axis. The size of the bullet is 494 a function of species' rank of the weighted standard deviation; larger bullets are associated with 495 larger niche amplitude and therefore ranks. Oblates are in blue, prolates in red and oblates/prolates 496 in green. 497



498

499 Figure 3. Summary of the relationships between the first three eigenvectors, diatom shapes and 500 the environment. Cell shapes are represented by cylinders scaled with species height and diameter 501 (Supplementary Table S2). Oblates are in blue, prolates in red and oblates/prolates in green. Species 502 names are those recorded in the CPR dataset. Blue area delineates the space occupied by the spring-503 dominated group, green area the space occupied by the autumn-dominated group and red area the 504 space occupied by the LSSA group. Correlation between the first three principal components and the 505 environmental parameters are displayed in Supplementary Table S5. More information on the 506 principal components can be found in Figure 1a.

507

508 Finally, we investigated long-term monthly changes in the abundance of oblates and prolates

- between 1958 and 2017. Our results show that both groups exhibit distinct patterns of temporal
- 510 changes (Figure 4a), with oblates having a constant and high level of abundance in April (Figure 4b)
- 511 but a more variable abundance in October with blooms of decreasing intensity during the 1960s and
- 512 1970s, followed by a strong increase after *circa* 1980 (Figure 4c). Similar patterns are also observed

513 for prolates in August but with an abundance level below the maximum reached in 1960 (Figure 4d). 514 The period of low abundance found for oblates and prolates between 1978 and 1982 was probably 515 the consequence of a cold hydro-meteorological anomaly observed in the North Sea (Edwards et al., 516 2002). We subsequently investigated whether these long-term changes in abundance were 517 correlated with long-term changes in Sea Surface Temperature (SST), wind and solar radiations 518 (Supplementary Table S15). We found a nonlinear positive correlation between oblate abundance in 519 October and SST and a negative correlation with eastward wind as well as wind intensity. A negative 520 correlation was also found between oblate abundance in April and eastward wind. No significant 521 correlations with northward wind and solar radiations were found. In the Northeast Atlantic region, 522 long-term changes in SST/wind variations have led to a marked increase in diatoms, associated with a 523 diminution in dinoflagellates (Hinder et al., 2012).





526 Figure 4. Long-term changes in oblate and prolate abundance (i.e. number per CPR sample) 527 between 1958 and 2017. (a) Long-term monthly changes in total oblate and prolate abundance. 528 Dashed lines highlight the months that were considered in the examination of long-term changes in 529 oblates or prolates on panels (b), (c) and (d). (b-d) Long-term changes in the abundance of oblates in 530 (b) April and (c) October and (d) of prolates in August. Dashed lines represent the long-term changes 531 in diatom abundance from panel (a) and black bold lines show the smoothed long-term changes after 532 applying a second-order simple moving average. Note that the exceptional nil abundance value 533 observed for oblates and prolates in 1978 is below the y axis in the panels c and d. 534

535 Because oblates and prolates exhibit specific long-term changes, our results suggest they may 536 respond to different environmental forcing. However, it is widely assumed that diatom contribution 537 to primary production and carbon export will decline in the mid and low latitudes because ocean 538 warming will increase stratification and decrease nutrients supply to the surface ocean (Bopp et al., 539 2013, 2005; Dutkiewicz et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2016). Such predictions are made because of an 540 oversimplification of Margalef's mandala (Margalef, 1978) assimilating diatoms to a single Plankton 541 Functional Type (PFT) that thrives when nutrients and turbulence are high (Fu et al., 2016; Kemp and 542 Villareal, 2018; Tréguer et al., 2018). Therefore, current state-of-the-art biogeochemical models only 543 consider oblates in their simulations and not prolates, but our results clearly show that diatoms, 544 through morphological adaptations, are able to exploit a wider range of environment, which is also 545 confirmed by (i) a Tara Oceans expedition study that has found comparable diatom diversity in 546 oligotrophic and coastal regions (Malviya et al., 2016) and by (ii) fossil records of the Mediterranean 547 sea, where sapropels (one of the most carbon-rich sediments) formation is associated with highly 548 stratified conditions and high diatom production and export (Kemp and Villareal, 2013). Hence, we 549 suggest that biogeochemical and earth-system models should consider diatom morphology by 550 defining two PFTs (i.e. oblates and prolates) in their settings to improve their predictions on the consequences of climate change on primary production and carbon export. 551 552

