

The territorial biorefinery as a new business model

Lucian Ceapraz, Gaëlle Kotbi, Loïc Sauvée

▶ To cite this version:

Lucian Ceapraz, Gaëlle Kotbi, Loïc Sauvée. The territorial biorefinery as a new business model. Bio-based and Applied Economics, 2016, 10.13128/BAE-15379. hal-04261940

HAL Id: hal-04261940 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-04261940

Submitted on 22 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Ceapraz I.L., Kotbi G., Sauvée L. 2016. The territorial biorefinery as a new business model. Bio-based
- 2 and Applied Economics, v. 5, n. 1, pp 47-62, April.

The territorial biorefinery as a new business model

6 CEAPRAZ Ion Luciana, KOTBI Gaëllea, SAUVÉE Loïca

^aPICAR-T Research Unit, Institut Polytechnique LaSalle Beauvais

19 Rue Pierre Waguet, 60000 Beauvais, France

Abstract

The transition toward more sustainable industries opens the way for alternative solutions based upon new economic models using agricultural inputs or biomass to substitute oil-based inputs. In this context different generations of biorefinery complexes are evolving rapidly and highlight the numerous possibilities for the organization of processing activities, from supply to final markets. The evolution of these biorefineries has followed two main business models, the port biorefinery, based on the importation of raw materials, and the territorial biorefinery, based on strong relationships with local (or regional) supply bases. In this article we focus on the concept of the 'territorial biorefinery', seen as a new business model. We develop the idea of a link between the biorefinery and its territory through several relevant theoretical approaches and demonstrate that the definition of 'territorial biorefinery' does not achieve, from these theoretical backgrounds, a consensus. More importantly, we emphasise that the theoretical assumptions underlying the different definitions used should be made explicit in order to facilitate the manner in which practioners study, develop and set up businesses of this kind.

Keywords: territorial biorefinery, innovation, business model, industrial and territorial ecology.

JEL Classification: O33, Q16, R11

29

28

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

1. Introduction and objectives

In the context of the energetic transition and the emergence of a new bioeconomy, the issue of defining innovative business models to support this fundamental change is crucial for policy makers and researchers alike. Considering this policy background, the objective of this article is to identify the relevant theoretical contributions to the understanding of the territorial biorefinery as a new business model. Underlying this objective is the importance of developing innovative research capable of providing insights and recommendations at the policy level. First, we empirically characterise the concept of 'territorial biorefinery' as a new means of biomass development based on the "doubly green" chemistry (in the sense of Nieddu, 2010; Octave and Thomas, 2009) and the principles of territorial and industrial ecology applied to this industry. Second, we identify a theoretical corpus proposed for the understanding of this field. The corpus of the socioeconomics of proximity (Bouba-Olga and Zimmermann, 2004, Torre and Filippi, 2005) and its developments for agricultural and food sectors (Requier-Desjardins, 2003) make it possible to identify the different approaches of the territory. Third, we highlight the definition of the territory, not as a passive registration of economic activity, but rather as an endogenous variable resulting from a socioeconomic process of building territorialized assets. Understanding biorefinery as a new concept assumes therefore that we should consider all the dimensions of its roots. From these preliminary remarks we distinguish two possible theoretical frameworks. The first focuses on the various forms that biorefineries can take in a given territory (second section), from the passive biorefinery to the socially constructed biorefinery. The second framework immediately places the territorial biorefinery as a source of profound rupture and originality (third section). The biorefinery is thus no longer only a concept to be understood, but also an object to

be invented and built as the conditions of its appearance and development are not given a priori.

In Section 5 we provide a synthesis of the approach toward developing the territorial biorefinery as a conceptual object. In Section 6 we provide concluding comments regarding the interests and limitations of the article.

2. Biorefinery, plant refinery, territorial biorefinery: what empirical definitions?

2.1 Definitions

According to Naik et al. (2010), "the term 'Biorefinery' was initially established by NREL¹ (1990) or the utilization of biomass for production of fuels and other bioproducts". The technological objective of biorefineries is to split biomass and recover the essential components, namely carbohydrates, proteins and fats. These raw materials are then processed and transformed, by way of various technologies, into different final products. As Wagemann et al. (2012) outlines, "a biorefinery is characterized by an explicitly integrative, multifunctional overall concept that uses biomass as a diverse source of raw materials for the sustainable generation of a spectrum of different intermediates and products (chemicals, materials, bioenergy/biofuels), allowing the fullest possible use of all raw materials components"

An initial definition proposed by the International Energy Agency (IEA) in its Bioenergy Task 42 describes biorefinery as "... the sustainable processing of biomass into a spectrum of marketable products and energy"². According to Cherubini (2010), "a biorefinery is a facility (or network of facilities) that integrates biomass conversion processes and equipment to produce transportation biofuels, power, and chemicals from biomass"

The territorial biorefinery (hereafter, TB), as a new concept, is put at the crossroads of several theoretical approaches. Before evaluating the concept in terms of existing theories, it is necessary to clarify the framework. We initially provide an empirical definition of the object of "biorefinery" followed by the definition of the "territorial biorefinery". In 2011 the IAR3 competitiveness cluster proposed the following definition: "a biorefinery is an industrial complex, located on the same site, which turns agricultural and forest biomass into a variety of bio-based products (food, feed, chemicals,

¹ National Renewable Energy Laboratory "located in Golden, Colorado, is the United States' primary laboratory for renewable energy and energy efficiency research and development" (Wikipedia, 2015).

