

Temporal dynamics of mycorrhizal fungal communities and co-associations with grassland plant communities following experimental manipulation of rainfall

Coline Deveautour, Sally A Power, Kirk L Barnett, Raul Ochoa-Hueso,

Suzanne Donn, Alison E Bennett, Jeff R Powell

To cite this version:

Coline Deveautour, Sally A Power, Kirk L Barnett, Raul Ochoa-Hueso, Suzanne Donn, et al.. Temporal dynamics of mycorrhizal fungal communities and co-associations with grassland plant communities following experimental manipulation of rainfall. Journal of Ecology, 2020, 108 (2), pp.515-527. 10.1111/1365-2745.13267. hal-04168204

HAL Id: hal-04168204 <https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-04168204v1>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

 1. Climate models project overall a reduction in rainfall amounts and shifts in the timing of rainfall events in mid-latitudes and sub-tropical dry regions, which threatens the productivity and diversity of grasslands. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi may help plants to cope with expected changes but may also be impacted by changing rainfall, either via the direct effects of low soil moisture on survival and function or indirectly via changes in the plant community.

 2. In an Australian mesic grassland (former pasture) system, we characterised plant and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal communities every six months for nearly four years to two altered rainfall regimes: i) ambient, ii) rainfall reduced by 50% relative to ambient over the entire year and iii) total summer rainfall exclusion. Using Illumina sequencing, we assessed the response of AM fungal communities sampled from contrasting rainfall treatments and evaluated whether variation in AM fungal communities was associated with variation in plant community richness and composition.

 3. We found that rainfall reduction influenced the fungal communities, with the nature of the response depending on the type of manipulation, but that consistent results were only observed after more than two years of rainfall manipulation. We observed significant co-associations between plant and AM fungal communities on multiple dates. Predictive co-correspondence analyses indicated more support for the hypothesis that fungal community composition influenced plant community composition than vice versa. However, we found no evidence that altered rainfall regimes were leading to distinct co-associations between plants and AM fungi. Overall, our results provide evidence that grassland plant communities are intricately tied to variation in AM fungal communities. However, in this system, plant responses

 Keywords: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, climate change, community assembly, driver hypothesis, drought, passenger hypothesis, plant-microbe interactions.

Introduction

in the AM fungal communities.

 Grasslands are highly diverse ecosystems that provide numerous ecosystem services, including forage production, soil stabilization and carbon storage (Lemaire, Hodgson, & Chabbi, 2011). The diversity and productivity of these ecosystems are threatened by the reduction in rainfall amounts and shifts in rainfall frequency, as predicted by climate models (IPCC, 2014), because they are highly responsive to changes in rainfall regimes (Fay, Carlisle, Knapp, Blair, & Collins, 2003; Grime et al., 2000). While the effect of altered rainfall regimes on vegetation has been extensively studied, with known effects on the composition and richness of plant communities (e.g. Grime *et al.* 2000; Morecroft *et al.* 2004), less focus has been given to belowground communities. Altered rainfall regimes may influence both above and belowground communities as well as the interactions between these communities. Altered rainfall regimes can limit the exchange of resources between plants and soil communities via nutrient immobilisation in the soil (Compant, Van Der Heijden, & Sessitsch, 2010) and competition for resources may shift interactions from mutualistic to parasitic (N. C. Johnson, Wilson, Wilson, Miller, & Bowker, 2015).

 Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are an important element of the belowground community in grasslands (Miller, Wilson, & Johnson, 2012) and can promote drought tolerance (Augé, 2001). These fungi form symbiotic associations with the majority of plant species and are dependent on the carbon provided by their host (Smith & Read, 2008). In return, they contribute to plant nutrition (Smith & Smith, 2011) and tolerance to environmental stress, including drought (Augé, 2001). AM fungi can help their host cope with changes in rainfall in different ways, such as maintaining the nutritional status of the plant (Augé, 2001), facilitating access to water (Díaz-Zorita *et al.* 2002; Marulanda *et al.* 2003) and impacting host physiology, affecting water-use efficiency and root hydraulic conductivity (Sánchez- Blanco, Ferrández, Morales, Morte, & Alarcón, 2004; Wu, Zou, & Xia, 2006). The extent to which AM fungi contribute to drought-tolerance not only varies among plant species (Augé, 2001) but also among AM fungal species (Marulanda et al., 2003). The differential role of AM fungal species and the fact that AM fungal communities can affect plant diversity, composition and productivity (Powell & Rillig, 2018; van der Heijden et al., 1998) suggests that changes in the AM fungal community under altered rainfall regimes will likely affect associated plant communities, with outcomes that may be difficult to precisely predict.

 Numerous studies have evaluated the response of plant-AM fungal interaction to altered water conditions in pot experiments involving one plant and its associated fungi (reviewed by Augé 2001). In a greenhouse experiment using a single plant species, Deepika & Kothamasi (2015) found that reductions in soil moisture resulted in a reduction in the diversity of the AM fungal community and changes in its composition, but knowledge gaps remain about how AM fungal communities may respond to long-term altered rainfall under field

 conditions. Previous research under field conditions has revealed that changes in rainfall amounts and distribution between five and nine years resulted in changes in root colonisation, abundance of vesicles (involved in storage of nutrients), arbuscules (involved in nutrient exchange between partners), extraradical mycelium and spore density (Gao et al., 2016; Martínez-García, de Dios Miranda, & Pugnaire, 2012; Staddon et al., 2003). Few studies have evaluated how altered rainfall regimes will affect AM fungal community assembly. Two recent field studies found that increasing rainfall amounts during six to seven years influenced AM fungal community richness and composition in soil and roots (Gao et al., 2016; Li et al., 2015), while another study found that two years of altered rainfall amounts and frequency did not affect AM fungal richness but influenced the community composition (Deveautour, Donn, Power, Bennett, & Powell, 2018). Knowledge gaps remain on how AM fungal communities will respond in a longer term to the reduction in rainfall amounts that are expected with climate change.