553 4. Conclusions

By characterizing ADS in the North Sea with a trait-based approach, we have shown that this
phenomenon is controlled by the niche-environment interaction that results from seasonal changes

556 in morphological traits. Although four different hypotheses have been proposed to explain changes 557 in cell shapes (Karp-Boss and Boss, 2016; Litchman and Klausmeier, 2008; Naselli-Flores et al., 2021; 558 Naselli-Flores and Barone, 2011; Smetacek, 2001), our results suggest that cell adaptation to 559 turbulence and viscosity is of primary importance. Cell elongation gives a competitive advantage to 560 diatoms in low-turbulence and low-viscous waters because it diminishes sinking velocity (Padisak et 561 al., 2003). Our results have also shown that elongation does not affect surface/volume ratio and 562 therefore the ability of cells to absorb nutrients (Figure 1g-h). We did not find any evidence that 563 elongation might enhance light capture despite some suggestions that it might (Naselli-Flores and 564 Barone, 2011). Finally, although some studies have suggested that zooplankton can feed on large 565 chains and elongated cells (Djeghri et al., 2018; Visser and Jonsson, 2000), the positive correlation between copepod abundance and elongation that we found suggests predation may also be an 566 567 important selective agent. Our study suggests that prolates and oblates are key adaptations to 568 specific environments, conferring to species a specific niche. The resulting niche-environment 569 interaction explains phenology and therefore the precise position of a species in the sequence of 570 ADS. Understanding the relationships between morphological traits and species niche can help us to 571 better anticipate community shifts and their functional implications for the ecosystems in the 572 context of global climate change (Litchman et al., 2012). To conclude, as both oblates and prolates 573 exhibit different long-term changes in abundance, suggesting that they might also have different 574 responses to climate forcing, we encourage biogeochemical and earth-system modelers to 575 implement these two diatom groups to improve the assessment of marine primary production and 576 carbon export.

577

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the owners and crews that have towed the CPRs on a
voluntary basis for over 80 years contributing to one of the world's largest and longest ongoing
ecological experiments. Without these early pioneers of citizen science and broad-scale volunteer
monitoring projects this unique ecological dataset would never have been financially or logistically

- viable. The authors also want to thanks Eric Lecuyer, Dimitra-Ioli Skouroliakou, Monica Michel-
- 583 Rodriguez and Lucie Courcot for their helpful comments during the design of this study.
- 584
- 585 Data sharing statement: Data used in the production of this manuscript are already freely available
- 586 (see Materials and Methods section and Supplementary Text S2).
- 587
- 588 **Funding information:** This work is supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
- 589 (CNRS), the Region Hauts-de-France and the Marine Biological Association of the UK.
- 590

