

# Legume cover crops under no-tillage favor organomineral association in microaggregates and soil C accumulation

Murilo G Veloso, Diego Cecagno, Cimélio Bayer

### ▶ To cite this version:

Murilo G Veloso, Diego Cecagno, Cimélio Bayer. Legume cover crops under no-tillage favor organomineral association in microaggregates and soil C accumulation. Soil and Tillage Research, 2019, 190, pp.139 - 146. 10.1016/j.still.2019.03.003 . hal-04260787

## HAL Id: hal-04260787 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-04260787v1

Submitted on 18 Nov 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Legume cover crops under no-tillage favor organomineral association in
2	microaggregates and soil C accumulation
3	
4	Murilo G. Veloso <sup>1</sup> , Diego Cecagno <sup>1</sup> , Cimélio Bayer <sup>1*</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Soil Science and Graduate Program on Soil Science, Faculty of
6	Agricultural and Life Sciences, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre,
7	RS, 91540-000, Brazil
8	
9	
10	* Corresponding author. E-mail address: cimelio.bayer@ufrgs.br (C. Bayer).
11	
12	
13	

### 14 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Dr. Denis Angers, who have great contribution to data 15 interpretation. Also, to the staff of the Experimental Station and Department of Soil 16 17 Science of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul for their help with field and laboratory activities, respectively. They also thank the National Council for Scientific 18 and Technological Development (CNPq) and Foundation for Research Support of Rio 19 Grande do Sul State (Fapergs) for funding this work and the Coordination for the 20 Improvement of Personnel with Graduate Education (CAPES) for award of a sandwich 21 doctorate scholarship to M. G. Veloso in Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. 22

24	Abbreviations
25	SOC, soil organic carbon
26	C, carbon
27	SOM, soil organic matter
28	CT, conventional tillage
29	NT, no-tillage
30	O/M, oat/maize
31	V/M, vetch/maize
32	OV/MC, oat+vetch/maize+cowpea
33	MWD, mean weight diameter
34	free-LF, free light fraction
35	occluded-LF, occluded light fraction
36	min-OM, mineral-associated fraction
37	SPT, polytungstate
38	CaCl <sub>2</sub> , calcium chloride
39	

## 40 Legume cover crops under no-tillage favor organomineral association in

## 41 microaggregates and soil carbon accumulation

### 42 Abstract

43	Both no-tillage and legume cover crops have been shown to increase soil organic carbon
44	(SOC) in subtropical soils. However, the mechanisms underpinning management
45	system effects on SOC accumulation are still not well understood. We used a
46	combination of aggregate size and density fractionation to elucidate these mechanisms
47	at a 30-year old experiment on an Acrisol in southern Brazil. The effects of two tillage
48	systems [conventional system (CT) and no-tillage (NT)] combined with three cropping
49	systems [oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M) and oat+vetch/maize+cowpea (OV/MC)]
50	were evaluated in the top 20 cm soil layer. Overall, macroaggregation (> 0.25 mm) was
51	significantly influenced by tillage with NT showing values 14% greater than CT in the
52	0-5 cm soil depth. On average, the occluded light fraction-C content in
53	macroaggregates was more than twice as high under NT compared to CT (4.4 vs. 1.8 g
54	$kg^{-1}$ ). This effect was more pronounced when legume cover crops were grown.
55	However, the most significant effect of cover crops was observed in the organomineral
56	fraction of microaggregates, especially under NT (12.1 under O/M and 19.8 g kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
57	under OV/MC). Our results suggest that, although NT increased the occluded light
58	fraction-C compared to CT, this effect was smaller than the gains that legume cover
59	crops offered in organomineral association.
60	
61	Keywords: organic matter; soil aggregates; carbon stabilization; tillage systems;
62	cropping systems.
63	

#### 65 **1. Introduction**

Soil organic carbon is essential for more sustainable and resilient agricultural systems,
especially in tropical and subtropical soils, owing to its central role in determining soil
properties that strongly affect crop yield and the quality of the wider environment (Lal,
2009; Powlson et al., 2012).

70 Conservation management systems can make agricultural soils potential sinks of

atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. An accumulation of SOC in agricultural systems of subtropical Brazil

72 can be achieved through management strategies such as reduced tillage or NT that

decrease the decomposition rate of soil organic matter (Bayer et al., 2006), and high C-

<sup>74</sup> input intensive cropping systems (Dieckow et al., 2005; Zanatta et al., 2007). Switching

75 from CT to NT in Brazilian subtropical regions can result in C sequestration rates

ranging from 0.11 to 0.68 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> depending on the intensity of cropping systems

adopted (Bayer et al., 2006). Intensifying cropping systems in Southern Brazil usually

78 involves the insertion of cover-crop species, especially legumes, for which a positive

refrect on C input is usually related to soil N supply (Amado et al., 2006; Diekow et al.,

80 <mark>2005b).</mark>

81 Physical fractionation (density or size) has been used to study the effect of

- management systems on SOC stabilization (Diekow et al., 2005a; Álvaro-Fuentes et al.,
- 83 2009; Andruschkewitsch et al., 2013; Conceição et al., 2013). Despite the wide range of
- 84 fractionation schemes used (Cambardella and Elliot, 1992; Diekow et al., 2005a;

85 Conceição et al., 2008), three main fractions related to mechanisms of C stabilization

- are usually assessed. The free light fraction (free-LF) which is considered a non-
- 87 protected C-pool, the occluded light fraction (occluded-LF) that is fraction located
- inside of soil aggregates and assumed to be physically-protected against the attack of
- soil microrganisms and their enzymes, and the mineral-associated fraction (min-OM)