 Altered rainfall regimes may also indirectly affect the AM fungal community via changes in the plant community. Staddon *et al*. (2003) found that the effect of altered rainfall regimes on AM fungal density in both roots and soil was correlated both to soil moisture and to plant diversity, while Martínez-García *et al.* (2012) related changes in AM fungal abundance to a decrease in photosynthetic rates of the host. Changes in the vegetation via altered rainfall regimes may also indirectly affect the AM fungal community assembly. Li *et al.* (2015) found that changes in the composition and richness of the AM fungal community were in part resulting from shifts in the biomass of particular plant functional groups. Changes in rainfall regimes are expected to alter plant community composition (Grime et al., 2000; Morecroft et al., 2004), which has been observed to affect the AM fungal community (Johnson et al., 2004), suggesting that the indirect effect of changes in rainfall via plant species composition should be further explored.

 The majority of these studies evaluated the response of the community at one time-point but AM fungal community structure and interactions with their hosts can be very dynamic (Dumbrell et al., 2011). Taking these temporal dynamics into account is important to consider as grassland community responses to climate change can take time to manifest and may even show reversals over time (Reich, Hobbie, Lee, & Pastore, 2018). Here, we studied the response of AM fungal communities to altered rainfall regimes over a period of almost four years and evaluated whether changes in AM fungal communities were associated with plant community richness and composition. During this time, we characterised the AM fungal communities every six months, using Illumina sequencing, in replicated field plots established in an Australian grassland. We expected that altered rainfall regimes would reduce AM fungal richness and that different watering treatments would result in distinct communities. We estimated the effect of altered rainfall regimes on the AM fungal community, and evaluated whether the response varied over time. We then assessed whether variation in AM fungal communities was associated with variation in plant communities and whether these co-associations were influenced by rainfall manipulation. We hypothesised that these communities would co-vary due to some plant-fungal associations having specificity, leading to the presence of species of one community being associated with the presence of species from the other community. We also hypothesised that changes in rainfall would result in selection for or against particular associations between certain plants species and AM fungi. We hypothesised that this co-variation between communities would be the result of one community influencing the richness and composition of the other community in one of two ways: AM fungal communities influencing plant communities (*Driver hypothesis*) or vice versa (*Passenger hypothesis*) (Hart *et al.* 2001). Alternatively, AM fungal and plant communities may covary as a result of each responding similarly but independently along our environmental gradients (*Habitat hypothesis*, Zobel & Öpik 2014).

Materials and methods

Site and experimental design

 The 'Drought and Root Herbivore Impacts on Grasslands' (DRI-Grass) experimental platform was established in 2013 at the Hawkesbury Campus of Western Sydney University in Richmond, NSW, Australia. The average annual precipitation at the site is 806 mm, and it is characterized by a high inter-annual variability (between 500 mm and over 1400 mm in the last 30 years; Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology, 2018), with summer being generally the wettest season. The soil at the site has a sand or loamy sand texture, with water holding capacity of 20-22%. Full details are described in Power et al. (2016) but, briefly, DRI-Grass was established to study the effect of drought and root herbivory on grassland community structure and ecosystem function. Here, we describe only the sampled plots. The experiment is situated over a former pasture grassland (grazing stopped in 1995) and consists of 2 x 2 m plots covered with shelters that exclude natural rainfall and have automated, controlled application of water underneath the shelters. Water is applied to the plots at 1h00 each morning based on the amount of rainfall in the previous 24h and according to the following watering treatments: 1) control (same amount as ambient rainfall), 2) reduced (50% less rainfall than ambient) and 3) summer drought (total rainfall exclusion from December to March, reducing the total annual rainfall amount by 32% to 67% depending on the year). Treatments were selected to represent climatic projections of reduction in rainfall amounts and a summer drought to simulate longer drought periods between rainfall events expected for the region (CSIRO and Australian Goverment Bureau of Meteorology, 2016). All treatments have six replicates and are arranged in a randomized block design. Rainfall manipulation started on 21 June 2013.

163 **Plant and soil sampling**

164 Soil samples were collected approximately every six months between 2014 and 2017 (Table 165 1). On each date, eight soil cores of 2 cm diameter, to a depth of 10 cm, were collected 166 adjacent to the central 1 $m²$ (two cores on each side) for each plot and composited before 167 storing at -80^oC for analysis of AM fungal communities. A total of 18 samples were collected 168 on each sampling date. For characterisation of plant communities, the vegetation was cut to 169 ground level within the central 1 m^2 of each plot and a sub-sample of harvest material 170 (representing 25-40% of the biomass) was sorted to the species level. Samples were dried for 171 48h at 80 ºC and weighed to obtain the biomass of each plant species per plot (list of plant 172 species in Table S1), before being scaled to the plot $(1m^2)$ level. Plant communities were 173 assessed within ten days of soil sampling, with the exception of Autumn 2014 when soil 174 samples were collected five weeks after plant communities were assessed (Table 1). Plant 175 composition data was not available for spring 2015 because biomass was not sorted to species 176 level at that date (Table 1).

- 177
- 178 Table 1. Sampling dates and richness of both the plant and AM fungal communities.

Extraction, amplification and sequencing of AM fungal DNA

 DNA was extracted from 250 mg of soil for each sample using PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (MO BIO, Carlsbad, USA) as the manufacturer's instruction. Extracted DNA was quantified using NanoDrop 2000/2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA), and then diluted to 5ng/μL in 20μL prior to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. All PCRs were performed in PTC-200 thermal cyclers (Bio-Rad, California, USA). DNA samples were amplified using PCR primers that target AM fungi and provide coverage for all AM fungal lineages, described in Krüger *et al.* (2009). Each reaction contained 9μL of master mix and 1μL diluted DNA template. The master mix contained: 5μL KAPA mix (Kapa Biosystem, Wilmington, USA), 0.4μL LSU_Ar (10μM), 0.4μL SSU_Af (10μM), 190 3.2μL water. Thermocycling conditions for this first step were: 95^oC for 3min, 30 cycles of 191 98^oC for 20s, 60^oC for 30s and 72^oC for 50s, followed by 72^oC for 2 min. In the second PCR round, each reaction contained 18μL of master mix and 2μL diluted PCR product (2μL DNA / 98μL water). The master mix contained: 10μL KAPA mix, 0.8μL LSU_Br (10μM), 0.8μL 194 SSU Cf (10μM), 6.4μL water. Thermocycling conditions for this second step were: 95^oC for 195 3min, 30 cycles of 98^oC for 20s, 63^oC for 30s and 72^oC for 50s, followed by 72^oC for 2 min.