### 591 References

592 Ackerly, D.D., 2003. Community Assembly, Niche Conservatism, and Adaptive Evolution in Changing Environments. Int. J. Plant Sci. 164, S165–S184. https://doi.org/10.1086/368401 593 594 Beaugrand, G., Brander, K.M., Lindley, J.A., Souissi, S., Reid, P.C., 2003a. Plankton effect on cod 595 recruitment in the North Sea. Nature 426, 661–664. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02164 596 Beaugrand, G., Ibañez, F., Lindley, J.A., 2003b. An overview of statistical methods applied to CPR 597 data. Prog. Oceanogr. 58, 235–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2003.08.006 598 Behrenfeld, M.J., 2010. Abandoning Sverdrup's Critical Depth Hypothesis on phytoplankton blooms. 599 Ecology 91, 977–989. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1207.1 600 Bopp, L., Aumont, O., Cadule, P., Alvain, S., Gehlen, M., 2005. Response of diatoms distribution to 601 global warming and potential implications: A global model study. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32. 602 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023653 603 Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J.C., Doney, S.C., Dunne, J.P., Gehlen, M., Halloran, P., Heinze, C., Ilyina, 604 T., Séférian, R., Tjiputra, J., Vichi, M., 2013. Multiple stressors of ocean ecosystems in the 605 21st century: projections with CMIP5 models. Biogeosciences 10, 6225–6245. 606 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013 607 Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M., West, G.B., 2004. Toward a metabolic theory of 608 ecology. Ecology 85, 1771–1789. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-9000 609 Caracciolo, M., Beaugrand, G., Hélaouët, P., Gevaert, F., Edwards, M., Lizon, F., Kléparski, L., 610 Goberville, E., 2021. Annual phytoplankton succession results from niche-environment 611 interaction. J. Plankton Res. 43, 85–102. https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbaa060 612 Chivers, W.J., Walne, A.W., Hays, G.C., 2017. Mismatch between marine plankton range movements 613 and the velocity of climate change. Nat. Commun. 8, 14434. 614 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14434 615 Clifton, W., Bearon, R.N., Bees, M.A., 2018. Enhanced sedimentation of elongated plankton in simple 616 flows. IMA J. Appl. Math. 83, 743–766. https://doi.org/10.1093/imamat/hxy024 617 Colebrook, J.M., 1986. Environmental influences on long-term variability in marine plankton. 618 Hydrobiologia 142, 309-325. 619 Colebrook, J.M., 1984. Continuous plankton records: relationships between species of phytoplankton 620 and zooplankton in the seasonal cycle. Marine Biology 83, 313–323. 621 Cushing, D.H., 1990. Plankton Production and Year-class Strength in Fish Populations: an Update of 622 the Match/Mismatch Hypothesis. Adv. Mar. Biol. 26, 249–293. 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3

- Djeghri, N., Atkinson, A., Fileman, E.S., Harmer, R.A., Widdicombe, C., McEvoy, A.J., Cornwell, L.,
   Mayor, D.J., 2018. High prey-predator size ratios and unselective feeding in copepods: A
   seasonal comparison of five species with contrasting feeding modes. Prog. Oceanogr. 165,
   63–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2018.04.013
- Dutkiewicz, S., Scott, J.R., Follows, M.J., 2013. Winners and losers: Ecological and biogeochemical
   changes in a warming ocean. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 27, 463–477.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/gbc.20042
- Edwards, M., Beaugrand, G., Reid, P.C., Rowden, A.A., Jones, M.B., 2002. Ocean climate anomalies
  and the ecology of the North Sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 239, 1–10.
  https://doi.org/10.3354/meps239001
- 634 Edwards, M., Richardson, A.J., 2004. Impact of climate change on marine pelagic phenology and 635 trophic mismatch. Nature 430, 881–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02808
- Falkowski, P.G., Katz, M.E., Knoll, A.H., Quigg, A., Raven, J.A., Schofield, O., Taylor, F.J.R., 2004. The
   Evolution of Modern Eukaryotic Phytoplankton. Science 305, 8.
- Fu, W., Randerson, J.T., Moore, J.K., 2016. Climate change impacts on net primary production (NPP)
  and export production (EP) regulated by increasing stratification and phytoplankton
  communitystructure in the CMIP5 models. Biogeosciences 13, 5151–5170.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-5151-2016
- Hinder, S.L., Hays, G.C., Edwards, M., Roberts, E.C., Walne, A.W., Gravenor, M.B., 2012. Changes in
  marine dinoflagellate and diatom abundance under climate change. Nat. Clim. Change 2,
  271–275. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1388
- 645 Hutchinson, G.E., 1957. Concluding remarks. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 22, 415–427.
- Jackson, D.A., Somers, K.M., 1989. Are probability estimates from the permutation model of Mantel's
   test stable? Can. J. Zool. 67, 766–769. https://doi.org/10.1139/z89-108
- Jin, X., Gruber, N., Dunne, J.P., Sarmiento, J.L., Armstrong, R.A., 2006. Diagnosing the contribution of
   phytoplankton functional groups to the production and export of particulate organic carbon,
   CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and opal from global nutrient and alkalinity distributions. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles
   20. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002532
- Jonas, T.D., Walne, A.W., Beaugrand, G., Gregory, L., Hays, G.C., 2004. The volume of water filtered
  by a Continuous Plankton Recorder sample: the effect of ship speed. J. Plankton Res. 26,
  1499–1506. https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbh137
- Karp-Boss, L., Boss, E., 2016. The Elongated, the Squat and the Spherical: Selective Pressures for
  Phytoplankton Shape, in: Glibert, P.M., Kana, T.M. (Eds.), Aquatic Microbial Ecology and
  Biogeochemistry: A Dual Perspective. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 25–34.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30259-1\_3
- Katz, M.E., Wright, J.D., Miller, K.G., Cramer, B.S., Fennel, K., Falkowski, P.G., 2005. Biological
  overprint of the geological carbon cycle. Mar. Geol. 217, 323–338.
- Kemp, A.E.S., Villareal, T.A., 2018. The case of the diatoms and the muddled mandalas: Time to
  recognize diatom adaptations to stratified waters. Prog. Oceanogr. 167, 138–149.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2018.08.002
- Kemp, A.E.S., Villareal, T.A., 2013. High diatom production and export in stratified waters A
   potential negative feedback to global warming. Prog. Oceanogr. 119, 4–23.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.06.004
- Leblanc, K., Arístegui, J., Armand, L., Assmy, P., Beker, B., Bode, A., Breton, E., Cornet, V., Gibson, J.,
  Gosselin, M.-P., Kopczynska, E., Marshall, H., Peloquin, J., Piontkovski, S., Poulton, A.J.,
  Quéguiner, B., Schiebel, R., Shipe, R., Stefels, J., van Leeuwe, M.A., Varela, M., Widdicombe,
  C., Yallop, M., 2012. A global diatom database abundance, biovolume and biomass in the
  world ocean. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 4, 149–165. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-4-149-2012
- Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 1998. Numerical Ecology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Lausanne New York Oxford Shannon Singapore Tokyo.
- Lewis, W.M., 1976. Surface/Volume Ratio: Implications for Phytoplankton Morphology. Science 192,
   885–887. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.192.4242.885