- that is a colloidal fraction interacting with mineral particles (Six et al., 1999; Rumpel et 90 al., 2010; Conceição et al., 2013). Carbon occlusion and organomineral association are 91 considered the main mechanisms of C stabilization in tropical and subtropical soils with 92 a predominance of Kaolinite (1:1 clay) and sequioxides of Al and Fe (Conceição et al., 93 2013; Denef et al., 2007; Zotarelli et al., 2007). 94 It has been suggested that SOC stabilization in NT soils is closely linked to soil 95 macroaggregation (Six et al., 1999; Conceição et al., 2013). The slower turnover of 96 97 macroaggregates would favor the formation and stabilization of microaggregates within macroaggregates (Six et al., 1999; Denef et al., 2004; Du et al., 2015), and provide an 98 environment for C to be chemically stabilized by soil minerals (Conceição et al., 2013). 99 However, the mechanisms by which different cropping systems affect SOC stabilization 100 is still unclear, especially in tropical and subtropical soils. 101 The hypothesis of this work was that soil management systems involving no soil 102 disturbance (NT) and associated with large and diversified crop residue input, increase 103 the C occlusion in macroaggregates and, consequently, favour the formation of 104 105 organomineral associations and ultimately SOC stabilization. Our objective was to test this hypothesis using a combination of aggregate size and organic matter density 106 fractionation, on a 30 year-old experiment of southern Brazil combining tillage and 107 108 cropping systems with legume cover crops. 109 110 2. Materials and methods
- 111 2.1 Field experiment
- 112 This study was based on a long-term field experiment (30 years) initiated in 1985 in an
- area previously cultivated for approximately 16 years under conventional tillage, with
- 114 plowing and disking, at the Experimental Station of the Federal University of Rio

Grande do Sul, Eldorado do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. Soil and climate
characteristics are presented in Table 1.

The ongoing experiment consists of the combination of two tillage [conventional 117 tillage (CT) and no tillage (NT)] systems with three cropping systems [oats (Avena 118 strigosa Schreb) / maize (Zea mays L.) (O/M), vetch (Vicia sativa L.) / maize (V/M) 119 and the consortium of oats + vetch / maize + cowpea (Vigna ugniculata (L.) Walp) 120 (OV/MC)] distributed in a randomized block experimental design with split plots and 121 122 three replicates. Tillage systems were assigned to the main plots  $(15 \times 20 \text{ m})$  and cropping systems to the subplots (5  $\times$  20 m). These tillage systems combined with 123 cropping systems were managed at two levels of fertilization: 0 and 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N-124 urea (0N and 180N), applied manually in strips in the maize crop, characterized the sub-125 126 subplots (5  $\times$  10 m). Only the sub-subplots with no nitrogen fertilization were used in this study. 127 Winter crops, managed as cover crops, were established in April-May of each 128

year using direct drilling in both CT and NT treatments. Oats, when grown alone, were
seeded at a rate of 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. When cultivated with vetch, oats were seeded at 30 kg
ha<sup>-1</sup> and vetch at 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. For vetch cultivated on its own, 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was used. In the
OV/MC system, cowpea (summer cover crop) was manually sown 15 to 20 days after
maize, between the lines of this crop, in pits 40 cm apart, with 3 to 4 seeds per pit. After
the maize was harvested, cowpea was allowed to grow to its full development,

remaining until its mechanical management by knife roller before the winter crops wereplanted (oats and vetch).

The CT plots were ploughed to a furrow-depth of 17 cm once a year in spring
before maize sowing using a three-disk plough and harrowed twice to a depth of 10 cm
using a disk harrow mixing the crops residues in this layer. At the same time,

glyphosate-based herbicide (Roundup, Monsanto) was applied in the NT plots at 1.4 kg
ha<sup>-1</sup> with respect to final glyphosate concentration, and two or three days later the
winter cover crops were managed with a knife-roller and the aboveground residues left
on the soil surface. In NT, soil disturbance occurred only in the sowing line, and the
residues of the cover crop were left on the soil surface.

- 145 Maize was planted with a NT planter in both tillage treatments in September–
- 146 October, with 90 cm spacing between rows and using a sowing rate adjusted to obtain
- 147 50–70 thousand plants per hectare. The fertilizers were applied at 8 cm soil depth at
- maize sowing, at rates of 21.5 and 41.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of P and K, respectively (50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of
- 149  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  each). The mean historic annual C input for both cover crops and maize
- are provided in Table 1. The mean annual C input (aboveground and root, with roots

being assumed to account for 30% of the aboveground portion) was calculated from

152 cover crop data and the dry-matter maize yields compiled by Zanatta et al. (2007) for

- the period 1985–2006, which were subsequently updated to 2014 (Fig. 1) (Veloso et al.,
- 154 **2018**).

155 2.2 Soil bulk density and total organic carbon determinations

156 Soil sampling was carried out in September 2014 in the 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm soil

- 157 layers, prior to soil tillage for maize crop establishment. Trenches were opened,
- 158 perpendicular to the sowing lines, for sampling for bulk density which was measured in
- duplicate using the volumetric ring method (volume of 101.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) (Blake and Hartge,
- 160 1986). Soil samples were collected from the different layers for SOC analysis. The
- samples were air-dried, ground and passed through a 2-mm-mesh sieve, and then
- 162 ground in an agate mortar. The determination of SOC concentrations by dry combustion
- 163 was performed using an elemental analyzer (FlashEA 1112, Thermo, Electron
- 164 Corporation, Milan, Italy).

165	The SOC stocks up to a depth of 20 cm were calculated using the equivalent
166	mass approach (Ellert and Bettany, 1995), taking the soil mass of CT O/M management
167	system as the reference. The annual SOC accumulation rate was calculated as the
168	difference between the SOC stocks of the treatments and the reference system, divided
169	by the time elapsed since the implementation of the experiment, i.e. 30 years.
170	
171	2.3 Aggregate size distribution and physical fractionation of SOM
172	At the same period, additional trenches were excavated with a cutting blade in order to
173	sample undisturbed soil in the 0-5, 5-10 and 10-20 cm layers for aggregate
174	fractionation. These undisturbed samples were manually ruptured at their weakness
175	points until the entire sample could be passed through a 9.51-mm mesh and dried in the
176	shade. Aggregates larger and smaller than 2 mm were weighed to determine the
177	proportion of aggregates making up the soil mass required to analyze the aggregate size
178	distribution in water and the physical density fractionation of SOM.
179	To determine the size distribution of aggregates in water, 50 g samples of soil
180	were weighed and moistened by capillarity for 12 hours on filter paper. Separations
181	were run in duplicate. Afterwards, they were transferred to 1000 mL plastic tubes
182	containing 500 ml of water and placed on a rotary shaker for 2 min at 16 rpm. The
183	samples were then transferred to the vertical oscillation shaker, following the procedure
184	of Carpenedo and Mielniczuk (1990). The samples were placed on a set of sieves with
185	mesh sizes 4.76, 2.00, 0.50, 0.25, and 0.053 mm, and shaken in water at 42 oscillations
186	per minute for 15 min, so that water at the lowest level reached the top of the aggregates
187	in the 4.76 mm sieve. The material that passed through the last sieve and was retained in
188	the bucket was flocculated with potassium aluminum (5 g $L^{-1}$ ).