The PCR products were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter,

Lane Cove, NSW, Australia), and diluted with PCR-grade water to 5ng/μL in 20μL. The

ITS2 region was sequenced by Illumina MiSeq using fITS7 (5'-

GTGARTCATCGAATCTTTG-3'; Ihrmark et al., 2012) and ITS4 (5'-

 TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'; White, Bruns, Lee, & Taylor, 1990). Genomic libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT Index Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Paired-end (2 x 251 bases) sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform. Samples were processed, from DNA extraction to sequencing, in two batches: those collected in 2014-2015 (sequencing performed at the Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics; Sydney, NSW) and those collected in 2016-2017 (sequenced at the Western Sydney University Next-Generation Sequencing Facility; Richmond, NSW). Four samples collected in 2014 and 2015 were also amplified with the second batch to evaluate the extent that 'batch' influenced the generation of compositional data.

The DNA sequencing data were processed using the approach described by Bissett et al.

(2016) with a few modifications; the following is a brief description. Contigs were generated

from paired-end reads using the 'make.contigs' command in *mothur* (version 1.36.1) (Schloss

et al., 2009). Initial quality filtering removed DNA sequences containing any ambiguous

bases and/or a homopolymer greater than eight bases in length. De novo operational

taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% sequence similarity were initially picked using numerically

215 dominant sequences (observed at least four times) using the '-cluster otus' command in

USEARCH (version v8.1.1803) (Edgar, 2013). All quality-filtered sequences were mapped at

97% sequence similarity against representative sequences of these OTUs using the '-

218 usearch global' command in *VSEARCH* (version v2.3.4) (Rognes, Flouri, Nichols, Quince, &

Mahé, 2016). Non-mapped sequences were subjected to a second round of de novo OTU

picking, as above but only using sequences observed at least two times. All initially non-

mapped sequences were then mapped against these newly picked OTUs, as above. Non-

mapped sequences at this step represent singleton OTUs and were excluded from further

analysis.

Putative taxonomic identities for fungal OTUs were generated by using BLAST (Altschul,

Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990) to compare a representative sequence for each OTU

against a reference database of fungal ITS sequences and taxonomic annotations obtained

from UNITE (version 7.0) (Abarenkov et al., 2010) and additional AM fungal ITS sequences

downloaded from the NCBI nucleotide database on 6 June 2018.

Statistical analysis

231 All the analyses were performed in R version 3.5.0 (R Core Team, 2018).

 For fungal community analyses, normalisation of sequence reads across all samples was not performed because the rarefaction curve (using 'rarecurve' in 'vegan'; Oksanen et al. 2018)

showed that sequencing effort was sufficient to reach saturation for the majority of the

samples (Fig. S1, range: 262-29,269 reads/sample (Table S7)). A soil sample collected in the

summer drought treatment in autumn 2017 contained only 66 reads and was excluded from

the analyses. The tests were performed separately for samples collected in 2014-2015 and

2016-2017 because we found that richness (Fig. S1) and compositional (Fig. S2) data of the

AM fungal community were not comparable between samples processed in the two batches.

We estimated the fungal richness for each sample using the Chao index using 'estimateR'

function ('vegan'). We assessed the effects of the watering treatment, sampling date and their

interaction on the fungal richness using linear mixed-effects models to account for repeated

sampling at each plot with 'lmer' function ('lme4'; Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015).

- We performed multiple comparisons between watering treatments using the 'emmeans'
- function ('emmeans'; Lenth, 2018). We then calculated differences in AM fungal
- composition using a Jaccard distance based on presence/absence data because nested PCRs

 may have introduced biases in the estimates of relative abundance. We assessed the effects of the watering treatment, sampling date and their interaction on the AM fungal composition with permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PerMANOVA) using the 'adonis' function ('vegan'). Multiple comparisons were performed using the 'pairwise.adonis' function ('pairwiseAdonis'; Martinez Arbizu, 2017). We assessed significant indicator OTUs (OTUs that are characteristic of an environment) using the 'indval' function ('labdsv', Roberts, 2016). Indicator values (IV) range from 0 to 1, where highest values are associated with stronger indicators of an environment. We also performed variation partitioning to quantify the effect of spatial covariation relative to experimental manipulation of rainfall on each date. We calculated Principal Coordinates of Neighbour Matrices (PCNM) using the 'pcnm' function ('vegan') and selected significant PCNM axes using the 'ordistep' function before performing variation partitioning to disentangle the role of the watering treatment and spatial variables with the 'varpart' function ('vegan').

 We assessed the effects of the watering treatment and sampling date on the plant composition with PerMANOVA using the 'adonis' function ('vegan'), based on 'Bray-Curtis' distances. Before the analysis, plant abundances were square-root transformed to normalise the differences in total biomass among the plant species. To assess the co-variation between the composition of the plant and the AM fungal communities at each sampling date, we used symmetric co-correspondence analyses (Co-CA; Ter Braak & Schaffers 2004). This method quantifies the correlations between two species matrices by maximizing the covariance between weighted average species scores of one community with those of the other community. Square-root transformed data were used for the plant community and presence/absence data were used for the AM fungal community. We then estimated the co- variation between the communities using 'coca' ('cocorresp' package; Simpson, 2009), specifying the 'symmetric' method, retaining the first four axes. The significance of the

 model fit was based on permutation tests and we obtained the axis correlations using 'corAxis' ('cocorresp'). We used the plots to observe the influence of the watering treatment on the co-association between communities at each sampling date. Axes of the dual plots are optimized to maximize covariance between communities but can still be interpreted using centroid and biplot rules (Ter Braak & Schaffers, 2004).