- Ligowski, R., Jordan, R.W., Assmy, P., 2012. Morphological adaptation of a planktonic diatom to
   growth in Antarctic sea ice. Mar Biol 11.
- Litchman, E., de Tezanos Pinto, P., Klausmeier, C.A., Thomas, M.K., Yoshiyama, K., 2010. Linking traits
  to species diversity and community structure in phytoplankton. Hydrobiologia 653, 15–28.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-010-0341-5
- Litchman, E., Edwards, K.F., Klausmeier, C.A., Thomas, M.K., 2012. Phytoplankton niches, traits and
   eco-evolutionary responses to global environmental change. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 470, 235–
   248. https://doi.org/doi: 10.3354/meps09912
- Litchman, E., Klausmeier, C.A., 2008. Trait-Based Community Ecology of Phytoplankton. Annu. Rev.
   Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39, 615–639. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173549
- Litchman, E., Klausmeier, C.A., Schofield, O.M., Falkowski, P.G., 2007. The role of functional traits and
   trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from cellular to ecosystem
   level. Ecol. Lett. 10, 1170–1181. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01117.x
- Malviya, S., Scalco, E., Audic, S., Vincent, F., Veluchamy, A., Poulain, J., Wincker, P., Iudicone, D., de
   Vargas, C., Bittner, L., Zingone, A., Bowler, C., 2016. Insights into global diatom distribution
   and diversity in the world's ocean. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 1516–1525.
   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509523113
- Margalef, R., 1978. Life-forms of phytoplankton as survival alternatives in an unstable environment.
   Oceanol. Acta 1, 493–509.
- Naselli-Flores, L., Barone, R., 2011. Invited Review Fight on Plankton! or, Phytoplankton Shape and
  Size as Adaptive Tools to Get Ahead in the Struggle for Life. Cryptogam. Algol. 32, 157–204.
  https://doi.org/10.7872/crya.v32.iss2.2011.157
- Naselli-Flores, L., Zohary, T., Padisák, J., 2021. Life in suspension and its impact on phytoplankton
   morphology: an homage to Colin S. Reynolds. Hydrobiologia 848, 7–30.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04217-x
- Nelson, D.M., Tréguer, P., Brzezinski, M.A., Leynaert, A., Quéguiner, B., 1995. Production and
   dissolution of biogenic silica in the ocean: Revised global estimates, comparison with regional
   data and relationship to biogenic sedimentation. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles 9, 359–372.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/95GB01070
- Padisak, J., Soroczki-Pinter, E., Rezner, Z., 2003. Sinking properties of some phytoplankton shapes and
   the relation of form resistance to morphological diversity of plankton an experimental
   study. Hydrobiologia 500, 243–257.
- Pahlow, M., Riebesell, U., Wolf-Gladrow, D.A., 1997. Impact of cell shape and chain formation on nutrient acquisition by marine diatoms. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 1660–1672.
  https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.8.1660
- Pančić, M., Kiørboe, T., 2018. Phytoplankton defence mechanisms: traits and trade-offs: Defensive traits and trade-offs. Biol. Rev. 93, 1269–1303. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12395
- Pilson, M.E.Q., 2013. An Introduction to the Chemistry of the Sea., second edition. ed. Cambridge
   University Press.
- Platt, T., Fuentes-Yaco, C., Frank, K.T., 2003. Spring algal bloom and larval fish survival. Nature 423,
   398–399.
- 717 Raven, P., Johnson, G., Losos, J., Singer, S., 2005. Biology. Mc Graw-Hill.
- Reid, P.C., Colebrook, J.M., Matthews, J.B.L., Aiken, J., Continuous Plankton Recorder Team, 2003.
  The Continuous Plankton Recorder: concepts and history, from Plankton Indicator to
  undulating recorders. Prog. Oceanogr. 58, 117–173.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2003.08.002
- Reynolds, C.S., 1998. Plants in motion: Physical biological interaction in the plankton, in: Imberger, J.
   (Ed.), Coastal and Estuarine Studies. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., pp.
   535–560. https://doi.org/10.1029/CE054p0535
- Reynolds, C.S., 1989. Physical determinants of phytoplankton succession, in: Sommer, U. (Ed.),
   Plankton Ecology Succession in Plankton Communities. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg,
   New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, p. 377.