190	containers of known mass, dried for 48 h at 60 °C and then weighed. The mean weight	
191	diameter (MWD) was calculated from the aggregates retained in the five sieves and the	
192	bottom pan (equation 1):	
193	$MWD = \frac{\sum mAGRi \ x \ ci}{\sum mAGR} $ (1)	
194	Where: MWD = mean weight diameter (mm); mAGRi = mass of aggregates in	
195	class i; Ci = mean value of the class of aggregate class [(upper mesh diameter + lower	
196	mesh diameter) / 2]; mAGR = total mass of aggregates of the six classes. The soil	
197	aggregate classes were pooled in large macroagreggates (> 2 mm), small	
198	macroaggregates (2-0.250 mm) and microaggregates (<0.250 mm). The SOC	
199	concentration of these aggregate size fractions was determined as previously described.	
200	For the physical fractionation of SOM from large macroaggregates, small	
201	macroaggregates and microaggregates, 10-g samples from each soil aggregate class	
202	were placed in centrifuge tubes containing 80 ml of sodium polytungstate solution	
203	(SPT) $(2.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3})$ (Figure 1). After closure of the stopper tube and slow and manual	
204	stirring, the free-LF of the OM was released (Conceição et al., 2008). The suspension	
205	was centrifuged at $\frac{2000 \ g}{1000 \ g}$ for 90 minutes. The supernatant, which contained the free-LF,	
206	was filtered under vacuum. To remove excess SPT, the free-LF was washed with	
207	distilled water and calcium chloride solution (CaCl <sub>2</sub> ) (0.01 mol $L^{-1}$ ). The SPT solution	
208	(contained in the free-LF supernatant) was returned to the tube and the suspension was	
209	subjected to ultrasonic vibration dispersion at the 240 J mL <sup><math>-1</math></sup> level in order to disperse	
210	the soil aggregates. This level of energy was previously defined as sufficient to disperse	
211	organomineral complexes $> 2 \ \mu m$ in order to obtain 99% of the total clay fraction (Inda	
212	Junior et al., 2007).	

The contents of the five sieves and the bottom were transferred to plastic

After sample dispersion, the suspension was centrifuged again and the occluded-LF fraction was separated by following the same procedure as for free-LF. The free-LF and occluded-LF fractions were oven dried at 60 °C, ground in an agate mortar, and analyzed for C as previously described. The C-min-OM was obtained by calculating the difference between SOC and C of the C-free-LF + C-occluded-LF. The C recovery in each soil aggregate class ranged from 85 to 96% of the SOC.

219

220 2.4 Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and, when significant (p 221 <0.05), the differences between means of treatments were evaluated by the Tukev test at 222 the 5% level. To compare the effects of the tillage (T) and cropping (CC) systems on the 223 SOC variables, the MIXED procedure was performed, which considered the main 224 225 factors and their interactions as fixed factors, and the block and the experimental errors as random variables. All analyses were performed using SAS ® v.9.4 (Statistical 226 227 Analysis System Institute Cary, North Carolina). 228 The statistical model used in the analysis of variance to analyze SOC or C in the classes of aggregates was as follows:  $Y_{iikl} = \mu + B_i + T_i + Error_{(ii)} + C_k + T_iC_k + Error_{(iik)}$ 229 +  $L_l$  + Error<sub>(li)</sub> +  $T_iL_l$  +  $C_k L_l$  +  $T_iC_k L_l$  Error<sub>(likl)</sub>, where  $\mu$  = general mean of experiment; 230 231 B = block (i = 1, 2, 3); T = tillage systems (i = 1, 2); CC = cropping systems (k = 1, 2, 3); CC = cropping systems (k = 1, 2, 3); CC = cropping systems (k = 1, 2, 3); T = tillage systems (k = 1, 2); CC = cropping systems (k = 1, 2); CC = cro3); L = soil layer (1 = 1, 2, 3) e Error = experimental error. 232 To compare the C concentration in the fractions of SOC within each soil 233 aggregate class, the statistical model used was follows:  $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + B_i + T_j + Error_{(ij)} + C_k$ 234 +  $T_iC_k$  + Error<sub>(iik)</sub>, onde  $\mu$  = general mean of experiment; B = block (i = 1, 2, 3); T = 235 236 tillage system (j = 1, 2); C = cropping systems (k = 1, 2, 3) e Error = error experimental. 237

238 <b>3</b> [	Results
----------------	---------

239 *3.1 Soil organic carbon* 

240	The SOC concentration was higher in the superficial soil layer (0–5 cm) under NT
241	compared to CT, but this difference disappeared in the underlying layers (Figure 2a).
242	The SOC stocks down to a depth of 20 cm depth were therefore similar for the two
243	tillage systems (Table 2). However, cropping systems had a strong effect on SOC
244	stocks, which varied from 33.1 to 40.8 Mg C ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> , with a gradual increase due to
245	legume cover crop insertion in the cropping systems (Table 2). Consequently, on
246	average, legume-based cropping systems had the SOC accumulation rate 0.25 Mg C
247	$ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$ higher than traditional cropping system involving non legume crops (O/M)
248	(Table 2).
249	
250	3.2 Soil aggregation
251	The proportion of soil aggregates was strongly affected by tillage systems down
252	to a depth of 20 cm (Figure 3 a, b, c). In the three soil layers, NT (average of 34.6%)
253	resulted in a greater proportion of large macroaggregates compared to CT (average of
254	20.1%), yielding a MWD of 1.3 mm for CT and 2.2 mm for NT. The cropping systems

influenced the proportion of soil aggregates only in the 0-5 cm depth. In this soil layer,

the proportion of large macroaggregates was 40% greater and the MWD 24% greater,

- 257 under OV/MC compared to O/M (Figure 4 a).
- 258

#### 259 *3.3 SOC concentration in soil aggregates*

Tillage and cropping systems differed in their effects on SOC concentrations in the three aggregate size classes, and these effects were mostly visible in the 0–5 cm soil layer (Figure 4). The SOC concentration in both macroaggregate fractions ranged from