² http://www.iea-bioenergy.task42-biorefineries.com/en/ieabiorefinery.htm

³In the French context, IAR means "Industries & Agro-Resources"; which is a competitive cluster of global importance (or 'Pôle de Compétitivité' (i.e. competitiveness cluster) that brings together large and small firms, research bodies and educational establishments, all working together in a specific region to develop synergies and cooperative efforts around a shared theme" (www.competitivite.gouv.fr). It has been launched in 2005.

biomolecules, agro-materials) and bioenergy (biofuels, electricity, heat) as part of a sustainable development strategy. So it is both the transformation of the vegetal—plant by valorising all its components and the integration of the components of an industrial site to achieve an original "industrial metabolism" and an "industrial symbiosis" (Beaurain and Brullot, 2011). Two large biorefinery models (Europabio, 2011; European Commission, 2012) have emerged: (i) a model of the 'port-biorefinery' which is strongly connected to global flows of raw materials, and the economic logic of which is based on threshold effects, specialisation, and economies of scale; and (ii) the 'territorial biorefinery', which is strongly connected to its surrounding territory and the economic logic of which is based on a more diverse and more thorough valuation of various biomasses of agricultural origin.

These two types of biorefineries have developed a strong reputation in Europe. The first model focuses on aggregated value chains based on low-cost imports of vegetable raw materials. It is logically located near major communication routes (ports, channels etc.) to achieve an agglomeration of resources (Colletis et al. 1999) and economies of scale. The territorial biorefinery strongly integrates value chain actors according to logic of proximity (in the sense of the economics of proximity), resource requirements (Colletis et al., 1999) and complementarities between actors.

These second generation biorefineries are built on the synergies between public-private stakeholders (farmers, local professional communities, etc.), researchers and different communities that enable the transformation and the development of a territory. Thus, local resources and territorial strategic assets interact in terms of localization and geographical proximity with the presence of local actors.

2.2 The territorial biorefinery approach: territorial engineering and the territorial project as 'action tools'?

The territorial biorefinery puts forward its distinctive features, notably geographical proximity, institutional proximity⁴ (linked to the existence of a "territorial project"⁵) and organizational proximity (multiple and multi-level interactions between local actors in an "eco-systemic logic" of industrial and

_

⁴ "Based on the adherence of actors to a common space of representations and rules of action directing collective behavior, this institutional proximity has more or less influence on the conformity of different modes of coordination between actors, and therefore on the emergence of patterns of localized productive coordination. "(Colletis et al., 1999, pp. 27-28).

⁵ The territorial project design stage is crucial in the process of territorial development, as it broadens the scope of possible actions and the possibility for action of the actors" (Lardon et al., 2005). The territorial engineering is seen as "the set of concepts, methods, tools and devices available to actors in the territories, to support the design, implementation and evaluation of regional projects", (Lardon et al., 2005).

territorial ecology). Another distinctive feature of the TB is its relationships, which are developed within a given territory. Indeed, territorial engineering⁶ could be applied to the biorefineries insofar as they all have the attributes of territorial projects. According to Piveteau (2011), territorial engineering is synonymous with some forms of territorial organisation. There is a link with territorial projects characterised by hybrid forms of control (development councils and elected bodies) and an ascending construction which claims external support: technical and financial support from the State, regions and the European Union.

According to Bayrand and Sergeant (2007), the use of the territorial engineering concept is all the more necessary for the development of territories that involve the cooperation and consultation of local actors and territorial development actors. These actors employ complex procedures in relation to new territorial projects that may be located on territories that are increasingly competitive with each other. This concept "makes use of different tangible and intangible resources, which make up the territory to accompany the process of territorial development" (Lenormand 2011; see also Lamara, 2009).

Regarding the actors, the concept of territorial engineering mobilizes "not only the local development actors, politicians, residents and local leaders, but all the players facing the challenges of territorial development" (Lardon et al., 2005). To do so the emergence of a project on a territory (for example a biorefinery) implies the coordinated mobilization of various public and private engineering skills around territorial projects, which is a territorial intelligence.

Related to the territorial development of a biorefinery, territorial engineering can accomplish the mission to support "projects for the establishment or expansion of private companies" but also "interventions for the maintenance of jobs". One can also add any "design approach and co-construction of a project to which the concerned community is associated without necessarily being main carrier of the project" (Bayrand and Sergeant, 2007).

The development of this type of biorefinery is born from the logic of economic incentives as a result of the transition from a socio-technical system to another through the innovation and learning-by-doing of economic players at several geographic scales. These could be public-private partnerships following a

[.]

⁶ Territorial engineering ("ingéniérie territorale") is seen as "the set of concepts, methods, tools and devices available to actors in the territories, to support the design, implementation and evaluation of regional projects", (Lardon et al., 2005).

'bottom-up logic involving local authorities and private actors with democratic legitimacy or 'top-down' policies, according to the economic and socio-political conditions at stake.

3. The territorial biorefinery: approaches by the conceptualization of the

territorialization

3.1 Overview

The territorial rootedness of a biorefinery in a given territory can be approached initially from the role of the territory in the location of the economic activity. The contributions of the concept of proximity provide an expanded role to the territory, which acquires a status of an endogenous variable (Camagni, 2002). Institutional and competitive changes in the agro-industrial sector incite to shed light on the question of the role of territorial assets in building the competitive advantage of firms localized *in situ*. Over the past 15 years the research on firm organisation and strategy was highly relevant to this question (Bencharif and Rastoin, 2007, Brechet and Saives, 2001; Depret and Hamdouch, 2007) and has led to several approach concerning the spatialization of productive activities.

