

# **Biocatalyst and continuous microfluidic reactor for an intensified production of n-butyl levulinate: kinetic model assessment**

Alexandre Cordier, Marcel Klinksiek, Christoph Held, Julien Legros,

Sébastien Leveneur

# **To cite this version:**

Alexandre Cordier, Marcel Klinksiek, Christoph Held, Julien Legros, Sébastien Leveneur. Biocatalyst and continuous microfluidic reactor for an intensified production of n-butyl levulinate: kinetic model assessment. Chemical Engineering Journal, In press,  $10.1016/j.cej.2022.138541$ . hal-03748632

# **HAL Id: hal-03748632 <https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-03748632v1>**

Submitted on 9 Aug 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



### **Abstract**

 The use of enzymes to catalyze chemical reactions has increased these recent years. Several models have been developed to express the kinetics over these biocatalysts. The most well-known of them, Michaelis-Menten, is used when only one substrate adsorbs on the enzyme. In the case of the esterification reaction, i.e., bimolecular system, a more complex kinetic model such as the Ping-Pong Bi-Bi should be applied. The use of such advanced models is essential for reactor scaleup and to optimize production. However, these models usually do not consider the reaction temperature. To fill this gap, a Ping-Pong Bi-Bi model was developed to produce butyl levulinate from the esterification of levulinic acid over an immobilized enzyme, Novozym®435. Microfluidic technology was used to ensure ideal mixing conditions. The Ping-Pong model, considering inhibition mechanisms, fits the experimental concentrations. ePC-SAFT equation of state was used to estimate the equilibrium constants.

### **Keywords**

Kinetic modeling, ePC-SAFT, Enzyme, Ping-Pong mechanism

### **1. Introduction**

 Lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) is seen as the best alternative to fossil raw materials to make the chemical and fuel industries sustainable [1–3]. Compared to first-generation biomass, LCB is not competing with the food sector, avoiding the fuel versus food dilemma. The chemistry of this LCB valorization focuses on the production of platform molecules [4–6] and lignin valorization [4–6].

 The platform molecule levulinic acid production has gained much interest these last years [7–9]. Levulinic acid or alkyl levulinates are starting materials for producing another platform molecule γ-valerolactone (GVL) [10–17]. Alkyl levulinates can be used directly as blending components for biodiesel or as a fuel oxygenate additives [18,19], and can also find applications as additives, solvents, and intermediates in fine chemistry [9]. In the study of Christensen et al., they showed that butyl levulinate (BL) improved conductivity, cold flow properties, and lubricity of diesel fuel and reduced its vapor pressure [20]. Moreover, it was found that BL remains in solution with diesel down to the fuel cloud point and has more compatibility with elastomers, compared to 43 ethyl levulinate, which tends to separate from diesel at a temperature below 0  $\degree$ C and results to be more corrosive [20]. Frigo et al., demonstrated that diesel fuel blended with a mixture of dibutyl ether and BL could reduce particulate emissions without changing engine power efficiency or increasing the NOx emission [21].

 Alkyl levulinates can be produced via the alcoholysis of sugar monomers or LA esterification [7,8,22]. The latter route can be done via homogenous [23], heterogeneous [24–30] or enzymatic catalysis [31–37]. The use of heterogeneous catalysts such as resins, zeolite or immobilized enzymes should be favored to avoid additional separation stages [23]. From a chemical engineering viewpoint, using a continuous reactor for biomass valorization should be favored for large production [38].

 Microreactor or microfluidic technology has raised interest in the scientific community because concentration and temperature gradients are reduced.

 Production of n-butyl levulinate from LA esterification over lipase catalysis has been scarcely studied [32,34]. Yadav and Borkar [34] developed a kinetic model of LA esterification by butanol over Novozym®435, without considering the reversibility of this reaction or the temperature effect. Bhavsar and Yadav [34] showed that a continuous packed bed reactor with immobilized Novozym can be used to produce BL.

 There is a need to intensify this reaction from an industrial [39] and fundamental standpoint. The use of a microreactor enables to operate in the absence of gradients allowing to use plug-flow model and thus simplifying the kinetic modeling stage [40]. The developed models proposed in the literature for the esterification of carboxylic acid over enzyme do not consider the temperature effect, and the knowledge of this effect on kinetic constants is mandatory for an industrial scaleup. In this manuscript, using microfluidic technology, kinetic models for the synthesis of BL over commercial Novozym®435 were developed and assessed at different temperatures.

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- **2. Experimental section**
- 

### 2.1 Chemicals

 All the chemicals were used as provided, without further purification. Butan-1-ol (wt% 78 ≥ 99.9%), levulinic acid (wt% ≥ 99%), (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane (2.0 M in hexane) and the commercial supported *Candida Antarctica* lipase B (CAL-B), Novozym 435 80 (5000 U.mg<sup>-1</sup>), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Dichloromethane stabilized by ethanol was purchased from CARLO ERBA Reagents. Deionized water from the Aquadem™ system (Veolia) was used.

2.2 Analytical method

84 The aliquots taken at each residence time  $(F)$  for the analysis of butyl levulinate 85 concentration were analyzed on a Thermo Scientific™ TRACE™ 1310 GC-FID 86 equipped with an apolar column (DB-5MS, 30 m  $\times$  0.250 mm ID  $\times$  0.250 µm film 87 thickness). The initial temperature of the analysis method was set at 50 °C for 2 min to 88 reach 250 °C with a temperature rate of 25 °C/min. The aliquots were diluted in dichloromethane, and an excess of trimethylsilyl diazomethane as carboxylic acid scavenger was added (to protect the GC column from corrosion); 1 µL of the resulting solution was injected into the GC.

92 High field <sup>1</sup>H NMR analyses were performed on a 300 MHz Bruker Spectrospin 93 spectrometer. Chemical shifts  $(\delta)$  are given with regard to TMS using residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> solvent as an internal reference.

 2.3 Procedure for the biocatalyzed esterification of levulinic acid with butanol in a flow reactor

 The Luer-lock syringe, filled with levulinic acid, butanol and water, was connected to a 100 preheating loop (PTFE tubing,  $ID = 1.59$  mm,  $L = 126$  cm) before the packed-bed reactor with an internal diameter of 6.6 mm. The packed-bed reactor was composed 102 of an Omnifit<sup>™</sup> column filled with Novozym®435 immerged in a thermostated bath (Fig. 1).





Fig.1. Process for the butyl levulinate synthesis with Omnifit cartridge.

 The kinetic measurements were performed. First, the flow system was filled with the solution at a flow rate of 5 mL/min. Then the flow-rate was increased step by step to 109 reach the desired  $t^R$ . The collection of aliquots began after running the system for 2 mL of reaction product collected, in order to reach the steady-state. The volume of the microreactor is related to the amount of the Novozym®435: 50 mg, 100 mg and 150 112 mg of supported CAL-B were packed 195 µL, 390 µL and 520 µL, respectively. The kinetic monitoring was performed as described in Table 1.