263	10.3 to 19.5 g kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> , and was affected mainly by tillage systems (Figure 5 a, b). The SOC	
264	concentration in the large and small macroaggregates in the superficial soil layer was	
265	<b>5.1 and 4.0</b> g C kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> higher under NT than under CT, respectively (Figure 5 a, b). On	
266	the other hand, cropping systems had a greater effect on the small macroaggregates	
267	(Figure 5 e) and an especially noticeable effect on the microaggregates in the superficial	
268	soil layer (Figure 4 f), with SOC concentrations in microaggregates varying between	
269	15.3 and 21.3 g kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> . More noticeably, the system with two legume cover crops	
270	(OV/MC) showed a strong C enrichment in the microaggregates of the superficial soil	
271	layer compared to system with no legume cover crop (O/M) (Figure 5 f).	
272		
273	3.4 Distribution of C in physical fractions of soil aggregates	
274	On average, the free-LF-C fraction of both macroaggregate fractions represented	
275	9% of the total SOC, and was affected by both tillage and cropping systems (Table 3).	
276	The proportion of free-LF was much smaller in the soil microaggregates. On average,	
277	the C concentration in the macroaggregates was 2.4 times greater under NT compared to	
278	CT (Table 3). A less pronounced but still noticeable effect was observed in the system	
279	with two legume cover crops: the free-LF-C in small macroaggregates was 76% higher	
280	with the legume crops compared to O/M (Table 3).	
281	The occluded-LF-C concentration varied between 1.1 and 4.6 g kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> among soil	

management systems, accounting from 9 to 27% of SOC, and was strongly influenced

by soil tillage systems. The occluded-LF-C concentration was 2.2-, 2.8- and 2.6-fold

284 larger, in the large and small macroaggregates and the soil microaggregates,

285 respectively, under NT system compared to CT (Table 3). The highest C concentration

was found in the large macroaggregates under NT (4.9 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 3). The occluded-

287 LF-C concentration was 70, 69 and 84% greater in large and small macroaggregates and

288 microaggregates, respectively, under OV/MC compared to O/M cropping system (Table
289 3).

290	The min-OM fraction accounted for the majority of the C contained in all
291	aggregate fractions (Table 3). The cropping systems had a strong effect on min-OM of
292	microaggregates, especially under NT (Table 3) where it was 64% greater under
293	OV/MC compared to O/M (Table 3). Although the effect was less pronounced, the main
294	effect of tillage system was also significant in large macroagregates, where the min-
295	OM-C concentration was 28% greater in NT compared to CT (Table 3).
296	In all three aggregate fractions, in the 0–5 cm layer only, there was a significant
297	positive correlation between the concentration of occluded-LF-C and min-OM-C (Fig.
298	<u>6).</u>
299	
300	4. Discussion
301	Overall, and as usually observed (Plaza-Bonilha et al., 2010; Conceição et al.,
302	2013), soil under NT presented a greater proportion of macroaggregates than CT. These
303	macoaggregates under NT were also enriched in C compared to CT, which is also
304	consistent with previous studies (Conceição et al., 2013; Plaza-Bonilha et al., 2010;
305	Plaza-Bonilha et al., 2013). Macroaggregates are complex structures, enriched in labile
306	organic material recently added to the soil (Angers and Giroux, 1996; Plaza-Bonilla et
307	al., 2013; Puget et al., 1999; Six et al., 1999). The implementation of NT on degraded
308	(or C depleted) soils results in the formation and stabilization of these macroaggregates
309	and the consequent preservation of LF-C in these aggregate structures
310	(Andruschkewitsch et al., 2013; Plaza et al., 2013). The higher proportion of soil
244	

312 layer led to greater C stocks under NT compared to CT in that layer (2.4 vs. 0.8 Mg 313  $ha^{-1}$ , on average, data not shown).

314	The effect of tillage on C accumulation in macroaggregates was not apparent in	
315	the 5–10 and 10–20 cm soil layers; hence, $\mathbf{C}$ stocks in the 0–20 cm layer were	
316	statistically similar (Table 2; Figure 2). Plaza-Bonilla et al. (2010) and	
317	Andruschkewitsch et al. (2014) likewise found that the higher SOC content within	
318	macroaggregates under the NT treatment was restricted to the 0-5 cm soil depth.	
319	As opposed to the effect of tillage, which was mostly observed in the	
320	macroaggregate fractions, the effects of cover crops were essentially visible in the	
321	organomineral associations (Min-OM-C) of soil microaggregates, particularly under the	
322	NT system. Two factors probably contributed to this effect. First, there was more C	
323	input in the OV/MC than O/M (8.9 Mg $ha^{-1}$ vs. 5.0 Mg $ha^{-1}$ , Table 1). Second, the	
324	greater lability of the legume cover crop residues (vetch and cowpea) likely contributed	
325	to more efficient use of this material by microorganisms, and enhanced C stabilization	
326	in soil organic matter by chemical bonding with the mineral soil matrix (Cotrufo et al.	
327	2013; Cotrufo et al., 2015).	
328	Overall, in all aggregate fractions, the min-OM-C fraction accounted for up to	
329	75% of the SOC and was also the fraction most influenced by cropping systems (Table	
330	3). The cropping system, including legume cover crops, was therefore the main factor	
331	that affected SOC accumulation up to 20 cm depth. Although NT increased the	
332	occluded-LF-C compared to CT, this effect was smaller than the benefits that legume	
333	cover crops can offer through gains in organomineral association.	
334	The significant relationship between occluded-LF-C and Min-OM-C (Figure 6 a	
335	b, c) suggests that the greater C occlusion favored the formation of organomineral	