3.2 The territory as a passive registration of agribusiness and agricultural activity

Scientific research approaches dealing with space and territory in business strategy are not uniform. Lauriol et al. (2008) distinguish two major trends. The first stream is interested in the spatial dimension of strategies. Strongly influenced by the work of economists, this stream of thought mainly deals with the role of productive activities according to the characteristics and attributes of a given territory. Since these attributes are not mobile, firms define their spatial location based on real or perceived territorial benefits, resulting in a certain spatial localization of firms. Space is seen in this work as a largely external dimension to the firm, the choice of which is guided by an optimal choice of spatial localization given the strategic choices of the biorefinery system. By this we mean that location decisions should be considered as strategic and "immobilizing a large amount of resources and involving an important group of industrial actors" (Serrano et al., 2015). The localization choice could have a significant importance when referring to environmental footprint and when taking into account "transportation and logistics activities because of the supply chain procurement" (Serrano et al., 2015).

The approach concerning the optimal location of a facility (in this case a biorefinery) is related to location science or facility location which is a field addressed by Operations Research (OP) (Melo et al. (2009). According to Melo et al. (2009), "the facility location decisions play a critical role in the strategic design of supply chain networks" and "the optimal location of a new facility is determined with respect to cost, profit, distance, service time, market coverage, or some other desired attribute" (Bowling et al., 2011). The theoretical framework of facility location is derived from the area of industrial organisation and uses "specific geographic information in location-allocation problems" (Tittmann, 2010). Several examples can be mentioned when locating a biorefinery using a geographic resource estimation. Authors like Perlack et al. (2005), Walsh et al. (2000), Graham et al. (2000) have proposed a model of the optimal location of biorefineries through the use of "feedstock input based on the marginal cost of an energy crop feedstock delivered to the site" (Tittmann, 2010).

A second stream focuses on how firms are spatially distributed within a given industry. For Lauriol et al. (2008), the logic of spatial activities and firms cannot be reduced merely to a firm's individual choice of location. According to Sierra (1997), a territory is not reducible to its spatial or localization dimension but is an entity that operates as a complex spatial organisation and as an economic, political and social mode of organisation between a set of economic agents anchored locally. Indeed, there are many favourable effects ('spillover effects'), for example related to knowledge, know-how etc., which lead to an aggregation process of activities or agglomerations. These activities may involve aggregations of firms in the same industry or different industries, but these companies are looking for positive network externalities that it is those of logistics, applied or basic research, services, etc. The logic of competitiveness clusters, or Marshallian districts, are prominent examples. The competitive poles or clusters concept has been widely used in the academic literature when related to the localization of firms in a common geographical area. The concept has been widely popularized by Michael E. Porter in its seminal work "The Competitive Advantage of Nations" (Porter, 1998) where a cluster is seen as "a spatially concentrated group of firms competing in the same or related industries that are linked through vertical and horizontal relationships".

3.3 The territory as an endogenous variable: the contribution of socio-economics

Yet a territory is also seen as a spatially built entity the constitution of which is based on the intentional combination of individual and/or collective actions, and the mobilization of specific resources (Rallet

and Torre, 2005; Torre and Filippi, 2005; Réquier-Desjardins et al. 2003). One of the key concepts of these approaches is the notion of activation. Activation is defined as the finalized interaction of an actor with a tangible or intangible resource (registered within a territory or mobile) (Réquier-Desjardins et al. 2003). The territory is then no longer a passive provider of resources, but rather a place of active construction on behalf of the economic and institutional actors (local authorities, for example). These actors intentionally participate through their interactions in building competitive advantages related to the territory. Consequently, the dimension of intentionality of the actor acquires a particular resonance when addressed to the strategic approach linked to the territory. This conception of territory, as a built entity, broadens the scope of strategic issues faced by firms, such as how best to build and maintain territorialized assets over time, and how to better coordinate these resources at the local or regional level, including for firms operating in several countries, or at the global level? This dimension of coordination and asset control refers to the issue of governance and its relationship to the geographical space.

3.4 The governance of territorial resources

Governance, and more precisely the territorial governance, is strongly linked to the performance of clusters (De Langen, 2004) and to the coordination of activities between local actors. Two important attributes of clusters should be mentioned, namely the network attribute and the spatial attribute (or the territorial attribute) (Berthinier-Poncet, 2015). In the case of France, territorial governance is defined as "a complex institutional process combining cognitive and political dimensions, in which institutional proximity appears as a precondition of collective action and so organizational proximity at the micro-level of coordination" (Carrincazeaux et al., 2008).

Questioning the role of territory in agribusiness activities within the new competitive and institutional context requires the consideration of a complementary perspective, namely that of governance (or more generally of the organisation) of strategic assets. As a corollary we issue the question of the articulation of two often disjointed concepts: the concept of the value chain and the territory concept seen as a basis for a strategic asset. The study of agro-industrial group strategy shows that this construction is contingent on searching for a competitive advantage (Kotbi and Sauvée, 2010) and the goal of competitive advantage varies greatly from one group to another (Kotbi et al., 2011).

In a context of the globalization of markets, the agribusiness enterprise considers the increasingly strategic assets in terms of a portfolio, where the vertical governance related to the territory is substituted by the global governance of the industrial group. This mode of governance of the territory is more horizontal and flexible, and cannot escape either the institutional and competitive environment of each region or the heavy constraints of the productive dimension typical to any agricultural activity.

Each agribusiness group (enterprise) helps define a unique combination of territorial assets, a territorial value chain, given its internal and external situation and its objectives for building a competitive advantage. The sources of competitiveness and/or attractiveness of regions reside mainly in the specific attributes or characteristics (Colletis et al., 1999) largely specific to local conditions (such as adequate soil and climatic conditions, the density of producing farms, and logistical conditions, Camagni, 2002).