 Repeatability was assessed by collecting three samples after the steady-state, and it 118 was found that the standard deviation was lower than  $0.05$  mol. L<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, one run was repeated two times (Run 4) on different days to verify the repeatability of the whole system (Fig. S1.1). Fig. S1.1 shows that the repeatability is good.

Table 2 shows the experimental matrix used in this study.



### 2.4 Stability of Novozym 435

 It is fundamental to evaluate the Novozym 435 stability to know if there are enzymes or another product leaching from support denaturation. Two experiments were performed: a degradability test for Novozym 435 in butanol solvent in a batch reactor and deactivation in the microfluidic system.

 The degradability test was performed as follows: 100 mg of Novozym 435 were placed 132 into 390  $\mu$ L of a solution containing a [BuOH]<sub>inlet</sub>/[LA]<sub>inlet</sub> ratio = 5. The mixture was heated at 65°C. After 1 h, the sample was filtered through a 30 µm PTFE frit of the Omnifit column (the exact same one that we used for the kinetic study), then CDCl<sup>3</sup> 135 was added, and a <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis was performed. The obtained spectrum was compared to those of authentic samples of butyl levulinate, n-butanol and levulinic acid, as well as those from PMMA and methyl methacrylate from the literature [41].

138 The deactivation test was carried out at 65 °C with 100 mg of catalyst and inlet levulinic 139 acid concentration of 2.61 mol. $L^{-1}$ . The outlet concentration of BL was followed with time-on-stream at 65°C.

#### **3. Results**

### *3.1 External and internal mass transfer evaluation*

 Besides the effect of mass transfer resistance on the kinetics, the presence or absence of flow maldistribution should be determined [42–44]. According to Doraiswamy and Tajbl [42], if the ratio reactor diameter on particle diameter is higher than 4, then they conclude that there is a proper liquid distribution with no channeling. In this system, this ratio is higher than 10. Thus, we concluded the absence of flow maldistribution.

 To evaluate the influence of both effect, the same methodology presented by Leveneur et al. [45] was applied.

 External mass transfer for each experiment was evaluated throughout the coefficient *f<sup>e</sup>* (Equation (1)) defined by Villermaux [46]. If *f<sup>e</sup>* is lower than 5%, then the external mass transfer is negligible.

$$
f_e = \frac{\overline{r_{Obs}} \cdot L}{k_D \cdot C_b} \tag{1}
$$

154 where, L is the ratio particle volume (V<sub>P</sub>) on the external particle surface (A<sub>P</sub>),  $\overline{r_{Obs}}$  is 155 the initial observed rate of esterification,  $k<sub>p</sub>$  is the mass transfer coefficient and  $C<sub>b</sub>$  the concentration in the bulk phase. In Equation (1)*,* the concentration of LA in the bulk phase was used because butanol is in excess. The mean particle size of 158 Novozym $@435$  is equal to 0.65 mm, and thus L is equal to 1.08  $10^{-4}$  m [47].

159 The mass transfer coefficient  $k<sub>D</sub>$  can be estimated via the Sherwood number (Sh) expressed as

161 
$$
Sh = \frac{k_D \cdot \overline{d_P}}{D} = 2 + 1.8 \cdot Re_P^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot Sc^{\frac{1}{3}}
$$
 (2)

162 where,  $d_p$  is the mean diameter of the catalyst particle, and Sc stands for the 163 Schmidt number expressed as

$$
Sc = \frac{\mu_f}{\rho_f \cdot D} \tag{3}
$$

 *D* is the molecular diffusion coefficient of LA in butanol calculated by the Wilke-Chang equation [48]. For instance, the molecular diffusion of LA in butanol at 50°C was found 167 to be 9.02:10<sup>-10</sup> m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>. The terms  $\mu_f$  and  $\rho_f$  represent the viscosity and density of the fluid, i.e., butanol. These physicochemical properties were calculated from Ariba et al. work [49]. The *f<sup>e</sup>* values were found to be lower than 5% for each experiment showing the absence of external mass transfer.

171 The internal mass transfer effect was evaluated via the Thieles modulus number  $\phi_s$ 172 defined by Equation (4) [46].

$$
\phi_S^2 = \frac{\overline{r_{Obs}} \cdot L^2}{D_e \cdot C_S} \tag{4}
$$

174 If  $\varphi'_{S}$  is lower than 0.1, hence internal mass transfer can be assumed to be negligible. 175  $\,$  Cs is the LA concentration at the particle surface and in this study  $C_s = C_B$  because 176 there is no external mass transfer. The term *D<sup>e</sup>* represents the effective diffusion coefficient defined as  $D_e = \frac{\varepsilon_P \cdot \sigma}{\tau}$ 177 coefficient defined as  $D_e = \frac{\varepsilon_P D}{\tau} \cdot D$ , where  $\varepsilon_P$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  represent the porosity, 178 constriction factor and tortuosity of the particle, respectively. From Ravelo et al. [47], 179 Novozym<sup>®</sup> 435 porosity is equal to 0.5. The tortuosity and constriction factor values 180 were fixed to 6 and 1 [50]. Based on the  $\varphi'_{S}$  values of each experiment, the internal 181 mass transfer can be assumed to be negligible.

182

### 184 *3.2 Novozym 435 stability study*

 Novozym 435 degradability test (S2) is an essential study because its acrylic support/matrix tends to dissolve in many organic solvents [51–53]. By spectrum 187 comparison of the mixture with a <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of different isolated products (butyl levulinate, n-butanol and levulinic acid), the mixture just contains n-butanol, levulinic acid and butyl levulinate and absolutely no traces of PMMA (or associate compound) were detected. Thus, our measurements are perfectly reliable with no interference from external chemicals.

192 Fig. 2 shows the BL concentration at the outlet versus time-on-stream. From Fig. 2,

193 one can notice that enzyme deactivation can be neglected during the experiment.



194

195 Fig. 2. Evolution of experimental BL concentration (blue circle) with error bars (black) 196 versus time-on-stream at  $65^{\circ}$ C, [LA] $_{inlet}$ =2.61 mol.L<sup>-1</sup> and 100 mg of catalyst.

### 197 *3.3 Temperature effect*

198 Fig. 3 shows the effect of temperature on the ratio  $\frac{[BL]}{[L A]_{inlet}}$ , where [BL] is the 199 experimental outlet concentration of BL. To evaluate this effect, experimental data

200 obtained from Runs 1-4 were compared, because there were carried out in the same 201 operating conditions, except for the reaction temperature (Table 2). As expected, the 202 kinetics of esterification increases with temperature.

 These data can also be used to evaluate the effect of mass transfer. The natural logarithm of the initial rate constants versus 1/T was plotted (Fig. S3.1). From Fig. S3.1, the linearity between the natural logarithm of the initial rate constants and 1/T confirms the absence of mass transfer resistance.



208 Fig.3. Effect of temperature on the experimental concentration ratio [BL]/[LA]<sub>inlet</sub> 209 (Table 2): Run 1 at 20 °C (light blue circle), Run 2 at 35 °C (orange square), Run 3 at 210  $50 °C$  (grey square), Run 4 at 65  $°C$  (yellow diamond) and error bars (black).