 To evaluate the extent to which, on each sampling date, variation in each of the AM fungal and plant matrices could be used to *predict* variation of the other, we used the predictive Co- CA (Ter Braak & Schaffers, 2004). This method differs from the symmetric Co-CA because it is a regression method, equivalent to canonical correspondence analysis, but uses the species composition matrix as explanatory variables. Using the plant community as the predicting matrix will test the *Passenger hypothesis*, while using the AM fungal community as the predicting matrix will test the *Driver hypothesis*. We used 'coca', specifying the 'predictive' method ('cocorresp') to fit the model. To assess the prediction accuracy, we used the 'leave-one-out' cross-validation procedure with 'crossval' ('cocorresp'). This consists of a partial least squares regression carried out as many times as there were plots, excluding one plot for each regression and using the parameters of the model to predict the species composition of the excluded plot. The predicted species composition is then compared to the observed data to obtain the prediction fit.

 We then tested whether AM fungal richness was a significant predictor of plant richness and diversity. We estimated plant diversity using Shannon index with 'diversity' ('vegan'). We fitted a linear-mixed effect model testing the effect of the AM fungal expected richness and the sampling date as well as their interaction with 'lmer' ('lme4'). The sequencing batch was included as a random effect to account for differences in the composition data between samples collected in 2014-2015 and 2016-2017.

Results

AM fungal community responses to altered rainfall regimes take time to appear

 We obtained 6,986,086 forward reads paired with reverse reads before any quality control, including 3,396,239 reads from the first batch (2014-2015) and 3,589,847 from the second batch (2016-2017). Initial quality filtering excluded 2,699,326 reads and removal of putative chimeras and singletons removed a further 2,472,929 reads (resulting in 33% and 20% of the first and second batch passing filtering steps, respectively). Following these steps, we were left with 666,651 reads for the control treatment (28 % passing filtering steps), 645,825 for the reduced treatment (27 % passing filtering steps) and 501,355 for the summer drought treatment (23 % passing filtering steps).

 Between 2014 and 2015, we found that the watering treatment affected AM fungal richness 308 differently on each sampling date (linear mixed-effects model treatment by date interaction; $P < 0.01$) and that there was no significant main effect of the watering treatment average across the 310 dates (linear mixed-effects model treatment; $P = 0.68$). This was due to a marginally 311 nonsignificant effect of the watering treatment in autumn 2015 (multiple comparisons; $P =$ 0.07), and in spring 2015 AM fungal richness differed marginally significantly between control and summer drought treatments (multiple comparisons; *P* = 0.04; Fig. 1a). However, the watering treatments had a consistent effect on AM fungal richness for samples collected in 2016 and 2017 (linear mixed-effects model treatment; *P* < 0.01; linear mixed-effects model 316 treatment by date interaction; $P = 0.92$; Fig. 1b). Soil water content, on average, was reduced in autumn 2016 but not in spring 2016 nor autumn 2017 (Table S2), so consistent watering treatment effects on AM fungi observed at these later dates do not correspond to a reduction in soil water content compared to 2014 and 2015. We observed that fungal richness was

- higher in the reduced watering treatment compared with the summer drought treatments
- (multiple comparisons; *P* < 0.01, Fig. 1b). The fungal richness varied depending on the
- sampling date between 2014 and 2015 (linear mixed-effects model date; *P* < 0.01; Fig. 1a) and
- 323 between 2016 and 2017 (linear mixed-effects model $_{\text{date}}$; $P < 0.01$; Fig. 1b).

 Figure 1. Estimated (Chao) richness of the AM fungal communities according to the watering treatment at each sampling date. Letters indicate mean contrasts using a multiple comparison 327 test and differences are significant at $P < 0.05$. Asterisks following the letters in panel (a) show marginally non-significant differences (*P* = 0.07) between the *control* and the *summer drought* treatment. Multiple comparisons between watering treatments were performed within each sampling date in panel (a) because between 2014 and 2015 we found an interaction between sampling date and watering treatment, while multiple comparisons were performed on the main effect of watering treatment in panel (b) because we found no interaction between watering treatment and sampling date for data collected between 2016 and 2017.

 Glomeraceae was the most frequently observed AM fungal taxon at every sampling date, and within each date, the abundance of Claroideoglomeraceae and Diversisporaceae appeared to vary the most between watering treatments (Fig. S3). We identified specific OTUs associated with the watering treatment and we found 24 significant OTUs which had an indicator value $339 > 0.5$. The majority of these OTUs belonged to the Glomeraceae, with indicator OTUs belonging to Claroideoglomeraceae and Gigasporaceae observed mostly at later dates (in 2016 and 2017, Table S3).

 We tested the compositional data of AM fungi from each sampling run separately as i) sampling run effect was very large and obscured all other patterns (Fig. S1 and S2) and ii) it was not possible to account for this effect using random effects in these analyses. Between 2014 and 2015, we found that the community composition varied according to the sampling 346 date $(R^2 = 0.08, P < 0.01,$ Table 2). We observed no effect of the watering treatment on the 347 community composition ($R^2 = 0.03$, $P = 0.09$) and, in the ordination, we observed a trend towards differentiation by treatment but also substantial overlap along both axes, indicating that the watering treatment had, at most, a weak effect on the structuring of AM fungal communities (Fig. 2a). We found no evidence that the watering treatments influenced AM 351 fungal communities differently depending on the sampling date $(P = 0.99)$. Treatment effects were stronger for samples collected in 2016 and 2017. For these dates, we found a small but 353 significant effect of the watering treatment ($R^2 = 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, Table 2) and sampling date 354 $(R^2 = 0.08, P < 0.01)$ on the community composition but no significant interaction between 355 the watering treatment and the sampling date $(P = 0.99)$. We observed that between 2016 and 2017, the watering treatments formed distinctive communities (Fig. 2b), with the largest difference between the control and the summer drought treatment (multiple comparisons; R^2 $358 = 0.05, P < 0.01$, in Fig. 2b) followed by the control and reduced treatment, which was 359 marginally non-significant (multiple comparisons; $R^2 = 0.04$, $P = 0.09$, in Fig. 2b). Watering

 treatment effects observed at these later dates do not correspond to a reduction in soil water content at these dates compared to 2014 and 2015 (Table S2). Variance explained by watering treatment was small overall, never exceeding 3% on any date, and was highest in autumn 2017 (Table S4). In addition, the proportion of variation explained by PCNM axes tended to be lower in later years than in earlier years (Table S4).