associations when NT and legume cover crops are combined. This may be because

337	aggregates "provide time" and environment for occluded LF to become associated with
338	the mineral soil matrix forming an organomineral association (Conceição et al., 2013;
339	Garcia-Franco et al., 2015). These results suggest that the reduced aggregate turnover
340	under NT relative to CT (Six et al., 1999) favoring the physical protection of particulate
341	OM as well as interactions between soil mineral particles and OM in the superficial soil
342	layer (Courier-Murias et al., 2013) is especially efficient in stabilizing C when coupled
343	with legume cover crops.
344	
345	5. Conclusions
346	The mechanisms by which no-tillage and legume cover crops favor soil C
347	accumulation in a subtropical Acrisol differ. No-tillage has a positive impact on C
348	stabilizing in soil macroaggregates, increasing the LF-C occlusion and leading to C
349	accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops
349 350	accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor
349 350 351	accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.
349 350 351 352	accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.
349 350 351 352 353	accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.
349 350 351 352 353 354 355	accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT. <b>References</b> Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops</li> <li>are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor</li> <li>organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops</li> <li>are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor</li> <li>organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> <li>359</li> <li>260</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da Veiga, M., 2006. Potential of carbon accumulation in no-till soils with intensive use and acuer arons in gouther Pravil J. Environ. Quel. 25, 1500, 1607.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> <li>359</li> <li>360</li> <li>361</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da Veiga, M., 2006. Potential of carbon accumulation in no-till soils with intensive use and cover crops in southern Brazil. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1599–1607.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch R. Geisseler D. Koch H L. Ludwig B. 2013. Effects of tillage on</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> <li>359</li> <li>360</li> <li>361</li> <li>362</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da Veiga, M., 2006. Potential of carbon accumulation in no-till soils with intensive use and cover crops in southern Brazil. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1599–1607.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch, R., Geisseler, D., Koch, H.J., Ludwig, B., 2013. Effects of tillage on contents of organic carbon, nitrogen, water-stable aggregates and light fraction for</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> <li>359</li> <li>360</li> <li>361</li> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da Veiga, M., 2006. Potential of carbon accumulation in no-till soils with intensive use and cover crops in southern Brazil. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1599–1607.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch, R., Geisseler, D., Koch, H.J., Ludwig, B., 2013. Effects of tillage on contents of organic carbon, nitrogen, water-stable aggregates and light fraction for four different long-term trials. Geoderma 192, 368–377.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> <li>359</li> <li>360</li> <li>361</li> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da Veiga, M., 2006. Potential of carbon accumulation in no-till soils with intensive use and cover crops in southern Brazil. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1599–1607.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch, R., Geisseler, D., Koch, H.J., Ludwig, B., 2013. Effects of tillage on contents of organic carbon, nitrogen, water-stable aggregates and light fraction for four different long-term trials. Geoderma 192, 368–377.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch, R., Koch, H.J., Ludwig, B., 2014. Effect of long-term tillage</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>349</li> <li>350</li> <li>351</li> <li>352</li> <li>353</li> <li>354</li> <li>355</li> <li>356</li> <li>357</li> <li>358</li> <li>359</li> <li>360</li> <li>361</li> <li>362</li> <li>363</li> <li>364</li> <li>365</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>accumulation mainly in the top 5-cm soil layer. On the other hand, legume cover crops are associated with an increase in the amount and lability of residue inputs, which favor organomineral associations in fine particles of soil, especially when combined with NT.</li> <li>References</li> <li>Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Cantero-Martinez, C., Lopez, M.V., Paustian, K., Denef, K., Stewart, C.E., Arrue, J.L., 2009. Soil Aggregation and Soil Organic Carbon Stabilization: Effects of Management in Semiarid Mediterranean Agroecosystems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73, 1519–1529.</li> <li>Amado, T.J.C., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Spagnollo, E., de Campos, B.H.C., da Veiga, M., 2006. Potential of carbon accumulation in no-till soils with intensive use and cover crops in southern Brazil. J. Environ. Qual. 35, 1599–1607.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch, R., Geisseler, D., Koch, H.J., Ludwig, B., 2013. Effects of tillage on contents of organic carbon, nitrogen, water-stable aggregates and light fraction for four different long-term trials. Geoderma 192, 368–377.</li> <li>Andruschkewitsch, R., Koch, H.J., Ludwig, B., 2014. Effect of long-term tillage treatments on the temporal dynamics of water-stable aggregates and on macro-</li> </ul>

aggregate turnover at three German sites. Geoderma 217, 57–64.
Angers, D.A., Giroux, M., 1996. Recently deposited organic matter in soil water-stable
aggregates. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 60, 1547-1551.