211 *3.4 Molar ratio effect* 

207

The effect of  $\frac{[BuOH]_{inlet}}{[I,A]}$ 212 The effect of  $\frac{[BMOH]_{inlet}}{[LA]_{inlet}}$  can affect the thermodynamics and kinetics of esterification [31]. 213 Figs 4 and 5 show the effect of this ratio on the kinetics, via the normalized ratio  $\frac{[BL]}{[LA]_{inlet}}$ . 214 Different experiments carried out in similar operating conditions, except the ratio  $[BuOH]_{inlet}$  $\frac{d[BMOH]_{inlet}}{[LA]_{inlet}}$ , were compared (Table 2). One can notice that when  $\frac{[BMOH]_{inlet}}{[LA]_{inlet}}$ 215  $\frac{[BUOM]_{{inlet}}}{[LA]_{{inlet}}}$ , were compared (Table 2). One can notice that when  $\frac{[BUOM]_{{inlet}}}{[LA]_{{inlet}}}$  is equal to 3:1 or 5:1, then the reaction rates and equilibrium values are similar. For a  $\frac{[BuoH]_{inlet}}{[I,A]}$  $[LA]_{inlet}$ 216 217 equal to 1:1, there is a deviation when the reaction reaches the equilibrium.

218



Fig.4. Effect of  $\frac{[BuOH]_{inlet}}{[fuO]}$ 220 Fig.4. Effect of  $\frac{p_{\mu\nu}m_{\text{linlet}}}{[L\Delta]_{\text{inlet}}}$  ratio on experimental concentration ratio [BL]/[LA]<sub>inlet</sub> at 50°C

(Table 2): Run 3 at 
$$
\frac{[BuOH]_{inlet}}{[LA]_{inlet}} = 3:1
$$
 (orange circle), Run 15 at  $\frac{[BuOH]_{inlet}}{[LA]_{inlet}} = 5:1$  (blue circle), Run 9 at  $\frac{[BuOH]_{inlet}}{[LA]_{inlet}} = 1:1$  (orange square) and error bars (black).



### 229 3.5 Evaluation of equilibrium constant

- 230 The PC-SAFT equation of state first published by Gross and Sadowski [54]
- 231 expresses the residual Helmholtz energy  $a^{res}$  as shown in Equation 5.

$$
a^{res} = a^{hc} + a^{disp} + a^{assoc}
$$
 (5)

233 Thereby the hard-chain  $(a^{hc})$  reference system represents the repulsive interactions 234 between the molecules. The attractive interactions, such as the dispersion  $(a^{disp})$ , 235 association ( $a^{assoc}$ ), are described as perturbations of the reference system. More 236 details on the modeling procedure and parameters used can be found in 237 Supplementary Information (S4). Thermodynamic modeling of the reaction equilibrium 238 is based on the temperature and pressure-dependent equilibrium constant  $K_{th}$ . It is 239 calculated by the reacting agent concentrations in the equilibrium and activity 240 coefficients according to Equation 6.

# 241 Based on Equation 5, we can calculate the temperature and pressure-dependent 242 equilibrium constant  $K<sub>th</sub>$ .

243 
$$
K_{th}(T, p) = K_{eq}(T, p, x) \cdot K_{\gamma}(T, p, x) = \prod_{i} (x_i \cdot \gamma_i)^{\nu_i}
$$
 (6)

244 where,  $K_{eq}$  is determined from the experimental equilibrium concentrations and the activity coefficients are obtained by PC-SAFT [54–59]. The activity coefficient of each reactant *i* in the mixture is calculated from the ratio of the fugacity coefficients in the mixture and of the fugacity coefficient of the pure component.

248 
$$
\gamma_i = \frac{\varphi_i(T, p, x)}{\varphi_{0i}(T, p, x_i = 1)}
$$
 (7)

249 The equilibrium constant  $K<sub>th</sub>$  for each temperature enables the calculation of 250 equilibrium concentrations at different conditions, i.e., molar ratios. The equilibrium

- 251 constant K<sub>th</sub> was calculated based on the experiments with a molar ratio of BuOH:LA
- 3:1 (Table 3).
- 253 Table 3. Calculated equilibrium constant  $K_{th}$  based on the equilibrium concentrations
- 

of Runs 1-5 (Table 2).



### 258 **4. Discussion**

## 259 *4.1 Ping-Pong Models*

 Several authors showed that the Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism can be used for the esterification reactions [32,60–66]. Several of them showed that alcohol and carboxylic acid can inhibit the enzyme, but none developed a kinetic model considering the reversibility of this reaction and the temperature effect on the kinetic constants.

264 Fig. 6 shows the Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism for the esterification of LA by butanol.



265

266 Fig. 6. Ping-Pong Bi-Bi and inhibition mechanism for the esterification of LA.

267 From Varma and Madras study [65], the rate equation can be derived as

268 
$$
r_{Esterification} = \frac{k_f \cdot k_b \cdot [E]_0^2 \cdot \left( [LA] \cdot [BuOH] - \frac{[BL] \cdot [W]}{K_{eq}} \right)}{D}
$$
(8)

269 where,  $[LA]$ ,  $[BuOH]$ ,  $[BL]$  and  $[W]$  are the outlet concentrations of levulinic acid, 270 butanol, butyl levulinate and water, respectively. The term  $[E]_0$  stands for the initial 271 concentration of enzyme. The denominator D is expressed as

$$
D = k_b \cdot [E]_0 \cdot [LA] \cdot [BuOH] + k_b \cdot [E]_0 \cdot K_{BuOH} \cdot [LA] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[LA]}{K_{ILA}}\right)
$$
  
+ k\_b \cdot [E]\_0 \cdot K\_{LA} \cdot [BuOH] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[BuOH]}{K\_{IBuOH}}\right) + \frac{k\_f \cdot [E]\_0 \cdot K\_W}{K\_{eq}} \cdot [BL] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[BL]}{K\_{IBL}}\right)  
+ \frac{k\_f \cdot [E]\_0 \cdot K\_{BL}}{K\_{eq}} \cdot [W] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[W]}{K\_{IW}}\right)  
+ \frac{k\_f \cdot [E]\_0}{K\_{eq}} \cdot [W] \cdot [BL] + \frac{k\_f \cdot K\_{BL} \cdot [E]\_0}{K\_{IILA} \cdot K\_{eq}} \cdot [W] \cdot [LA] + \frac{k\_b \cdot K\_{LA} \cdot [E]\_0}{K\_{IIBL}} \cdot [LA] \cdot [BL]  
+ \frac{k\_b \cdot K\_{LA} \cdot [E]\_0}{K\_{BuOH-W}} \cdot [W] \cdot [BuOH] + \frac{k\_b \cdot K\_{BuOH} \cdot [E]\_0}{K\_{LA-BL}} \cdot [LA] \cdot [BL] \tag{9}

273 The terms  $k_f \cdot [E]_0$  and  $k_f \cdot [E]_0$  represent kinetic rate constants. The terms  $K_{LA}$ ,  $K_{BL}$ , 274  $K_{BuOH}$  and  $K_W$  are the Michaelis constants for LA, BL, BuOH, and W, respectively. The 275 inhibition constants by levulinic acid and BL are defined by  $K_{IILA}$  and  $K_{IIBL}$ . The 276 dissociation constants, representing the dissociation of the inhibitor from the 277 corresponding enzyme-inhibitor, are  $K_{ILA}$ ,  $K_{IBL}$ ,  $K_{IBuOH}$  and  $K_{IW}$ . The adsorption 278 constants  $K_{BuOH-W}$  and  $K_{LA-BL}$  are lumped constants.