 Table 2. PerMANOVA results when testing the effect of the watering treatment, sampling date as well as their interaction on the AM fungal community richness for samples collected in 2014-2015 and 2016-2017.

Effect	2014-2015			2016-2017		
	F -value	\mathbb{R}^2	P-value	<i>F</i> -value	R^2	P-value
Watering treatment	1.19	0.03	0.09	1.38	0.05	< 0.01
Sampling date	1.85	0.08	< 0.01	2.05	0.08	< 0.01
Watering treatment x sampling date	0.76	$\overline{}$	0.99	0.79	-	0.99

Figure 2. Distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) ordination of AM fungal

communities sampled between 2014 and 2015 (a) and between 2016 and 2017 (b),

constrained by watering treatment.

 Plant-AM fungal community co-associations are not influenced by altered rainfall regimes

 Plant communities were also responsive to watering treatments (PerMANOVA; *F*2,98 = 3.8, $R^2 = 0.05$, $P < 0.01$; Fig. S4) and differed between sampling dates (PerMANOVA; $F_{5,98} =$ 6.4 , $R^2 = 0.05$, $P < 0.01$). To determine whether watering treatments were influencing co- associations between plant and fungal communities, we evaluated the co-variation among species from the plant and the AM fungal communities at each sampling date for which we had assessed both trophic groups. Co-variation fit varied between 26.7 % and 31.4 % according to the sampling date, with the strongest co-variation observed in spring 2014 (Table 3). The co-variation between plants and AM fungi was marginally non-significant at 387 the first sampling date (autumn 2014, $P = 0.07$) and was non-significant in autumn 2017 ($P =$ 0.87).

 We expected that the co-associations between the plant and the AM fungal communities would be influenced by the watering treatment. At the different sampling dates, we observed some separation in the sample loadings along the first axes but we observed that overall the species loadings were not strongly associated with particular plots (but a few exceptions are described below) (Fig. 3). Loadings associated with the samples from each watering treatment overlapped (Fig. 3), suggesting that the co-associations did not differ depending on the watering treatments. In addition, we observed that indicator OTUs were generally not among those with the strongest loadings on either axis (Fig. 3), suggesting that OTUs strongly associated to a watering treatment were not strongly co-associated with a particular plant.

 On some of the sampling dates, individual plots and species were identified as extreme outliers, suggesting stronger co-associations between particular plant species and AM fungal OTUs. The association between plant and AM fungal communities in one of the summer drought treatment plots differed in relation to the other sampling plots in autumn 2015 (along the first axis, Fig. 3e, f) and in autumn 2016 (along the second axis, Fig. 3g, h), not in autumn

 Table 3. Co-variation between plant and AM fungal communities at each sampling date. This table shows the correlation coefficients between plant-derived and AM fungi-derived site scores of the first four axes, the percentage of fit and associated p-value depicting the inertia in the AM fungal community associated with variability in the plant community based on the four first axes.

 Figure 3. Co-associations among species from the AM fungi and plant communities. Dual plots resulting from the symmetric CO-CA showing species (crosses) and sample (points) weighted average scores for the AM fungal community (left panel) and the plant community (right panel), and indicator OTUs characteristic of a watering treatment (triangles on left panels). AM fungi and plant species in corresponding positions with respect to the origin in the dual plots are positively associated. Stronger co-associations between species are found 431 the further they are from the origin and the sample scores show proximity to the species they are likely to contain. Dual plots showing co-associations in autumn 2017 were not included because co-variation between communities was non-significant at that date.

AM fungal communities predict plant community composition

 We hypothesized that the co-variations between those two communities were the result of one community influencing the composition of the other. Using predictive co-correspondence analysis on all dates except autumn 2017 (no significant co-association), we found stronger evidence for AM fungal community composition as a predictor of plant community composition than as a response to plant community composition. In the latter case, only 1.2% of variation was predicted in spring 2014 and all other dates had negative estimates, indicating poor cross-validatory fits (Table 4). The predictive power of the AM fungal community varied among sampling dates: 18.5% of the variation was predicted in the plant community at the first sampling date (Table 4). Prediction levels were between 7.7% and 11.2% on further dates, with negative estimates only observed in spring 2016 (Table 4).

453

 While we observed the above associations between AM fungal and plant community composition, we found no evidence for relationships between AM fungal and plant diversity. This was the case when looking at main effects, with no effect of fungal richness on plant 457 richness (linear mixed-effect model; $P = 0.99$) or plant diversity (linear mixed-effect model; $P = 0.59$) observed. In addition, plant richness (linear mixed-effect model; P richness by date 459 interaction = 0.29) or plant diversity (linear mixed-effect model; P richness by date interaction = 0.35) was not observed to be influenced by the fungal richness depending on the sampling date (Fig. S5).

462

463 **Discussion**

464 **AM fungal community responses to altered rainfall regimes take time to appear**

 We found that altered rainfall regimes affected AM fungal community composition and richness, and that the AM fungal community response depended on the watering treatment applied to the grassland plots. Three years after rainfall manipulation began, *summer drought* and *reduced* treatments resulted in distinct communities differing in richness and composition. Differences in community responses to changes in rainfall may be due to varying intensity and timing of water limitation. Rainfall exclusion in summer resulted in a larger shift in composition, possibly because *summer drought* simulated an intense drought associated with warmer temperatures and resulted in more extreme stress. In addition, summer is generally the wettest season at our site (Power et al., 2016); therefore, some AM fungal species found at this site may be poorly adapted to water exclusion in the summer. Altogether, these results indicate that AM fungal responses to climate change will depend on the specific future rainfall conditions that arise.