3.5 The global value chain approach

The approaches focused on the global value chain (hereafter GVC) provide a good starting point for understanding the global strategies of firms, articulating both an organisational and a spatial dimension. Initiated in the early 1990s by the American sociologist Gary Gereffi (Gereffi et al., 2001), these approaches have found practical application to agri-food sectors (Bencharif and Rastoin, 2007, Ghersi and Rastoin 2010).

For Gereffi, the global value chain consists of four elements: the sequence of activities, the mobilized geographical space, the institutional environment and the governance structure. The approaches in terms of the global value chain (GVC) lead to the identification of typical configurations defined primarily by the characteristics of the modes of governance of these GVC: the market, the network, the captive network, and the hierarchy (Gereffi, et al., 2001).

Concerning the biorefinery and its market, there are new challenges with respect to the integration of its output into existing global value chains and in this respect can describe several classes of relationships (King et al., 2010): a) "bio-based products that directly replace molecules in existing value-chains"; and b) "bio-based products that are novel or that cannot easily be integrated into

existing value chains". In other words, this question puts forward the articulation between existing and new value chains and the possible flexibility between these chains.

Renewed by the works of Dicken et al. (2004), Coe et al. (2004, 2008), Dicken starts from a critique of Gereffi noting that the spatial dimension of GVC is treated in fairly abstract terms and is incomplete.. The spatial scale the GVC approach is basically between a centre and a periphery that organises the international division of labour based on skills. On the contrary, for Dicken the territory must be addressed in relationship with the GVC and its configuration of activities. The interface between global production networks (Dicken et al. 2004) and the spatial level is validated by the so-called "strategic linkage". This interface is strongly inserted in the institutional and competitive context locally and regionally. The quality of this coupling, including its ability to create and maintain a tension for the *insitu* actors, explains the choice of spatial configurations of firms and their durability over time, hence their territorialisation. This concept, which is significant to Dicken, is also found in the work of Réquier-Desjardins (2003 et al.) on the location of agrifood activities and LAS⁷ (or 'Localized Agrifood Systems').

4. The territorial biorefinery: approaches through the organisational and sociotechnical break (transition)

4.1 The approach of industrial and territorial ecology

The emergence of the territorial biorefinery can also be understood as a potentially sharp break (transition) with the existing model of traditional oil refinery. Territorial and industrial ecology (hereafter TIE) is based on four principles: localization, closing of flows, diversity and gradual evolution (Beaurain and Brullot, 2011). Designed by engineers, and focusing on technology from the outset, the approach of industrial and territorial ecology emphasizes two radically opposed visions (Beaurain and Brullot, 2011). These authors point out that the first approach, developed by Allenby (1992), is mostly positive, with a scientific principle of weak sustainability while the second approach, that of Ehrenfeld (2004), is more social, with a normative principle of strong sustainability.

⁷ In French literature, LAS is translated by the term SYAL ("Systèmes Agroalimentaires Localisés"; Réquier-Desjardins, 2010)).

While these approaches have in common a cyclical conception of how natural ecosystems function, the approach developed by Allenby (1992) is positioned "in highly restrictive conditions of competition" (that of perfect competition) as highlighted by Beaurain and Brullot (2011: 317). Ehrenfeld paves the way for the consideration of human factors and industrial actors, as is also the case for the authors Beaurain and Brullot and the economy of proximity. We have classified industrial ecology as an institutionalist approach of the economy and thus providing a richer approach to the process. Thanks to this approach it is possible to consider the emergence of radically new economic systems in a much more integrative way (Figuière and Metereau, 2012a, 2012b). This approach takes into account all the activities and actors at all levels of the socio-economic system. In this way, the industrial and territorial ecology approach calls for a profound transformation of the organisation of the territory, from the point of view of its territorial metabolism (balance of flows of input and output materials and energy through the territory) and its relations with public and private actors. TIE approach emphasizes the territorial governance practices presented in the previous section. The organisational and human dimension of industrial and territorial ecology is based on the study of current practices and the emergence of new practices such as: i) the ex-ante, in terms of intentionality, coordination of actors, ii) the implementation of new governance modes based particularly on the effects of experience made possible by collective learning mechanisms, both technological and organisational, iii) the conception of a shared repository of values, and iv) the creation of organisational and institutional proximity in addition to the geographical proximity related to the territorialisation (Beaurain and Brullot, 2011). In terms of methods, the TIE has its own territorial engineering, which includes all the resources used to design, plan, implement, monitor and evaluate the collective schemes to identify and characterise the flows of energy and matter and its synergies (including the optimization, the description tools of the metabolism, the conception of an ecological or territorial footprint, the approaches of an environmental assessment, and several multi-criteria approaches of performance or risk evaluation, etc.). The theoretical contribution of TIE is also based on the creation of new forms of territorial development. The idea here is to focus on the potential forms of territorial development induced (or made possible) by the implementation of industrial and territorial ecology approaches and question

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

their potential for structuring or territorial planning and their sustainability criteria for integration conditions. Through the study of two cases Beaurain and Brullot (2011) show that the TIE "becomes a structural element of the strategy for the economic development of the territory". In this sense the public and private actors are sharing a common goal to fight air pollution in the first case and economic decline in the second. According to all particular territorial specificities, TIE can be seen as a consistent development strategy involving various environmental approaches, including the rebalancing between urban and industrial activities/or rural areas in order to organise economic clusters around local resources.