279 Mitchell and Krieger proposed a new rate expression of this Ping-Pong Bi-Bi 280 mechanism [63],

281 
$$
r_{Esterification} = \frac{(k_{LA} \cdot [LA] \cdot k_{BuOH} \cdot [BuOH] - k_{BL} \cdot [BL] \cdot k_W \cdot [W]) \cdot [E]_0}{D'}
$$
(10)

282 where, the denominator D' is

$$
D' = k_{LA} \cdot [LA] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[W]}{K_{IIW}} + \frac{[BuOH]}{K_{BuOH}}\right) + k_{BuOH} \cdot [BuOH] + k_W \cdot [W]
$$
  

$$
+ k_{BL} \cdot [BL] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[W]}{K_W} + \frac{[BuOH]}{K_{IIBuOH}}\right)
$$
 (11)

284

286 The terms  $k_{LA}$ ,  $k_{BuOH}$ ,  $k_{BL}$  and  $k_W$  are the specific constants of the enzyme for levulinic 287 acid, butanol, butyl levulinate and water, respectively.  $K_{B \nu O H}$  and  $K_W$  are Michaelis-288 type constants for butanol and water, respectively.  $K_{IIBuOH}$  and  $K_{IIW}$  are the inhibition 289 constants for butanol and water, respectively.

290 By considering the binding of BuOH or water with the free enzyme, D' becomes D''

$$
D'' = k_{LA} \cdot [LA] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[W]}{K_{IIW}} + \frac{[BuOH]}{K_{BuOH}}\right) + (k_{BuOH} \cdot [BuOH] + k_W \cdot [W]) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[BuOH]}{K_{siBuOH}}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[W]}{K_{siW}}\right) + k_{BL} \cdot [BL] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{[W]}{K_W} + \frac{[BuOH]}{K_{IIBuOH}}\right) \tag{12}
$$

292 where,  $K_{sibuOH}$  and  $K_{siW}$  stand for the constants between BuOH and water with the 293 free enzyme.

294 According to Mitchell and Krieger [63], these equations are mathematically symmetric 295 and less-lumped parameters. Different models were evaluated based on reaction rates 296 developed by Mitchell and Krieger [63] and Varma and Madras [65].

### 297 *4.2 Kinetic modeling*

298 Experiments were performed in isothermal conditions, and internal and external mass 299 transfers were found to be negligible. Plug-flow model was used; thus, material 300 balances for each species can be written as

$$
\frac{d[BL]}{d\tau} = r_{Esterification} \tag{13}
$$

$$
\frac{d[BuOH]}{d\tau} = -r_{Esterification} \tag{14}
$$

$$
\frac{d[LA]}{d\tau} = -r_{Esterification} \tag{15}
$$

$$
\frac{d[W]}{d\tau} = r_{Esterification} \tag{16}
$$

305 where,  $\tau$  is the space time defined as  $\frac{v_L}{Q}$ , V<sub>L</sub> and Q are the volume of the liquid in the reactor and volumetric flow-rate, respectively.

 Ordinary differential equations ODEs (13)-(16) were solved by the solver DDALPUS algorithm, via a damped Newton method [67].

 For the non-linear regression, the concentration of BL was used as an observable. The estimation of the different kinetic constants (Equations (8)-(12)) was done via the 311 minimization of the objective function  $S(\theta)$  expressed as

312 
$$
S(\theta) = \sum_{u=1}^{n} w_u \cdot ([BL]_{exp,u} - [BL]_{sim,u})^2 = SSR
$$
 (17)

313 where,  $w_u$  is the weigh factor for the experimental value  $u$ .

 The objective function is expanded as a quadratic function of the parameters around the initial parameter values of the current iteration. The resulting quadratic minimization problem is solved with a modified Gauss-Jordan algorithm within a user-defined feasible region; then, a weak line search is conducted to establish an improved objective value and initial parameter vector for the next iteration. Interval estimates for the individual estimated parameters are then calculated from the final quadratic expansion of the objective function. This minimization is done by the package GREGPLUS to provide optimal parameter estimates with the 95% confidence intervals, expressed by the highest probability density (HPD). GREGPLUS provides the normalized parameter covariance matrix.

 The GREGPLUS package and DDAPLUS solver are implemented in the Athena Visual Studio® 14.2 [68] used in this study.

 Different models were evaluated based on the Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism developed by the Varma and Madras study [65] and the Mitchell and Krieger study [63]. The term  $[E]_0$  was expressed by the catalyst loading  $\rho_{Enzyme}$ , i.e., the mass of catalyst divided by the volume of liquid in the reactor.

330 The general equation for esterification can be derived as  
331 
$$
r_{Esterification} = k_{Esterification} \cdot \rho_{Enzyme} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \cdot \left( [LA] \cdot [BuOH] - \frac{[BL] \cdot [W]}{K_{eq}} \right)
$$
 (18)

332 Different models were assessed, as summarized in Table 4.

333 Model 1 is the simplest one, by letting the denominator D equal to 1.

334 Models 2-4 are derived from Varma and Madras. Equation (8) was divided by  $k_h$ . To 335 ease the parameter estimation and avoid division by very low number (close to zero) 336 or high number, the following modification were included

$$
K''_{ILA} = \frac{K_{BuOH}}{K_{ILA}}, K''_{IBuOH} = \frac{K_{LA}}{K_{IBuOH}}, K''_{W} = \frac{K_{W}}{K_{eq}}, K''_{BL} = \frac{K_{BL}}{K_{eq}}, K''_{IBL} = \frac{K''_{W}}{K_{IBL}}, K'_{W} = k_{f} \cdot K_{W} \cdot \frac{1}{k_{b}} \cdot \frac{1}{K_{eq}},
$$
  

$$
K''_{IW} = \frac{K''_{BL}}{K_{IW}},
$$
  

$$
K'_{Lump} = \frac{k_{f}}{k_{b} \cdot K_{eq}}, K''_{IIBL} = \frac{K_{LA}}{K_{IIBL}}, K''_{BuOH-W} = \frac{K_{LA}}{K_{BuOH-W}} and K''_{LA-BL} = \frac{K_{BuOH}}{K_{LA-BL}}
$$

338 Model 2 ignores the inhibition mechanism, hence  $K''_{ILA}$ ,  $K''_{IBL}$ ,  $K'''_{IV}$ ,  $K'''_{IBU0H}$ ,  $K'''_{IILA}$ ,  $K'''_{IIBL}$ , 339  $K''_{LA-BL}$ , and  $K''_{BuOH-W}$  were fixed to zero.