 Once AM fungal communities responded to the *summer drought* treatment, the response persisted throughout the year. We found that AM fungal composition still differed from *ambient* treatment in spring, six to seven months after water limitation is removed. Others have found that summer drought applied for seven years affected AM fungal abundance in roots and soil, and that these changes also persisted throughout the year (Staddon et al., 2003). This suggests that particularly longer dry periods, as projected with climate change, will result in shifts in AM fungal communities that are not expected to reverse after rainfall events occur in autumn or winter.

 The response of AM fungal communities to altered rainfall regimes varied over time. In a study using a temporal approach to observe fungal responses to changes in rainfall, Hawkes *et al.* (2011) found that the changes in fungal communities were rapid and reversible. In contrast, we observed a consistent response of the AM fungal community three years after rainfall manipulation began. AM fungal communities at our site are exposed to low annual

 rainfall amount and high inter- and intra-annual rainfall variability (Power et al., 2016), possibly shaping their response to changes in rainfall regimes. AM fungal species at our site may possess adaptive traits to survive those highly variable rainfall patterns for multiple years. In addition, the delayed response of the AM fungal community may also be a result of frequent fungal dispersal among plots. At our experimental site, sampling plots are 2 m distance minimum from each other, it is therefore possible that the AM fungi were able to disperse to nearby plots regardless of their watering treatment. We did observe a spatial effect on the AM fungal community composition, which became weaker at later sampling dates suggesting that the mixing of the communities may have masked the effect of altered rainfall during the first years of the experiment. Alternatively, it may have been that spatial variation decreased as environmental filtering due to rainfall manipulation became more important in later years.

AM fungal community composition as a putative driver of plant community variation

 Under the *Passenger* or *Driver hypotheses* (Hart et al., 2001), it is assumed that changes in the composition of an AM fungal community responds to or leads to, respectively, changes in the plant community. Alternatively, Zobel & Öpik (2014) hypothesised that AM fungal and plant communities may co-vary as a result of an environmental gradient and not because both communities were casually linked (*Habitat hypothesis*). Overall, our results support the *Driver hypothesis* playing a larger role in this system. While we found no evidence that the richness of the AM fungal community influenced the richness and diversity of the plants, AM fungal community composition was a significant predictor of plant community composition. In addition, we found that the plant community composition was a very poor predictor of the AM fungal community composition, indicating that AM fungi were not acting as passengers

 at our site. Therefore, plant community assembly at our site may be driven in part by factors influencing AM fungal communities, rather than by factors affecting only the vegetation.

 Others have also found relationships between plants and AM fungal community assembly. Davison et al. (2011) found that AM fungal composition differed according to plant functional group but not plant species, and López-García et al. (2017) showed that plant traits influence species composition and phylogenetic structure of the AM fungal community. Although these studies show that host plants influence AM fungal community assembly, the authors did not evaluate the influence of the plant community structure (but a few selected plant species), nor the role of the AM fungi in determining the plant community structure. Another study evaluating relationships between communities found that plant composition influenced AM fungal community composition in soil but not vice versa, and that this effect was weak after accounting for spatial effects (Horn, Hempel, Verbruggen, Rillig, & Caruso, 2017). However, this study used indirect approaches to estimate the strength and significance of relationships, which are accurate only if the major pattern of variation in the predictor community is also important for the response community (Ter Braak & Schaffers, 2004). In contrast, in a field succession experiment, García de León et al. (2016) found a strong correlation between AM fungal and plant community composition, and suggested that the fungal community was driving the plant community because of the fungal pool present before plants' establishment. Re-analysing these data using co-correspondence analysis has the potential to determine in a direct way the direction of these relationships in field experiments and improve our understanding on associations between plants and AM fungal communities. However, our evidence in support of the *Driver hypothesis* is correlational in nature and it is possible that biases may exist with the statistical approach that we used. For instance, the levels of prediction of a community over the composition of the other community obtained in

this study may be due in part to differences in diversity and resolution between plant and AM

 fungal communities. Lack of balance between communities in terms of species numbers may influence prediction levels because co-correspondence analysis uses one set of variables from a species matrix to predict the other set of variables in another species matrix. Assessing the likelihood of this and other biases requires further evaluation of co-correspondence analysis in different scenarios using, for example, a simulation approach (Caruso, Powell, & Rillig, 2012). To confirm or deny patterns detected from co-correspondence, an experimental approach using microcosms such as van der Heijden *et al.* (1998) may ascertain whether a changed AM fungal community will affect the vegetation in return. In addition, this approach can also provide mechanistic insight, to understand how changes in the AM fungal community composition and richness affect plant nutrition, productivity and tolerance to water stress under different rainfall conditions.

Plant-fungal co-associations and responses to rainfall are decoupled in this system

 Whether changes in AM fungal composition resulting from altered rainfall will result in changes in the vegetation at our site remains uncertain. We did find that AM fungal communities responded to altered rainfall regimes and that their composition predicted plant composition. However, if altered rainfall patterns were influencing the plant community via shifts in AM fungal communities, we would expect altered rainfall regimes to lead to differing co-associations between AM fungal and plant communities. Our results do not show this. We found that co-associations between plants and AM fungal species were not strongly associated with any particular watering treatments. Rather, plots were likely to contain co- associations observed in other plots as well, regardless of their watering treatment. In the few cases where we did observe stronger co-associations in certain sampling plots, these were

 related to the presence of a particular plant species in a single plot rather than being observed in several plots exposed to the same watering treatment.