- Bayer, C., Dieckow, J., Amado, T.J.C., Eltz, F.L.F., Vieira, F.C.B., 2009. Cover Crop
  Effects Increasing Carbon Storage in a Subtropical No-Till Sandy Acrisol. Commun.
  Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 40, 1499–1511.
- Bayer, C., Martin-Neto, L., Mielniczuk, J., Pavinato, A., Dieckow, J., 2006. Carbon
  sequestration in two Brazilian Cerrado soils under no-till. Soil Tillage Res. 86, 237–
  245.
- Blake, G.R., Hartge, K.H. Bulk density. In: Klute, A. (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis,
  Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Methods. ASA/SSSA, Madison, pp. 363–382
  (1986).
- 378 Cambardella, C.A., Elliott, E.T., 1992. Participate Soil Organic-Matter Changes across
  a Grassland Cultivation Sequence. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 56,777-783.
- Carpenedo, V., Mielniczuk, J., 1990. Estado de agregação e qualidade de agregados de
  latossolos roxos, submetidos a diferentes sistemas de manejo (aggregate stability
  and quality of latosol submitted to different management practices). Rev. Bras. Ciên.
  Solo 14, 99–105.
- Conceição, P.C., Boeni, M., Dieckow, J., Bayer, C., Mielniczuk, J., 2008. Densimetric
   fractionation with sodium polytungstate to investigate physical protection of soil
   organic matter. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Solo 32, 541–549.
- Conceição, P.C., Dieckow, J., Bayer, C., 2013. Combined role of no-tillage and
  cropping systems in soil carbon stocks and stabilization. Soil Tillage Res. 129, 40–
  47.
- Cotrufo, M.F., Wallenstein, M.D., Boot, C.M., Denef, K., Paul, E., 2013. The Microbial
  Efficiency-Matrix Stabilization (MEMS) framework integrates plant litter
  decomposition with soil organic matter stabilization: do labile plant inputs form
  stable soil organic matter? Glob. Change Biol. 19, 988–995.
- Cotrufo, M.F., Soong, J.L., Horton, A.J., Campbell, E.E., Haddix, M.L., Wall, D.H.,
  Parton, W.J., 2015. Formation of soil organic matter via biochemical and physical
  pathways of litter mass loss. Nat. Geosci., 1–6. doi: 10.1038/NGEO2520
- Benef, K., Six, J., Merckx, R., Paustian, K., 2004. Carbon sequestration in
  microaggregates of no-tillage soils with different clay mineralogy. Soil Sci. Soc.
  Am. J. 68, 1935–1944.
- Denef, K., Zotarelli, L., Boddey, R.M., Six, J., 2007. Microaggregate-associated carbon
  as a diagnostic fraction for management-induced changes in soil organic carbon in
  two Oxisols. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 1165–1172.
- Diekow, J., Mielniczuk, J., Knicker, H., Bayer, C., Dick, D.P., Kogel-Knabner, I.,
  2005a. Carbon and nitrogen stocks in physical fractions of a subtropical Acrisol as
  influenced by long-term no-till cropping systems and N fertilization. Plant Soil, 268,
  319–328.
- 407 Diekow, J., Mielniczuk, J., Knicker, H., Bayer, C., Dick, D.P., Kogel-Knabner, I.,
  408 2005b. Soil C and N stocks as affected by cropping systems and nitrogen
  409 fertilisation in a southern Brazil Acrisol managed under no-tillage for 17 years. Soil
- 410 Tillage Res. 81, 87–95.
- 411 Du, Z.L., Ren, T.S., Hu, C.S., Zhang, Q.Z., 2015. Transition from intensive tillage to
  412 no-till enhances carbon sequestration in microaggregates of surface soil in the North
  413 China Plain. Soil Tillage Res. 146, 26–31.
- Ellert, B.H., Bettany, J.R., 1995. Calculation of organic matter and nutrients stored in
  soils under contrasting management regimes. Can. J. Soil Sci. 75, 529–538.
- Fabrizzi, K.P., Rice, C.W., Amado, T.J.C., Fiorin, J., Barbagelata, P., Melchiori, R.,
  2009. Protection of soil organic C and N in temperate and tropical soils: effect of
  native and agroecosystems. Biogeochemistry 92, 129–143.

Garcia-Franco, N., Albaladejo, J., Almagro, M., Martinez-Mena, M., 2015. Beneficial 419 effects of reduced tillage and green manure on soil aggregation and stabilization of 420 421 organic carbon in a Mediterranean agroecosystem. Soil Tillage Res. 153, 66-75. Grandy, A.S., Robertson, G.P., 2007. Land-use intensity effects on soil organic carbon 422 accumulation rates and mechanisms. Ecosystems 10, 58-73. 423 424 Inda Junior, A.V., Bayer, C., Conceição, P.C., Boeni, M., Salton, J.C., Tonin, A.T., 425 2007. Variáveis relacionadas à estabilidade de complexos organo-minerais em solos tropicais e subtropicais brasileiros. Ciência Rural 37, 1301–1307. 426 Martins, M.D., Angers, D.A., 2015. Different plant types for different soil ecosystem 427 428 services. Geoderma 237, 266-269. Mazzilli, S.R., Kemanian, A.R., Ernst, O.R., Jackson, R.B., Piñeiro, G., 2015. Greater 429 430 humification of belowground than aboveground biomass carbon into particulate soil organic matter in no-till corn and soybean crops. Soil Biol. Biochem. 85, 22–30. 431 Plaza-Bonilla, D., Cantero-Martinez, C., Alvaro-Fuentes, J., 2010. Tillage effects on 432 433 soil aggregation and soil organic carbon profile distribution under Mediterranean semi-arid conditions. Soil Use Manage. 26, 465-474. 434 Plaza-Bonilla, D., Cantero-Martínez, C., Viñas, P., Álvaro-Fuentes, J., 2013. Soil 435 aggregation and organic carbon protection in a no-tillage chronosequence under 436 Mediterranean conditions. Geoderma 193-194, 76-82. 437 Plaza, C., Courtier-Murias, D., Fernandez, J.M., Polo, A., Simpson, A.J., 2013. 438 Physical, chemical, and biochemical mechanisms of soil organic matter stabilization 439 440 under conservation tillage systems: A central role for microbes and microbial byproducts in C sequestration. Soil Biol. Biochem. 57, 124-134. 441 Puget, P., Angers, D.A., Chenu, C., 1999. Nature of carbohydrate associated with water-442 443 stable aggregates of two cultivated soils. Soil Biol Biochem. **31**, 55–63. Rasse, D.P., Rumpel, C., Dignac, M.F., 2005. Is soil carbono mostly root carbon? 444 Mechanisms for a specific stabilization. Plant Soil. 269, 341-356. 445 446 Razafimbelo, T.M., Albrecht, A., Oliver, R., Chevallier, T., Chapuis-Lardy, L., Feller, 447 C., 2008. Aggregate associated-C and physical protection in a tropical clavey soil 448 under Malagasy conventional and no-tillage systems. Soil Tillage Res. 98, 140-149. 449 Rumpel, C., Eusterhues, K., Kögel-Knabner, I., 2010. Non-cellulosic neutral sugar contribution to mineral associated organic matter in top-and subsoil horizons of two 450 acid forest soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 379-382. 451 Six, J., Elliott, E.T., Paustian, K., 1999. Aggregate and soil organic matter dynamics 452 under conventional and no-tillage systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63, 1350-1358. 453 Veloso, M.G., Angers, D.A., Tiecher, T., Giacomini, S., Dieckow, J., Bayer, C., 2018. 454 455 High carbon storage in a previously degraded subtropical soil under no-tillage with legume cover crops. Agric. Ecosys. Environ. 268, 115-23. 456 Zotarelli, L., Alves, B.J.R., Urquiaga, S., Torres, E., dos Santos, H.P., Paustian, K., 457 Boddey, R.M., Six, J., 2007. Impact of tillage and crop rotation on aggregate-458 associated carbon in two oxisols. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 69, 482-491. 459 460