4.2 The biorefinery in the dynamics of socio-technical transition

The socio-technical transition approach (Geels, 2002),⁸ which encompasses the notions of technological niches⁹, socio-technical systems¹⁰ and the socio-technical environment¹¹ distinguishes breakthrough innovation that occurs once these multilevel interactions between actors have been triggered. These sociotechnical niches can enable the development of production systems via a form of transition that disseminates innovation (Lopolito et al., 2010). Regarding the socio-technical regime, there is a multitude of institutional rules of the actors that allow us to understand the dynamics of innovation. The socio-technical system "is a grammar, that is to say, a set of rules defined for a set of products, qualifications and procedures [...] embedded in institutions and infrastructure" (Kemp, 1994; Geels, 2002, 2004, 2005; Rip and Kemp, 1998). The last element that characterises the sociotechnical transition is indicated by the socio-technical environment which "represents the upper level and consists of institutions, social, political and cultural norms guiding the existing socio-technical system" (Kemp, 1994; Geels, 2002).

According to Coenen et al. (2013) the transition refers here to the changes between different sociotechnical configurations that include not only new technologies but also the changes that occur in the markets and for the consumer and institutional actors (Geels, Hekkert and Jacobsson, 2008). The

⁸ For more details on the socio-technical transition approach, see Geels (2002, 2004, 2005).

⁹ Niches act as incubation rooms for radical innovations, nurturing their early development. Niches may take the form of small market niches, with specific selection criteria that are different from the existing regime. These can be R&D projects, but also experimental projects, involving heterogeneous actors, e.g. users, producers, public authorities" (Geels, 2002, 2004, 2005).

¹⁰ "Societal functions are fulfilled by socio-technical systems, which consist of a cluster of aligned elements, e.g. artifacts, knowledge-user practices and markets, regulation, cultural significance, infrastructure, maintenance networks and supply networks" Geels (2002, 2004, 2005).

networks" Geels (2002, 2004, 2005).

11 "...the socio-technical landscape, which refers to aspects of the wider exogenous environment that affect sociotechnical development" Geels (2002).

interaction between the socio-technical transition and the geography of innovation offers a new dimension for understanding the concept of transitional space. The analytical framework often presented simply for the trajectory of technological change, did not sufficiently take into account how this transition is "trapped" within a local area or region (McCauley and Stephens, 2012; Smith and Olesen, 2010). The integration of space and geographical proximity was recently assessed by a number of authors (Markard and Truffer, 2008, Coenen et al. 2013, Spath and Rohracher 2010, Truffer and Coenen 2012), who substituted the idea of understanding a "sustainable socio-technical transition" with the idea of a "regional transformation."

5. What theoretical approaches for the territorial biorefinery: an attempt to synthesize

The territorial biorefinery is fundamentally a specific mode of using biomass resources. The foundations of the territorial biorefinery, seen as a new business concept, are based, according to the desire of its designers, on the idea of a transition within the logic of production. It is part of a broad socio-technical transition, allowing for the passage from the petrochemical model to the model of renewable carbon molecules. We are in the presence of a new way of organising production and processing, affecting a multitude of value chains in the energy, material, chemical, and food sectors, etc. A second transition that is brought forward by the territorial biorefinery is the significant reduction in GHG¹² of economic activities. With regard to the specific case of biorefinery, it is therefore important to introduce a new dimension into the economic calculation of costs. The costs are not added ex post, as in conventional approaches impact on GHG emission levels of various productive activities, but ex ante, in the design of chains value. A third break in the logic of production methods is based on the idea of a total valuation of the plant through its circularity of processes. In the conception of the territorial biorefinery, each component is considered from the standpoint of its productive purposes, but this logic goes further by establishing a principle of circularity in the transformation of the product, each sub-product being directly or indirectly reintroduced into the economic circuit.

¹² Greenhouse gases.

Putting forward the conceptualization of territorial biorefinery therefore constitutes questioning the very object of its foundations: the theoretical foundations that govern its definition, the degree of departure from the existing model that this new valuation model assumes and the position of the researcher vis-à-vis this conceptual object. On this point we use the terminology of Gavard-Perret et al. (2012) which distinguishes between the "constructivism and methodological knowledge" to describe the relationship of the researcher to the object, and the "constructivism and object knowledge" to refer to the constructed nature of the studied object (Gavard-Perret et al., 2012, p.90).

From an initial basic definition of the territorial biorefinery, we synthesised and identified two dimensions that seem essential for the approach of the territorial biorefinery as a conceptual object: the underlying theoretical approach and the situation of the researcher with regard to its object.

We have seen that it seems possible to identify a first difference between the theories of territorial anchorage - theories which place the territory as a major dimension in the definition of the territorial biorefinery as a concept, and also theories of disruption, placing the territorial biorefinery as one element in an overall transition from a petrochemical system to a renewable carbon-centred system (Colonna, 2013).

In terms of epistemological position, we join the approach proposed by David (2012) who emphasizes an original reading of the different research approaches that can overcome the traditional dichotomy between positivism and constructivism. On one level David distinguished at first the contribution of research to the construction of reality: it may have implications for the action with a construct of reality. Instead, the research can be placed in a situation of intervention and transformation, more or less directly linked to this reality. Thereafter, David questioned the degree of contextualization of research in a classic, inductive approach of the existing. Yet the research approach can also place the concrete project or its idealized representation as a starting point for research, and consequently put itself in a situation of designing the organization of activities *ex ante*.