 At our site, despite the observed relationships between communities, those AM fungal taxa that responded to changes in rainfall may not be the same as those taxa determining outcomes of plant community assembly, meaning that processes around changing rainfall regimes and co-associations between plants and fungi are decoupled. We found a few fungal OTUs characteristic of a watering treatment at each sampling date but none of these indicator OTUs were strongly co-associated with a particular plant. It is possible that OTUs responding to changes in rainfall may not provide strong benefits to plants under low soil moisture and that plants are therefore less susceptible to the loss of these OTUs. Plant communities may also be more responsive to shifts in AM fungal communities and their associated services as a result of altered rainfall patterns in systems more limited by precipitation or in environments with less rainfall variability, where water limitation is more consistent.

 Co-associations between plant and fungal communities were less strong or even absent once treatment effects on AM fungal communities were observed. It is possible that shifts in the AM fungal community resulting from altered rainfall at later dates disrupted the co- associations between communities. Both this observation and the delay in detecting effects of rainfall manipulation on AM fungal communities highlight the importance of a long-term, temporal approach to study community responses to climate change. Experiments using soils collected at different times may contribute to study temporal dynamics of co-associations between plants and AM fungal communities. Future studies could inoculate microcosms with soils collected at different timepoints after starting rainfall manipulation to evaluate both the resilience of these fungal communities when exposed to different watering treatments, and the strength of their co-associations with the plant community depending on the amount of time AM fungi were exposed to environmental changes.

Conclusions

 In conclusion, AM fungal communities will respond to changes in rainfall regimes projected with climate change. Shifts in the AM fungal communities may take time to appear and the direction in which these communities are expected to respond to altered rainfall regimes will depend on the precise nature of future climatic conditions. In addition, we found support for the hypothesis that fungal community composition influenced plant community composition. However, at our site, our results suggest that plant responses to climate change may not be directly related to impacts of altered rainfall regimes on AM fungal communities.

Acknowledgements

 CD was supported by a postgraduate scholarship from Western Sydney University. This work was supported by grants from the Australian Research Council (DP140103936) to JRP and the Hermon Slade Foundation to SP. AEB received support from the OECD Co-operative Research Programme Secretariat and Trade and Agriculture Directorate, the Stapledon Memorial Trust Travelling Fellowship, the Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment Research Exchange Program, the Royal Entomological Society Outreach Fund, a British Ecological Society Grant, and the Scottish Government Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division (2016-2021 Work 533 Packages 1.3, 2.1, and 2.3). We thank Burhan Amiji for help with field work and the many volunteers involved in the plant sampling and processing along these years. We also thank Lynette Abbott, Thorunn Helgason and two anonymous reviews for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

- CD, AEB, SAP and JRP designed the study. CD and JRP performed statistical analyses,
- interpreted results and wrote the manuscript. All authors collected and processed samples,
- and revised this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Data availability

- All data have been archived in Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8869586.v1),
- and scripts and data can be accessed at
- https://bitbucket.org/Coline_Dev/coassociations_amfplants. Raw DNA sequencing data are
- available under NCBI BioProject PRJNA551082.
-

References

- Abarenkov, K., Nilsson, R. H., Larsson, K.-H., Alexander, I. J., Eberhardt, U., Erland, S., …
- Koljalg, U. (2010). The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi recent
- updates and future perspectives. *New Phytologist*, *186*, 281–285. doi:10.1111/nph.12154
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic Local
- Alignment Search Tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *215*, 403–410.
- doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Augé, R. M. (2001). Water relations, drought and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal
- symbiosis. *Mycorrhiza*, *11*, 3–42. doi:10.1007/s005720100097

Ecology, *78*(1), 103–115. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01103.x

Deepika, S., & Kothamasi, D. (2015). Soil moisture - a regulator of arbuscular mycorrhizal

- fungal community assembly and symbiotic phosphorus uptake. *Mycorrhiza*, *25*, 67–75. doi:10.1007/s00572-014-0596-1
- Deveautour, C., Donn, S., Power, S. A., Bennett, A. E., & Powell, J. R. (2018).
- Experimentally altered rainfall regimes and host root traits affect grassland arbuscular
- mycorrhizal fungal communities. *Molecular Ecology*, *27*(8), 2152–2163.
- doi:10.1111/mec.14536
- Dí-az-Zorita, M., Perfect, E., & Grove, J. (2002). Disruptive methods for assessing soil structure. *Soil and Tillage Research*, *64*, 3–22. doi:10.1016/S0167-1987(01)00254-9
- Dumbrell, A. J., Ashton, P. D., Aziz, N., Feng, G., Nelson, M., Dytham, C., … Helgason, T.
- (2011). Distinct seasonal assemblages of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi revealed by
- massively parallel pyrosequencing. *New Phytologist*, *190*, 794–804. doi:10.1111/j.1469- 8137.2010.03636.x
- Edgar, R. C. (2013). UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature Methods*, *10*(10), 996–998. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2604
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., & Basra, S. M. . (2009). *Plant Drought*
- *Stress: Effects, Mechanisms and Management*. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* (Vol. 29). doi:10.1051/agro
- Fay, P. A., Carlisle, J. D., Knapp, A. K., Blair, J. M., & Collins, S. L. (2003). Productivity
- responses to altered rainfall patterns in a C4-dominated grassland. *Oecologia*, *137*, 245– 251. doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1331-3
- Gao, C. ., Kim, Y.-C. ., Zheng, Y. ., Yang, W. ., Chen, L. . c, Ji, N.-N. . c, … Guo, L.-D. . e.
- (2016). Increased precipitation, rather than warming, exerts a strong influence on
- arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community in a semiarid steppe ecosystem. *Botany*,