- 340 Model 3 considers the inhibition by butanol and ignores the other inhibition mechanism,
- 341 hence  $K''_{ILA}$ ,  $K''_{IBL}$ ,  $K''_{IV}$ ,  $K''_{IILA}$ ,  $K''_{IIBL}$ ,  $K''_{LA-BL}$ , and  $K''_{BuOH-W}$  were fixed to zero.
- 342 Model 4 considers all inhibition mechanisms.

337

343 Models 5-6 are derived from Mitchell and Krieger.

344 Model 5 is based on Equation (10) and Equation (11) divided by  $k_{BuOH}$ . The following 345 notations are used

$$
K_{eq} = \frac{k_{LA} \cdot k_{BuOH}}{k_{BL} \cdot k_W}, K_1 = \frac{k_{LA}}{k_{BuOH}}, K_2 = \frac{k_W}{k_{BuOH}}, K_3 = \frac{k_{BL}}{k_{BuOH}}, K''_{IBuOH} = \frac{1}{K_{IBuOH}}, K'''_W = \frac{1}{K_W}, K'''_{BuOH} = \frac{1}{K_{BuOH}}, K'''_{Iw} = \frac{1}{K_{BuOH}}, K'''_W = \frac{1}{K_{fuOH} - w} \text{ and } K''_{LA-BL} = \frac{1}{K_{LA-BL}}
$$

347 Model 6 is based on Equation (10) and divided Equation (12) by  $k_{BuOH}$ . The following 348 notations are included

349 
$$
K_{sibuOH}'' = \frac{1}{K_{sibuOH}} \text{ and } K_{siw}'' = \frac{1}{K_{siw}} \tag{19}
$$

350 Table 4. Kinetic models tested in this study.





352 To decrease the correlation between the pre-exponential factor and activation energy 353 and ease the parameter estimation stage, the following modified Arrhenius equation 354 was used [69].

355 
$$
k_c(T) = exp\left[ln\left(k_c(T_{ref})\right) + \frac{E_a}{R \cdot T_{ref}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T_{ref}}{T}\right)\right]
$$
(20)

356 where, Tref is a reference temperature which is the average temperature of the 357 experimental matrix (Table 1).

The following constants were estimated:  $ln\left(k_c(T_{ref})\right),\frac{E_a}{E_{eff}}$  $\;$  The following constants were estimated:  $ln\left(k_c(T_{ref})\right), \frac{E_a}{R^*T_{ref}},$  Michaelis-Menten and inhibition constants. Michaelis-Menten and inhibition constants were assumed to be temperature independent.

 The effect of the number of estimated parameters on the models was evaluated via the AIC number standing for Akaike Information Criterion [16,17,70].

$$
AIC = Number of independent event \cdot ln\left(\frac{SSR}{number of independent event}\right) + 2 \cdot Number of estimated parameters
$$
\n(21)

### *4.3 Modeling results*

- In the first step, preliminary modeling results showed that some parameters tend to zero. Thus, these parameters were discarded:
- 368 For Model 2,  $K_{W}''$ ,  $K_{Lump}$  and  $K_{BL}''$  were discarded in the modeling.
- 369 For Model 3,  $K_{LA}$ ,  $K_W''$  and  $K_{BL}''$  were not considered.
- 370 -For Model 4,  $K_{BuOH}$ ,  $K_{LA}$ ,  $K_{W}''$ ,  $K_{BL}''$ ,  $K_{Lump}$ ,  $K_{IILA}''$ ,  $K_{IBL}''$ ,  $K_{IW}''$  and  $K_{IBL}'''$  and  $K_{LA-BL}'''$  were neglected.
- 372 For Model 5,  $K_W''$ ,  $K_{IW}'$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_{IBuOH}'$  and  $K_3$  were neglected.
- 373 For Model 6,  $K''_{I B u O H}$ ,  $K''_{I W}$ ,  $K''_{B u O H}$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K'_{W}$  and  $K_3$  were neglected.

 By discarding these parameters, the reduced models are displayed in Table 5. Table 6 is a summary of the modeling output for the different models. SSR is the sum of squared residuals, the difference between the experimental and simulated concentrations. AIC values showed that Model 4 is the most probable one (Table 4). Due to space limitation of the journal, the modeling results of the other models are displayed in Supporting Information (S5).

381 Table 5. Reduced kinetic models for the esterification of levulinic acid over

382 immobilized enzyme.



# 383

384 Table 6. Modeling results for each Model.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
<b>SSR</b>	15.85	15.16	14.83	13.66	15.48	14.03
Number of estimated parameters	າ		5	5		5
AIC	$-2311.47$		-2335.50 -2347.36 -2398.81		$-2324.11$	$-2381.86$

385

386

 Table 7 shows the estimated values with their confidence intervals. One can notice that the confidence intervals for  $ln \left(k_c(T_{ref})\right)$ ,  $\frac{E_a}{E_c T}$ 389 that the confidence intervals for  $ln(k_c(T_{ref}))$ ,  $\frac{E_a}{R \cdot T_{ref}}$  and 390  $K_{ILA}^{\prime\prime}$  are small, meaning that the initial operating condition variation was well designed to estimate these parameters. Based on our experimental data, it was not possible to 392 calculate the credible interval for  $K''_{IBuOH}$ , the optimum value was 51.52 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>.

 Table 7 presents the Normalized parameter covariance matrix for Model 4. According to Toch et al. [71], two parameters are correlated if their binary correlation coefficient is higher than 0.95. From Table 8, one can notice that the estimated parameters are not correlated.

597 Fig. 7 shows that the residuals,  $[BL]_{exp,u} - [BL]_{sim,u}$ , are randomly distributed versus 398 the experimental concentration of BL ( $[BL]_{exp,u}$ ) and the simulated by Model 4 399 ( $[BL]_{sim}$ ). This means that there are no trends pertaining to the errors.

 Fig. 8 shows the parity plot, and one can notice that Model 4 can predict the experimental data correctly.

 Figs 9 show the fit of model 4 to some experimental concentrations of BL with the 95% prediction intervals and the mean estimated values. From Figs 9, one can notice that Model 4 fits well the experimental concentrations, and most of the experimental concentrations lie between the intervals. The fact that some experimental concentration points, in the majority at the beginning, are outside the prediction intervals can be because the LA dissociation is not considered in the modeling or the adsorption and inhibition terms were not correctly defined.

 However, the fit of Model 4 to experimental concentration for experiments carried out with a molar ratio LA/BuOH: 1:1 is lower near to the equilibrium than for the other ratio.