This epistemological and methodological reflection seems particularly fruitful for us to question the concept of territorial biorefinery. Indeed, beyond the diversity of theoretical approaches that can be mobilized to address the object of territorial biorefinery, two questions remain open: the epistemological presuppositions of the theoretical approaches and the researcher's position relative to the concrete reality on the ground. According to the main theoretical approaches developed in this

article, the definition of the territory and, more importantly, its role for the biorefinery, differs widely. It is possible to sketch, along a continuum, the situation of these theories. At one end of the continuum, the territory serves simply as an optimisation function for the costs. At the other end, the BT is seen as the active development of territorial assets and relationships by actors. In between, we find theories combining local conditions and a global (meaning geographically integrated) configuration of activities. Considering the position of the researcher with regard to the object under examination, we find here the classical opposition between positivism and constructivism. Indeed, we suggest on this point that the researcher should also make explicit his/her positioning: is the researcher a neutral observer of the reality, providing an in-house model of the optimisation of the territorial biorefinery? Or is the researcher involved in one way or another in the changes that occur? We have seen that the BT as an ex ante designed business model introduces a new role for the researcher, being actively concerned by its object, as in the research-action models.

6. Conclusion

We have seen that the concept of territorial biorefinery can refer to different theoretical approaches that we have schematically grouped into two broad categories: approaches centred on territorial assets and the degree to which they are rooted in the local context, and approaches focused on the model of the territorial biorefinery, seen as a major socio-technical transition. The demonstration of this diversity of theoretical approaches reflects a certain lack of consensus with regard to what actually constitutes a territorial biorefinery as a basis for a new business model. These divisions also reflect a diversity of epistemological issues, positivist, or constructivist, or of action research. We believe that it is useful, either from the point of view of research or for the practitioners involved in their development, to make them explicit and to identify how the coupling between theoretical and epistemological issues helps to define precisely what the territorial biorefinery should in fact be.

To conclude, a key issue seems to crystallize the importance of the definition of the TB, namely its scale levels. The issue of territorial scales and related integration (in their economic, strategic, organisational and eco-systemic dimensions) characterises the TB as a concept and we have seen that it is not independent from the way the micro, meso and macro scales are operationalized by the various theoretical approaches. Future research on this topic should focus on the active development

of territorial assets and their activation by partners (institutions as well as companies), at these micro and meso levels as this is the main component of the specificity of the territory for a biorefinery that is anchored in its local supply base. Similarly, the dynamic aspects, i.e. the capacity of a given set of actors in a territory to learn and improve themselves in the long run and to create ultimately a competitive and sustainable business model is also an important field of investigation. Eventually the territorial biorefinery could create one of the building blocks of the bioeconomy of the future.

410

411

412

404

405

406

407

408

409

Acknowledgements

This article is part of the project AMONTBIORAF PIVERT.

413

414

References

- 415 Allenby, B. R. (1992). Industrial ecology: The materials scientist in an environmentally constrained
- 416 world. MRS Bulletin, 17(03): 46-51.
- 417 Bayrand, S., A. and Sergeant, P. (2007). L'ingénierie du développement durable-dynamisme et enjeux
- 418 économiques d'un secteur d'activités, étude de l'Institut National de Développement Local.
- 419 Bencharif, A. and Rastoin, J.L. (2007). Concepts et méthodes de l'analyse de filières agroalimentaires
- 420 : application par la chaîne globale de valeur au cas des blés en Algérie, WP n° 7 MOISA, Montpellier.
- 421 Beaurain, C. and Brullot, S. (2011). L'écologie industrielle comme processus de développement
- 422 territorial : une lecture par la proximité, Revue d'Économie Régionale & Urbaine, Juin, 2: 313-340.
- 423 Berthinier-Poncet, A. (2015). Cluster governance and institutional dynamics. A comparative analysis of
- 424 French regional clusters of innovation, XXII Conférence Internationale de Management Stratégique,
- 425 Paris 3-5 June 2015.
- Bowling, I. M., Ponce-Ortega, J.M., El-Halwagi, M. (2011). Facility Location and Supply Chain
- 427 Optimization for a Biorefinery, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 50: 6276-6286.

- 428 Bouba-Olga, O. and Zimmermann, J-B. (2004). Modèles et mesures de la proximité, in Pecqueur B. et
- 429 Zimmermann J.-B. (eds.), Economies de proximité, Hermès, 77-99.
- 430 Brechet, J.P. et Saives, A.L. (2001). De la spécificité à la compétitivité. L'exemple de la construction
- de la compétitivité sur une base territoriale, Finance Contrôle Stratégie, 4: 5-30.
- Camagni, R. (2002). On the concept of Territorial competitiveness: sound or misleading? Urban
- 433 Studies, n°13: 2395-2411.
- 434 Carrincazeaux, C., Grossetti, M., Talbot, D. (2008). Clusters, proximities and networks, European
- 435 Planning Studies, Routledge, 16 (5): 613-616.
- 436 Cherubini, F. (2010). The biorefinery concept: Using biomass instead of oil producing energy and
- chemicals, Energy Conversion and Management 51(2010): 1412-1421.
- 438 Coe, N.M., Hess, M., Yeung, H. W-C, Dicken, P. and Henderson, J. (2004). Globalizing regional
- 439 development: a global production networks perspective, Transaction of the Institute of British
- 440 Geographers, 29: 468-484.
- 441 Coe, N.M., Dicken, P. et Hess, M. (2008). Global Production Networks: Realizing the Potential,
- Journal of Economic Geography, 8 (3): 271-295
- 443 Coenen, L., Moodysson, J., and Martin, H. (2013). Renewal of mature industry in an old industrial
- region: regional innovation policy and the co-evolution of institutions and technology (No. 2013/7).
- 445 Lund University, CIRCLE-Center for Innovation, Research and Competences in the Learning
- 446 Economy.
- 447 Colonna, P. (2013). Développement durable: environnement, énergie et société. L'annuaire du
- 448 Collège de France. Cours et travaux, 112 : 713-724.
- Colletis, G., Gilly, JP. et al. (1999). Construction territoriale et dynamiques économiques, Sciences de
- 450 la société, n°48. Colonna, P., 2013, Développement durable: environnement, énergie et société.
- L'annuaire du Collège de France. Cours et travaux, 112 : 713-724.