- 1 Table 1. Geographic coordinates, regional climate parameters, characteristics and
- 2 classification of the soil, and C input by cover crops and maize across the whole
- 3 experimental period (30 years) in Southern Brazil. CT= conventional tillage, NT= no-
- 4 tillage, O/M= oat/maize, V/M= vetch/maize, and OV/MC= oat+vetch/maize+cowpea.
- 5

Eldorado do S	Sul, RS
30°51'S, 51°	°38'W
96	
19.4	
1440	
Cfa	
Acriso	1
Typic Pale	udult
Sandy clay	loam
220	
240	
540	
$0.9 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$	soil
$11.8 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$	<sup>1</sup> soil
0.1	
0.1	
СТ	NT
1.5	1.3
1.7	1.6
1.7	1.7
<u>Cover crops</u> 2.7 3.8	<u>Total</u> 5.0 6.4
	Eldorado do 3 30°51'S, 519 96 19.4 1440 Cfa Acriso Typic Pale Sandy clay 220 240 540 0.9 g kg <sup>-1</sup> 11.8 g kg <sup>-2</sup> 0.1 0.1 CT 1.5 1.7 1.7 Cover crops 2.7 3.8 3.7

<sup>a</sup> World Reference Base for Soil Resources (IUSS, 2006); <sup>b</sup> Original data presented by Inda-Junior et al.
(2007); <sup>c</sup> Ammonium oxalate soluble Fe; <sup>d</sup> Dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate soluble Fe; <sup>e</sup> Gt: goethite; Hm: hematite; <sup>f</sup> NT, no-tillage with glyphosate desiccation prior to maize planting; CT, conventional tillage with plowing and two disking prior to maize planting; <sup>g</sup> Original data presented by Veloso et al. (2018), on average of two tillage systems; mean values across the whole experimental period (30 years).

- Table 2. Soil organic carbon stock in 0-20 cm layer of sandy clay loam Acrisol under 12
- 13 conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) in combination with three cropping
- systems [oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M) and oat+vetch/maize+cowpea 14
- (OV/MC)]. 15
- 16

Tillage	Cropping	SOC stock	SOC accumulation rate
01	system	$(Mg ha^{-1})$	$(Mg ha^{-1} year^{-1})$
СТ	O/M	32.4	-
	V/M	37.1	0.2
	OV/MC	39.8	0.3
NT	O/M	33.7	0.1
	V/M	38.0	0.2
	OV/MC	41.7	0.3
Me	ean CT	36.4	0.1
Me	ean NT	37.8	0.2
Me	an O/M	33.1 b	0.0 b
Me	an V/M	37.6 a	0.2 a
Mean	OV/MC	40.8 a	0.3 a
E value	Tillage (T)	0 60 ns	1.00 ns
r value	$\frac{1}{1} \operatorname{mage} \left( \Gamma \right)$	0.07 115	1.00 115
	Crop (CS)	19.51 **	15.00 **
	T*CS	0.08 ns	0.56 ns

No significant differences (p > 0.05) between treatments are designated with (ns); Significant differences 17

between treatments at 1% probability of error by test F are designed with (\*\*); Lowercase letters compare averages of crop systems; SOC= soil organic carbon; SOC accumulation rate was calculated in relation to 18 19

20 CT O/M management system.

23	OM) i	n aggregates	s classes >	n in nee ngint i 2 mm, 2–0.25	mm and $<0.2$	5 mm of 0–5 cn	n layer of a san	dy clay loam /	Acrisol under two	o tillage syster	ns (CT,
24	conver	ntional tillag	;e <mark>and NT,</mark> 1	no-tillage) <mark>and</mark>	two cropping s	systems [oat/maiz	ze (O/M) and oa	t+vetch/maize-	+cowpea (OV/M	<mark>C)]</mark> .	
	Tillage	Cropping		> 2 mm			2-0.25 mm		~	0.25 mm	
		system	Free-LF	Occluded-LF	Min-OM	Free-LF	Occluded-LF	Min-OM	Free-LF	Occluded- LF	Min- OM
							g kg <sup>-1</sup> aggreg	ate			
	CT	0/M	1.2	1.1	7.6	0.7	1.0 Ba	7.3	0.5	0.8	14.87
		OV/MC	1.0	3.3	8.61	1.2	1.7 Ba	8.0	0.4	2.0	14.45
	NT	O/M	1.8	4.1	9.8	2.1	2.8 Ab	7.5	0.7	2.8	12.09
		OV/MC	1.7	5.7	10.9	3.7	4.7 Aa	9.2	1.2	4.6	19.83
	Me	an CT	1.1	2.2	8.1 B	0.9 B	1.3	7.6	0.5	1.4	14.66
	Me	an NT	1.8	4.9	10.4 A	2.9 A	3.8	8.4	1.0	3.7	15.96
	Me	an O/M	1.5	2.6	8.7	1.4 b	1.9	7.4	0.6	1.8	13.48
	Mean	1 OV/MC	1.4	4.5	9.8	2.5 a	3.2	8.6	0.8	3.3	17.14
	Ч	Tillage	4.58 ns	9.05 ns	21.64 *	26.80 *	223.35 **	0.96 ns	2.60 ns	3.95 ns	0.61 ns
		Crops	0.94 ns	3.11 ns	0.01 ns	7.86 *	175.66 **	3.96 ns	1.44 ns	5.57 ns	23.60
		T*CS	0.22 ns	0.23 ns	1.74 ns	2.45 ns	66.76 **	0.70 ns	2.14 ns	0.76 ns	29.34
25 26 27 28 29	No sign designe systems	ificant differer d with (*) and	nces (p > 0.05 (**), respecti	) between treatme vely; Uppercase le	nts are designatec etters compare till	1 with (ns); Significe lage systems within o	unt differences betw cropping systems a	veen treatments at nd lowercase lette	5% and 1% probabi rs compare cropping	lity of error by tes ; systems within ti	st F are illage

Figure

- **1** Figure Caption
- 2 Figure. 1. Schematic map of density fractionation of soil organic matter in soil
- 3 aggregate classes.
- 4
- 5 Figure 2. Soil organic carbon concentration in 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm of sandy clay
- 6 loam Acrisol subjected for long-term to conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)
- 7 (a) in combination with three cropping systems [oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M)
- 8 and oat+vetch/maize+cowpea (OV/MC)] (b).