411 This observation is because the equilibrium constant predicted by ePC-SAFT is less 412 reliable for this ratio.







414 Fig. 7. Residual plots for Model 4.



× ۰.
---------

421 Table 7. Estimated values at  $T_{ref} = 51^{\circ}$ C and statistical data for Model 4.



423 Table 8. Normalized parameter covariance matrix for Model 4.

	$\left  \ln \left( k_f\big( T_{ref} \big) \right) \right $	$E a_f$ $R \cdot T_{ref}$	$K''_{ILA}$	$K''_{BuOH-W}$
$\ln\left(k_f\left(T_{ref}\right)\right)$				
$E a_f$ $R \cdot T_{ref}$	0.1			
$K''_{II,A}$	0.69	0.09		
$K''_{BuOH-W}$	0.93	0.12	0.53	

424

425

426

427

428







435 Fig. 9. Fit of Model 4 to the experimental concentrations with 95% prediction 436 intervals: experimental concentration of BL (blue circle), error bars (black), simulated 437 concentration of BL using the mean estimated value from Table 7 (purple line), 438 simulated concentration of BL using the estimated values at the extreme of the 439 confidence intervals from Table 7 (orange lines).

### 5. **Conclusions**

 The synthesis of butyl levulinate from the esterification of levulinic acid over Novozym®435, an immobilized enzyme, was investigated in microfluidic technology in isothermal conditions. The enzyme's catalytic activity was found to be stable for 400 minutes. The internal and external mass transfer resistance was found to be negligible. Thus, a plug-flow model was used to estimate the kinetic constants.

 Several kinetic experiments were performed by varying the reaction temperature from to 80 °C, inlet LA concentration from 1.74 to 5.09 mol. L<sup>-1</sup>, inlet butanol concentration  $\pm$  from 5.09 to 9.07 mol. L<sup>-1</sup>, mass of dried enzyme from 50 to 150 mg and residence time from 1 to 30 minutes.

The equilibrium constants were evaluated via the ePC-SAFT equation of state.

 We evaluated 6 kinetic models based on power law, the classical Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism and the modified one developed by Mitchell and Krieger. Based on the Akaike information criterion, we found that the classical Ping-Pong model, including the inhibition mechanism by butanol and levulinic acid, can fit the experimental concentrations properly. This model can reasonably predict the experimental concentration by considering the temperature effect on the rate constant.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

- The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### **Acknowledgments**

 The authors thank INSA Rouen Normandy, University of Rouen Normandy, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) N◦ HN0001343, Labex SynOrg (ANR-11-LABX-0029), Carnot Institute I2C, the graduate school for reasearch XL-Chem (ANR-18-EURE-0020 XL CHEM) and Region Normandie for their support. This research was funded, in whole or in part, by the ANR (French National Research Agency) and the DFG (German Research Foundation) through the project MUST (MicroflUidics for Structure-reactivity relationships aided by Thermodynamics & kinetics) [ANR-20-CE92-0002 & Project number 446436621].

### **References**

- [1] M.S. Singhvi, D. V. Gokhale, Lignocellulosic biomass: Hurdles and challenges
- in its valorization, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 103 (2019) 9305–9320.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-10212-7.
- [2] Y.N. Guragain, P. V. Vadlani, Renewable Biomass Utilization: A Way Forward
- to Establish Sustainable Chemical and Processing Industries, Clean Technol. 3 (2021) 243–259. https://doi.org/10.3390/cleantechnol3010014.
- [3] P. Zhu, O.Y. Abdelaziz, C.P. Hulteberg, A. Riisager, New synthetic approaches
- to biofuels from lignocellulosic biomass, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem. 21
- (2020) 16–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2019.08.005.
- [4] X. Lu, L. Lagerquist, K. Eränen, J. Hemming, P. Eklund, L. Estel, S. Leveneur,
- H. Grénman, Reductive Catalytic Depolymerization of Semi-industrial Wood-

Based Lignin, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. (2021) acs.iecr.1c03154.

- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c03154.
- [5] W. Schutyser, T. Renders, S. Van Den Bosch, S.F. Koelewijn, G.T. Beckham,
- B.F. Sels, Chemicals from lignin: an interplay of lignocellulose fractionation,

depolymerisation, and upgrading, Chem. Soc. Rev. 47 (2018) 852–908.

- https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00566K.
- [6] R. Rinaldi, R. Jastrzebski, M.T. Clough, J. Ralph, M. Kennema, P.C.A.
- Bruijnincx, B.M. Weckhuysen, Paving the Way for Lignin Valorisation: Recent
- Advances in Bioengineering, Biorefining and Catalysis, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.
- 55 (2016) 8164–8215. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE.201510351.
- [7] K.C. Badgujar, V.C. Badgujar, B.M. Bhanage, A review on catalytic synthesis of
- energy rich fuel additive levulinate compounds from biomass derived levulinic
- acid, Fuel Process. Technol. 197 (2020) 106213.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.106213.
- [8] D. Di Menno Di Bucchianico, Y. Wang, J.-C. Buvat, Y. Pan, V. Casson Moreno,
- S. Leveneur, Production of levulinic acid and alkyl levulinates: a process
- insight, Green Chem. 24 (2022) 614–646. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1gc02457d.
- [9] A. Démolis, N. Essayem, F. Rataboul, Synthesis and applications of alkyl
- levulinates, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2 (2014) 1338–1352.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/sc500082n.
- [10] L. Yan, Q. Yao, Y. Fu, Conversion of levulinic acid and alkyl levulinates into
- biofuels and high-value chemicals, Green Chem. 19 (2017) 5527–5547.
- https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc02503c.
- [11] Y. Wang, M. Cipolletta, L. Vernières-Hassimi, V. Casson-Moreno, S. Leveneur,
- Application of the concept of Linear Free Energy Relationships to the
- hydrogenation of levulinic acid and its corresponding esters, Chem. Eng. J. 374
- (2019) 822–831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.05.218.
- [12] S. Capecci, Y. Wang, V. Casson Moreno, C. Held, S. Leveneur, Solvent effect
- on the kinetics of the hydrogenation of n-butyl levulinate to γ-valerolactone,
- Chem. Eng. Sci. 231 (2021) 116315.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2020.116315.
- [13] D.M. Alonso, S.G. Wettstein, J.A. Dumesic, Gamma-valerolactone, a
- sustainable platform molecule derived from lignocellulosic biomass, Green
- Chem. 15 (2013) 584–595. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3GC37065H.
- [14] L. Negahdar, M.G. Al-Shaal, F.J. Holzhäuser, R. Palkovits, Kinetic analysis of the catalytic hydrogenation of alkyl levulinates to γ-valerolactone, Chem. Eng. Sci. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.11.007.
- [15] W.R.H. Wright, R. Palkovits, Development of heterogeneous catalysts for the conversion of levulinic acid to γ-valerolactone, ChemSusChem. 5 (2012) 1657– 1667. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201200111.
- [16] S. Capecci, Y. Wang, J. Delgado, V. Casson Moreno, M. Mignot, H. Grénman,
- D.Y. Murzin, S. Leveneur, Bayesian Statistics to Elucidate the Kinetics of γ-
- Valerolactone from n-Butyl Levulinate Hydrogenation over Ru/C, Ind. Eng.
- Chem. Res. 60 (2021) 11725–11736. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c02107.
- [17] J. Delgado, W.N. Vasquez Salcedo, G. Bronzetti, V. Casson Moreno, M.
- Mignot, J. Legros, C. Held, H. Grénman, S. Leveneur, Kinetic model
- assessment for the synthesis of γ-valerolactone from n-butyl levulinate and
- levulinic acid hydrogenation over the synergy effect of dual catalysts Ru/C and
- Amberlite IR-120, Chem. Eng. J. 430 (2022) 133053.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.133053.
- [18] L. Peng, X. Gao, K. Chen, Catalytic upgrading of renewable furfuryl alcohol to alkyl levulinates using AlCl3 as a facile, efficient, and reusable catalyst, Fuel.
- 160 (2015) 123–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2015.07.086.
- [19] A.F. Peixoto, R. Ramos, M.M. Moreira, O.S.G.P. Soares, L.S. Ribeiro, M.F.R.
- Pereira, C. Delerue-Matos, C. Freire, Production of ethyl levulinate fuel
- bioadditive from 5-hydroxymethylfurfural over sulfonic acid functionalized
- biochar catalysts, Fuel. 303 (2021) 121227.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2021.121227.