- 452 David A. (2012). Logique, épistémologie et méthodologie en sciences de gestion : trois hypothèses
- 453 revisitées. In Les Nouvelles Fondations des sciences de gestion. David A., Hatchuel A., Laufer R.
- 454 (Eds), Presses des Mines, 111-142.
- 455 De Langen, P.W. (2004). The Performance of Seaport Clusters. A framework to Analyze Cluster
- 456 Performance and an Application to the Seaport Clusters of Durban, Rotterdam, and the Lower
- 457 Mississippi, ERIM PhD series, Rotterdam.
- Depret, M-H. and Hamdouch, A. (2007). Changements technologiques, logiques institutionnelles et
- 459 dynamiques industrielles. Esquisse d'une approche co-évolutionnaire appliquée à l'industrie
- pharmaceutique et aux biotechnologies, Innovation, 1 (25): 85-109.
- 461 Dicken, P., Kelly, P.F., Olds, K. and Yeung, H. W-C. (2004). Chains and networks, territories and
- scales: towards a relational framework for analysing the global economy, Global Networks, 1:89-112.
- 463 Ehrenfeld, J. (2004). Industrial ecology: a new field or only a metaphor?. Journal of Cleaner
- 464 Production, 12(8): 825-831.
- 465 Europabio (2011). Biorefinery Feasibility Study. European Biorefinery Joint Strategic Research
- 466 Roadmap for 2020, 2011, Star-COLIBRI.
- 467 European Commission, (2012). Innovating for Sustainable Growth: a Bioeconomy for Europe.
- 468 Figuière, C. and Metereau, R. (2012a). Au carrefour de l'écologie industrielle et du SYAL. Faire
- 469 progresser la durabilité d'un développement rural localisé. In XXVIIIèmes journées du développement
- 470 ATM 2012 'Mobilités internationales, déséquilibres et développement: vers un développement durable
- 471 et une mondialisation décarbonée?', Association Tiers-Monde, Laboratoire d'économie d'Orléans.
- Figuiere, C. and Metereau, R. (2012b). Écologie industrielle: le secteur agroalimentaire comme point
- de départ pour une organisation éco systémique des activités humaines. In Colloque interdisciplinaire
- 474 sur l'écologie industrielle et territoriale (COLEIT), Université de technologie de Troyes.
- Gavard-Perret, M. L., Gotteland, D., Haon, C., and Jolibert, A. (2012). Méthodologie de la recherche
- 476 en sciences de gestion. Pearson Education France.

- 477 Geels, F. W. (2002). Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes: a multi-level
- perspective and a case-study, Research Policy, Volume 31, Issues 8–9, December 2002, 1257–1274.
- 479 Geels, F. W. (2004). From sectoral systems of innovation to socio-technical systems. Insights about
- 480 dynamics and change from sociology and institutional theory, Research Policy 33: 897–920.
- 481 Geels, F. W. (2005). The Dynamics of Transitions in Socio-technical Systems: A Multi-level Analysis of
- 482 the Transition Pathway from Horse-drawn Carriages to Automobiles (1860 1930), Technology
- 483 Analysis & Strategic Management, Vol. 17, No. 4: 445–476.
- 484 Geels, F. W., Hekkert, M. P., and Jacobsson, S. (2008). The dynamics of sustainable innovation
- 485 journeys. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, vol. 20(5): 521-536.
- 486 Gereffi, G., Humphrey, J. and Sturgeon T. (2001). The governance of global value chains, Review of
- 487 International Political Economy, 12: 78-104.
- 488 Graham, R.L., English, B.C., Noon, C.E., (2000). A geographic information system-based modeling
- 489 system for evaluating the cost of delivered energy crop feedstock. Biomass and Bioenergy 18(4):
- 490 309-329.
- 491 Rastoin, J. L., and Ghersi, G. (2010). Le système alimentaire mondial. Editions Quae.
- 492 Hess M. and Yeung H. W-C. (2006). Whither Global Production Networks in Economic Geography:
- 493 Past, Present and Future, Environment and Planning, Special Issue on 'Global Production Networks',
- 494 vol. 38.
- 495 Kemp R. (1994). Technology and the transition to environmental sustainability/ The Problem of
- 496 technological regime shifts. Futures, 2: 1023-1046.
- 497 King, D., Inderwildi, O. R. and Williams, A. (2010). The future of industrial biorefineries, World
- 498 Economic Forum white paper.
- 499 Kotbi, G. and Sauvée, L. (2010). La place du territoire dans les choix stratégiques des groupes
- 500 sucriers français : enjeux et perspectives du changement institutionnel et concurrentiel. In : Colloque
- 501 de l'ASRDLF (Association de Science Régionale De Langue Française) Aoste, Italie, 20-22
- 502 septembre.