- Schwaderer, A.S., Yoshiyama, K., de Tezanos Pinto, P., Swenson, N.G., Klausmeier, C.A., Litchman, E.,
   2011. Eco-evolutionary differences in light utilization traits and distributions of freshwater
   phytoplankton. Limnol. Oceanogr. 56, 589–598. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.2.0589
- Smayda, T., 1970. The suspension and sinking of phytoplankton in the sea. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann.
   Rev. 8, 353–414.
- 733 Smetacek, V., 2001. A watery arms race. Nature 411, 745.
- Smetacek, V., 1985. Role of sinking in diatom life-history cycles: ecological, evolutionary and
   geological significance. Mar. Biol. 84, 239–251.
- Sommer, U., 1998. Silicate and the functional geometry of marine phytoplankton. J. Plankton Res. 20,
   1853–1859. https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/20.9.1853
- 738 Sournia, A., 1982. Form and function in marine phytoplankton. Biol. Rev. 57, 347–394.
- Southwood, T.R.E., May, R.M., Hassell, M.P., Conway, G.R., 1974. Ecological strategies and population
   parameters. Am. Nat. 108, 791–804.
- Stanca, E., Cellamare, M., Basset, A., 2013. Geometric shape as a trait to study phytoplankton
  distributions in aquatic ecosystems. Hydrobiologia 701, 99–116.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-012-1262-2
- Tréguer, P., Bowler, C., Moriceau, B., Dutkiewicz, S., Gehlen, M., Aumont, O., Bittner, L., Dugdale, R.,
   Finkel, Z., Iudicone, D., Jahn, O., Guidi, L., Lasbleiz, M., Leblanc, K., Levy, M., Pondaven, P.,
- 2018. Influence of diatom diversity on the ocean biological carbon pump. Nat. Geosci. 11,
  27–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-017-0028-x
- 748 Visser, A.W., Jonsson, P.R., 2000. On the reorientation of non-spherical prey particles in a feeding
- current. Journal of Plankton Research 22, 761–777.
- 750