- [20] E. Christensen, A. Williams, S. Paul, S. Burton, R.L. McCormick, Properties and Performance of Levulinate Esters as Diesel Blend Components, Energy and Fuels. 25 (2011) 5422–5428. https://doi.org/10.1021/EF201229J.
- [21] S. Frigo, G. Pasini, G. Caposciutti, M. Antonelli, A.M.R. Galletti, S. Gori, R.
- Costi, L. Arnone, Utilisation of advanced biofuel in CI internal combustion
- engine, Fuel. 297 (2021) 120742. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2021.120742.
- [22] D. Di Menno Di Bucchianico, J.C. Buvat, M. Mignot, V. Casson Moreno, S.
- Leveneur, Role of solvent the production of butyl levulinate from fructose, Fuel.
- 318 (2022) 123703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123703.
- [23] A. Harwardt, K. Kraemer, B. Rüngeler, W. Marquardt, Conceptual Design of a
- Butyl-levulinate Reactive Distillation Process by Incremental Refinement,
- Chinese J. Chem. Eng. 19 (2011) 371–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1004-

9541(09)60223-8.

- [24] V. Russo, R. Tesser, C. Rossano, T. Cogliano, R. Vitiello, S. Leveneur, M. Di Serio, Kinetic study of Amberlite IR120 catalyzed acid esterification of levulinic acid with ethanol: From batch to continuous operation, Chem. Eng. J. 401 (2020) 126126. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2020.126126.
- [25] M.A. Tejero, E. Ramírez, C. Fité, J. Tejero, F. Cunill, Esterification of levulinic acid with butanol over ion exchange resins, Appl. Catal. A Gen. 517 (2016) 56– 66. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APCATA.2016.02.032.
- [26] A.F. Peixoto, S.M. Silva, P. Costa, A.C. Santos, B. Valentim, J.M. Lázaro-
- Martínez, C. Freire, Acid functionalized coal fly ashes: New solid catalysts for
- levulinic acid esterification, Catal. Today. 357 (2020) 74–83.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2019.07.038.
- [27] S. Dharne, V. V. Bokade, Esterification of levulinic acid to n-butyl levulinate over heteropolyacid supported on acid-treated clay, J. Nat. Gas Chem. 20
- (2011) 18–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-9953(10)60147-8.
- [28] K.Y. Nandiwale, V. V. Bokade, Esterification of Renewable Levulinic Acid to n-
- Butyl Levulinate over Modified H-ZSM-5, Chem. Eng. Technol. 38 (2015) 246–
- 252. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201400326.
- [29] K.Y. Nandiwale, V. V. Bokade, Environmentally benign catalytic process for
- esterification of renewable levulinic acid to various alkyl levulinates biodiesel,
- Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy. 34 (2015) 795–801.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12042.
- [30] K.C. Maheria, J. Kozinski, A. Dalai, Esterification of Levulinic Acid to n-Butyl Levulinate Over Various Acidic Zeolites, Catal. Lett. 2013 14311. 143 (2013) 1220–1225. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10562-013-1041-3.
- [31] M. Song, X. Di, Y. Zhang, Y. Sun, Z. Wang, Z. Yuan, Y. Guo, The effect of
- enzyme loading, alcohol/acid ratio and temperature on the enzymatic
- esterification of levulinic acid with methanol for methyl levulinate production: A
- kinetic study, RSC Adv. 11 (2021) 15054–15059.
- https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra01780b.
- [32] G.D. Yadav, I. V. Borkar, Kinetic Modeling of Immobilized Lipase Catalysis in Synthesis of n-Butyl Levulinate†, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47 (2008) 3358–3363. https://doi.org/10.1021/IE800193F.
- [33] V.N. Emel'yanenko, E. Altuntepe, C. Held, A.A. Pimerzin, S.P. Verevkin,
- Renewable platform chemicals: Thermochemical study of levulinic acid esters,
- Thermochim. Acta. 659 (2018) 213–221.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2017.12.006.

 [34] K. V. Bhavsar, G.D. Yadav, n-Butyl levulinate synthesis using lipase catalysis: comparison of batch reactor versus continuous flow packed bed tubular microreactor, J. Flow Chem. 8 (2018) 97–105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41981- 018-0014-5.

- [35] L. Zhou, Y. He, L. Ma, Y. Jiang, Z. Huang, L. Yin, J. Gao, Conversion of levulinic acid into alkyl levulinates: Using lipase immobilized on meso-molding three-dimensional macroporous organosilica as catalyst, Bioresour. Technol. 247 (2018) 568–575. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2017.08.134.
- [36] S. Zhai, L. Zhang, X. Zhao, Q. Wang, Y. Yan, C. Li, X. Zhang, Enzymatic
- synthesis of a novel solid–liquid phase change energy storage material based on levulinic acid and 1,4-butanediol, Bioresour. Bioprocess. 9 (2022) 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-022-00502-w.
- [37] K.C. Badgujar, V.C. Badgujar, B.M. Bhanage, Lipase as a green and
- sustainable material for production of levulinate compounds: State of the art,
- Mater. Sci. Energy Technol. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mset.2022.02.005.
- [38] R. Gérardy, D.P. Debecker, J. Estager, P. Luis, J.C.M. Monbaliu, Continuous
- Flow Upgrading of Selected C2–C6 Platform Chemicals Derived from Biomass,
- Chem. Rev. 120 (2020) 7219–7347.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.CHEMREV.9B00846.
- [39] H. Zhang, Y. Bai, N. Zhu, J. Xu, Microfluidic reactor with immobilized enzyme-
- from construction to applications: A review, Chinese J. Chem. Eng. 30 (2021)
- 136–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CJCHE.2020.12.011.
- [40] C.J. Taylor, J.A. Manson, G. Clemens, B.A. Taylor, T.W. Chamberlain, R.A. Bourne, Modern advancements in continuous-flow aided kinetic analysis, React. Chem. Eng. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1039/d1re00467k.
- [41] H. Hajifatheali, E. Ahmadi, A. Wojtczak, Z. Jaglicic, The synthesis of N-
- methylbis[2-(dodecylthio)ethyl]amine (SNS) and investigation of its efficiency
- as new mononuclear catalyst complex in copper-based ATRP, Macromol. Res.
- 2015 2311. 23 (2015) 977–985. https://doi.org/10.1007/S13233-015-3132-Z.
- [42] L.K. Doraiswamy, D.G. Tajbl, Laboratory Catalytic Reactors, Catal. Rev. 10 (1974) 177–219. https://doi.org/10.1080/01614947408079629.
- [43] J.-M. Commenge, M. Saber, L. Falk, Methodology for multi-scale design of isothermal laminar flow networks, Chem. Eng. J. 173 (2011) 541–551. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2011.07.060.
- [44] A.A. Hassankiadeh, Dynamic Assessment and Optimization of Catalytic Hydroprocessing Process: Sensitivity Analysis and Practical Tips, University of Newfoundland, 2021.
- [45] S. Leveneur, J. Wärnå, K. Eränen, T. Salmi, Green process technology for