- 503 Kotbi, G., Kisempa Muyuala G. and Sauvée L. (2011). La méthode des scénarios appliquée aux
- 504 territoires. L'exemple de l'avenir de la filière Betterave-Sucre de Picardie, Communication à la 1ière
- 505 conférence intercontinentale en Intelligence Territoriale, 12 au 14 octobre 2011, UQO, CEGEP,
- 506 Gatineau, Canada.
- 507 Lamara, M. (2009). Les deux piliers de la construction territoriale : coordination des acteurs et
- ressources territoriales, Développement Durable et Territoires.
- Lardon, S., Piveteau, V., and Lelli, L. (2005). Le diagnostic des territoires. Géocarrefour, 80(2): 71-74.
- Lauriol J., Perret V. and Tannery F. (2008). L'espace et le territoire dans l'agenda de recherche en
- 511 stratégie, Revue Française de Gestion, n° 184 : 181-198.
- 512 Leader, (2000). L'approche territoriale, web document on European Commission
- 513 :http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/rur/leader2/dossier_p/fr/dossier/dia3.pdf
- 514 Lenormand, P. (2011). L'ingénierie territoriale à l'épreuve des observatoires territoriaux : analyse des
- 515 compétences des professionnels du développement dans le massif pyrénéen, thèse de doctorat de
- 516 l'Université Toulouse 2 Le Mirail (UT2 Le Mirail).
- 517 Lopolito, A., Morone, P. and Sisto, R. (2010). Innovation niches and socio-technical transition: A case-
- 518 study of bio-refinery production, Futures.
- 519 McCauley, S. M., and Stephens, J. C. (2012). Green energy clusters and socio-technical transitions:
- 520 analysis of a sustainable energy cluster for regional economic development in Central Massachusetts,
- 521 USA. Sustainability science, 7(2): 213-225.Melo, M.T., Nickel, S., Saldanha-da-Gama, F. (2010).
- 522 Facility location and supply chain management- A review, European Journal of Operational Research
- 523 196 (2009): 401-412.
- 524 Octave, S. and Thomas, D. (2009). Biorefinery: toward an industrial metabolism, Biochimie, 91(6):
- 525 659-664.
- 526 Markard, J., and Truffer, B. (2008). Technological innovation systems and the multi-level perspective:
- Towards an integrated framework. Research Policy, 37(4): 596-615.

- 528 Menon, V. and Rao, M. (2012). Trends in bioconversion of lignocellulose: biofuels, platform chemicals
- 529 & biorefinery concept, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 38(4): 522-550.
- 530 Naik, S.N., Goud, V.V., Rout, P.K., Dalai, A. K. (2010). Production of first and second generation
- biofuels: A comprehensive review, 14 (2010): 578–597.
- 532 Nieddu, M. (2010). L'émergence d'une chimie doublement verte, Revue d'Economie Industrielle, (4):
- 533 53-84.
- 534 Perlack, R.D., Wright, L.L., Turhollow, A.F., Graham, R.L., Stokes, B.J., Erbach, D.C., (2005).
- Biomass as Feedstock for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry: The Technical Feasibility of a Billion-
- ton Annual Supply. Tech. Rep., Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- 537 Piveteau, V. (2011). L'ingénierie territoriale, défi pour la gouvernance, POUR, 2 (209-210): 159-164.
- Porter, M. (1998). The competitive advantage of nations, Free Press.
- 539 Rallet, A. and Torre, A. (2005). Proximity and Location, Regional Studies, 39: 47-59.
- Réquier-Desjardins, D., Boucher, F. and Cerdan, C. (2003). Globalization, competitive advantages
- and the evolution of production systems: rural food processing and localized agri-food systems in
- Latin-American countries, *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 15: 49-67.
- Réquier-Desjardins, D. (2010). L'évolution du débat sur les SYAL: le regard d'un économiste, *Revue*
- 544 d'Économie Régionale & Urbaine, (4): 651-668.
- Rip, A., and Kemp, R. (1998). *Technological change* Battelle Press, 327-399.
- Serrano, A., Faulin, J., Astiz, P., Sanchez, M., Belloso, J. (2015), Locating and designing a biorefinery
- 547 supply chain under uncertainty in Navarre: a stochastic facility location problem case, 18th Euro
- Working Group on Transportation, EWGT 2015, 14-16 July 2015, Delft, The Netherlands.
- 549 Sierra C. (1997). Proximité(s), interactions technologiques et territoriales: one revue, Revue
- 550 d'Economie Industrielle, n°82 : 7-38.
- 551 Smith, P., and Olesen, J. E. (2010). Synergies between the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate
- 552 change in agriculture. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 148(05): 543-552.

- 553 Späth, P., and Rohracher, H. (2010). 'Energy regions': The transformative power of regional
- discourses on socio-technical futures. *Research Policy*, 39(4): 449-458.
- Tittmann, P.W., Parker, N.C., Hart, Q.J., Jenkins, B.M., (2010). A spatially explicit techno-economic
- 556 model of bioenergy and biofuels production in California, Journal of Transport Geography,
- 557 doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2010.06.005
- 558 Truffer, B., and Coenen, L. (2012). Environmental innovation and sustainability transitions in regional
- 559 studies. Regional Studies, 46(1): 1-21.
- Torre, A. and Filippi, M. (Eds) (2005). Proximités et changements socio-économiques dans les
- 561 mondes ruraux, Inra Editions.
- Wagemann, K. (Eds) (2012). Biorafineries Roadmap, Society for Chemical Engineering and
- 563 Biotechnology, Druckerei Schlesner KG, Berlin.
- Walsh, M., Perlack, R., Turhollow, A.F., de la Torre Ugarte, D., Becker, D.A., Graham, R.L., Slinsky,
- 565 S.E., Ray, D.E., (2000). Biomass Feedstock Availability in the United States: 1999 State Level
- Analysis. Tech. Rep., Department of Energy and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.