94(6), 459–469. doi:10.1139/cjb-2015-0210

- García de León, D., Moora, M., Öpik, M., Neuenkamp, L., Gerz, M., Jairus, T., … Zobel, M. (2016). Symbiont dynamics during ecosystem succession: Co-occurring plant and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *92*(7), 1–9. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiw097
- Griffiths, B. S., & Philippot, L. (2013). Insights into the resistance and resilience of the soil microbial community. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, *37*(2), 112–129.
- doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00343.x
- Grime, J. P., Brown, V. K., Thompson, K., Masters, G. J., Hillier, S. H., Clarke, I. P., …
- Kielty, J. P. (2000). The Response of Two Contrasting Limestone Grasslands to Simulated Climate Change. *Science*, *289*, 762–766.
- Hart, M. M., Reader, R. J., & Klironomos, J. N. (2001). Life-History Strategies of Arbuscular
- Mycorrhizal Fungi in Relation to Their Successional Dynamics. *Mycologia*, *93*(6),
- 1186–1194. doi:10.2307/3761678
- Hawkes, C. V., Kivlin, S. N., Rocca, J. D., Huguet, V., Thomsen, M. a., & Suttle, K. B.
- (2011). Fungal community responses to precipitation. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(4),
- 1637–1645. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02327.x
- Horn, S., Hempel, S., Verbruggen, E., Rillig, M. C., & Caruso, T. (2017). Linking the
- community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plants: A story of
- interdependence? *ISME Journal*, *11*(6), 1400–1411. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.5
- Ihrmark, K., Bödeker, I. T. M., Cruz-Martinez, K., Friberg, H., Kubartova, A., Schenck, J.,
- … Lindahl, B. D. (2012). New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region evaluation by
- 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *82*,

666–677. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01437.x

- IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*
- *Change*. (R. K. P. and L. A. M. (eds.). [Core Writing Team, Ed.) (IPCC). Geneva,
- Switzerland: IPCC. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324
- Johnson, D., Vandenkoornhuyse, P. J., Leake, J. R., Gilbert, L., Booth, R. E., Grime, J. P., …
- Read, D. J. (2004). Plant communities affect arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and
- community composition in grassland microcosms. *New Phytologist*, *161*(2), 503–515.
- doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00938.x
- Johnson, N. C., Wilson, G. W. T., Wilson, J. A., Miller, R. M., & Bowker, M. A. (2015).
- Mycorrhizal phenotypes and the Law of the Minimum. *New Phytologist*, *205*(4), 1473– 711 1484. doi:10.1111/nph.13172
- Krüger, M., Stockinger, H., Krüger, C., & Schüssler, A. (2009). DNA-based species level
- detection of Glomeromycota: one PCR primer set for all arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.
- *The New Phytologist*, *183*, 212–23. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02835.x
- Lemaire, G., Hodgson, J., & Chabbi, A. (2011). *Grassland productivity and ecosystem services*. Oxfordshire: CAB International.
- Lenth, R. (2018). emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. Retrieved
- from https://cran.r-project.org/package=emmeans
- Li, X., Zhu, T., Peng, F., Chen, Q., Lin, S., Christie, P., & Zhang, J. (2015). Inner Mongolian
- steppe arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities respond more strongly to water
- availability than to nitrogen fertilization. *Environmental Microbiology*, *17*(8), 3051–
- 3068. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12931

- Powell, J. R., & Rillig, M. C. (2018). Biodiversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and ecosystem function. *New Phytologist*. doi:10.1111/nph.15119
- Power, S. A., Barnett, K. L., Ochoa-hueso, R., Facey, S. L., Gibson-forty, E. V. J., Hartley, S.
- E., … Johnson, S. N. (2016). DRI-Grass : A New Experimental Platform for Addressing
- Grassland Ecosystem Responses to Future Precipitation Scenarios in South-East
- Australia. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *7*, 1–14. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01373
- R Core Team. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from https://www.r-project.org
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., Lee, T. D., & Pastore, M. A. (2018). Unexpected reversal of C3
- versus C4 grass response to elevated CO2 during a 20-year field experiment. *Science*, (360), 317–320.
- Roberts, D. W. (2016). labdsv: Ordination and Multivariate Analysis for Ecology. Retrieved from https://cran.r-project.org/package=labdsv
- Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile
- open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*. doi:10.7717/peerj.2584
- Sánchez-Blanco, M. J., Ferrández, T., Morales, M. A., Morte, A., & Alarcón, J. J. (2004).
- Variations in water status, gas exchange, and growth in Rosmarinus officinalis plants
- infected with Glomus deserticola under drought conditions. *Journal of Plant Physiology*,
- *161*, 675–682. doi:10.1078/0176-1617-01191
- Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., …
- Weber, C. F. (2009). Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent,
- community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.
- *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *75*(23), 7537–7541.

doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09

- Simpson, G. L. (2009). cocorresp: Co-correspondence analysis ordination methods. Retrieved from http://cran.r-project.org/package=cocorresp
- Smith, S. E., & Read, D. (2008). Mineral nutrition, toxic element accumulation and water
- relations of arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. In *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis* (Third Edit, pp.

145–187). doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-012370526-6.50012-X

- Smith, S. E., & Smith, F. A. (2011). Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and
- growth: new paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. *Annual Review of Plant*

Biology, *62*, 227–250. doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103846

- Staddon, P. L., Thompson, K., Jakobsen, I., Grime, J. P., Askew, A. P., & Fitter, A. H.
- (2003). Mycorrhizal fungal abundance is affected by long-term climatic manipulations
- in the field. *Global Change Biology*, *9*, 186–194. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00593.x

Ter Braak, C., & Schaffers, A. (2004). Co-Correspondence Analysis : a New Ordination

- Method To Relate Two Community Compositions. *Ecology*, *85*(3), 834–846.
- doi:10.1890/03-0021
- van der Heijden, M. G. A., Klironomos, J. N., Ursic, M., Moutoglis, P., Streitwolf-Engel, R.,

Boller, T., … Sanders, I. R. (1998). Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant

biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature*, *396*, 69–72.

- doi:10.1038/23932
- White, T., Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In *PCR Protocols: A guide to Methods and Applications* (pp. 315–322). New York: Academic Press, Inc.

- mycorrhizal fungi on reactive oxygen metabolism and antioxidant production by citrus
- (Citrus tangerine) roots. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *42*, 166–172.
- doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2005.12.006
- Zobel, M., & Öpik, M. (2014). Plant and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF) communities
- which drives which? *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *25*, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/jvs.12191