9 The results were showed in average of cropping systems (a) and of tillage systems (b) because the triple

10 interaction was not significant. Uppercase letters compare soil layers within the tillage systems (a) or the 11 cropping systems (b). Lowercase letters compare tillage systems (a) or cropping systems (b) within soil 12 layer according to the Tukey test (p < 0.05).

- 13
- 14 **Figure 3.** Aggregate size-class distribution and mean weight diameter (MWD) in the (a)
- 15 0-5, (b) 5-10 and (c) 10-20 cm layers of a sandy clay loam Acrisol subjected to 16 conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT).
- 17 The results were showed in average of cropping systems because the
- 17The results were showed in average of cropping systems because the triple interaction was not significant.18Uppercase letters compare soil layers within the tillage systems in each soil aggregate class. Lowercase19letters compare tillage systems within soil layer in each soil aggregate class according to Tukey test (p < 0.05).
- 21
- 22 Figure 4. Aggregate size-class distribution and mean weight diameter (MWD) in the (a)
- 23 0-5, (b) 5-10 and (c) 10-20 cm layers of a sandy clay loam Acrisol subjected to
- cropping systems oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M) and oat + vetch/maize + cowpea
- 25 (OV/MC) in average of tillage systems [conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)].

The results were showed in average of tillage systems because only of cropping systems and soil layers effects were significant. Uppercase letters compare soil layers within the cropping system in each soil aggregate class. Lowercase letters compare cropping systems within soil layer in each class of aggregate according to Tukey test (p < 0.05).

- 30
- Figure 5. Concentration of organic carbon in aggregates > 2 mm (a, d), 2–0.25 mm (b,
  e) and < 0.25 mm (c, f) in 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm soil layers of a sandy clay loam</li>
  Acrisol subjected to conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) or to three cropping
  systems [oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M) and oat+vetch/maize+cowpea
  (OV/MC)].

As there was not triple interaction, the results were showed in average of cropping systems because the significant interaction between tillage system and soil layer (a, b, c) and in average of tillage system because the significant interaction between cropping system and soil layer (d, e, f). In (a, b, c); uppercase letters compare soil layers within the tillage system in each aggregate class; lowercase letters compare tillage systems within soil layer in each class of soil aggregate according to Tukey test (P < 0.05). In (d, e, f), uppercase letters compare soil layers within the cropping system in each soil aggregate class;

- 43 44 lowercase letters compare cropping systems within soil layer in each class of soil aggregate according to Tukey test (p < 0.05).



46 Figure. 1. Schematic map of density fractionation of soil organic matter in soil aggregates

- 47 classes.
- 48



Figure 2. Soil organic carbon concentration in 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm of sandy clay loam Acrisol subjected for long-term to conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) (a) in combination with three cropping systems [oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M) and oat+vetch/maize+cowpea (OV/MC)] (b).

55 The results were showed in average of cropping systems (a) and of tillage systems (b) because the triple interaction

was not significant. Uppercase letters compare soil layers within the tillage systems (a) or the cropping systems (b).
 Lowercase letters compare tillage systems (a) or cropping systems (b) within soil layer according to the Tukey test

- 58 (*p* < 0.05).</li>59
- 60



Figure 3. Soil aggregate size-class distribution and mean weight diameter (MWD) in the (a) 0–5,
(b) 5–10 and (c) 10–20 cm layers of a sandy clay loam Acrisol subjected to conventional tillage
(CT) and no-tillage (NT).

The results were showed in average of cropping systems because there was not triple interaction. Uppercase letters compare soil layers within the tillage systems in each soil aggregate class. Lowercase letters compare tillage systems within soil layer in each soil aggregate class according to Tukey test (p < 0.05).



Figure 4. Aggregate size-class distribution and mean weight diameter (MWD) in the (a) 0–5, (b)
5–10 and (c) 10–20 cm layers of a sandy clay loam Acrisol subjected to cropping systems
oat/maize (O/M), vetch/maize (V/M) and oat + vetch/maize + cowpea (OV/MC) in average of
tillage systems [conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT)].

The results were showed in average of tillage systems because only of cropping systems and soil layers effects were significant. Uppercase letters compare soil layers within the cropping system in each soil aggregate class. Lowercase letters compare cropping systems within soil layer in each class of aggregate according to Tukey test (p < 0.05).



92

Figure 5. Concentration of organic carbon in aggregates > 2 mm (a, d), 2–0.25 mm (b, e) and <</li>
0.25 mm (c, f) in 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm soil layers of a sandy clay loam Acrisol subjected to
conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) or to three cropping systems [oat/maize (O/M),
vetch/maize (V/M) and oat+vetch/maize+cowpea (OV/MC)].

85 As there was not triple interaction, the results were showed in average of cropping systems because the significant 86 interaction between tillage system and soil layer (a, b, c) and in average of tillage system because the significant 87 interaction between cropping system and soil layer (d, e, f). In (a, b, c); uppercase letters compare soil layers within 88 the tillage system in each aggregate class; lowercase letters compare tillage systems within soil layer in each class of 89 soil aggregate according to Tukey test (P < 0.05). In (d, e, f), uppercase letters compare soil layers within the 89 cropping system in each soil aggregate class; lowercase letters compare cropping systems within soil layer in each 89 soil aggregate according to Tukey test (P < 0.05).





Figure 6. Regression of C concentration in the mineral associated organic matter (Min- MO-C)
as a function of the concentration of C in the occluded light fraction (Occluded-LF-C) in
aggregates > 2 (a), 2–0.25 (b) and <0.25 mm (c) in 0–5 cm layer of sandy clay loam Acrisol</li>
under conventional tillage (CT) and no-tillage (NT) in combination with oat/corn (O/M) and

98 oat+vetch /maize+cowpea (OV/MC).