peroxycarboxylic acids: Estimation of kinetic and dispersion parameters aided

- by RTD measurements: Green synthesis of peroxycarboxylic acids, Chem.
- Eng. Sci. 66 (2011) 1038–1050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2010.12.005.
- [46] J. Villermaux, Génie de la réaction chimique, Technip, Tec & Doc Lavoisier, Paris, 1993.
- http://www.lavoisier.fr/livre/notice.asp?depuis=e.lavoisier.fr&id=978285206759 2.

- [47] M. Ravelo, M. Wojtusik, M. Ladero, F. García-Ochoa, Synthesis of ibuprofen monoglyceride in solventless medium with novozym®435: Kinetic analysis, Catalysts. 10 (2020) 76. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10010076.
- [48] C.R. Wilke, P. Chang, Correlation of diffusion coefficients in dilute solutions,
- AIChE J. 1 (1955) 264–270. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.690010222.
- [49] H. Ariba, Y. Wang, C. Devouge-Boyer, R.P. Stateva, S. Leveneur,
- Physicochemical Properties for the Reaction Systems: Levulinic Acid, Its
- Esters, and γ-Valerolactone, J. Chem. Eng. Data. 65 (2020) 3008–3020.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.9b00965.
- [50] D.M. Chesterfield, P.L. Rogers, E.O. Al-Zaini, A.A. Adesina, Production of
- biodiesel via ethanolysis of waste cooking oil using immobilised lipase, Chem.
- Eng. J. 207–208 (2012) 701–710. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2012.07.039.
- [51] C. Ortiz, M.L. Ferreira, O. Barbosa, J.C.S. Dos Santos, R.C. Rodrigues, Á.
- Berenguer-Murcia, L.E. Briand, R. Fernandez-Lafuente, Novozym 435: The
- "perfect" lipase immobilized biocatalyst?, Catal. Sci. Technol. 9 (2019) 2380–
- 2420. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9cy00415g.
- [52] D. Kowalczykiewicz, K. Szymańska, D. Gillner, A.B. Jarzębski, Rotating bed
- reactor packed with heterofunctional structured silica-supported lipase.
- Developing an effective system for the organic solvent and aqueous phase
- reactions, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 312 (2021) 110789.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2020.110789.

[53] H. Zhao, Z. Song, Migration of reactive trace compounds from Novozym® 435

- into organic solvents and ionic liquids, Biochem. Eng. J. 49 (2010) 113–118.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2009.12.004.
- [54] J. Gross, G. Sadowski, Perturbed-Chain SAFT:  An Equation of State Based on a Perturbation Theory for Chain Molecules, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 40 (2001) 1244–1260. https://doi.org/10.1021/IE0003887.
- [55] M. Lemberg, G. Sadowski, Predicting the Solvent Effect on Esterification
- Kinetics, ChemPhysChem. 18 (2017) 1977–1980.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201700507.
- [56] E. Altuntepe, V.N. Emel'yanenko, M. Forster-Rotgers, G. Sadowski, S.P.
- Verevkin, C. Held, Thermodynamics of enzyme-catalyzed esterifications: II.
- Levulinic acid esterification with short-chain alcohols, Appl. Microbiol.
- Biotechnol. 101 (2017) 7509–7521. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8481-
- 4.
- [57] C. Held, G. Sadowski, Thermodynamics of Bioreactions, Annu. Rev. Chem.
- Biomol. Eng. 7 (2016) 395–414. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-080615-034704.
- [58] F. Tumakaka, G. Sadowski, Application of the Perturbed-Chain SAFT equation of state to polar systems, in: Fluid Phase Equilib., American Chemical Society, 2004: pp. 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2002.12.002.
- [59] D. Fuchs, J. Fischer, F. Tumakaka, G. Sadowski, Solubility of Amino Acids:
- Influence of the pH value and the Addition of Alcoholic Cosolvents on Aqueous
- Solubility, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 45 (2006) 6578–6584.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/IE0602097.
- [60] G.D. Yadav, S. V. Pawar, Synergism between microwave irradiation and
- enzyme catalysis in transesterification of ethyl-3-phenylpropanoate with n-
- butanol, Bioresour. Technol. 109 (2012) 1–6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.030.

- [61] S.R. Bansode, M.A. Hardikar, V.K. Rathod, Evaluation of reaction parameters
- and kinetic modelling for Novozym 435 catalysed synthesis of isoamyl butyrate,
- J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 92 (2017) 1306–1314.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5125.
- [62] S.A. Zulkeflee, S.A. Sata, F.S. Rohman, N. Aziz, Modelling of immobilized
- Candida rugosa lipase catalysed esterification process in batch reactor
- equipped with temperature and water activity control system, Biochem. Eng. J.

161 (2020) 107669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2020.107669.

- [63] D.A. Mitchell, N. Krieger, Looking through a new lens: Expressing the Ping
- Pong bi bi equation in terms of specificity constants, Biochem. Eng. J. 178 (2022) 108276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2021.108276.
- [64] C.G. Lopresto, V. Calabrò, J.M. Woodley, P. Tufvesson, Kinetic study on the
- enzymatic esterification of octanoic acid and hexanol by immobilized Candida
- antarctica lipase B, J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym. 110 (2014) 64–71.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2014.09.011.
- [65] M.N. Varma, G. Madras, Kinetics of synthesis of butyl butyrate by esterification
- and transesterification in supercritical carbon dioxide, J. Chem. Technol.
- Biotechnol. 83 (2008) 1135–1144. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1897.
- [66] M. Rizzi, P. Stylos, A. Riek, M. Reuss, A kinetic study of immobilized lipase
- catalysing the synthesis of isoamyl acetate by transesterification in n-hexane,
- Enzyme Microb. Technol. 14 (1992) 709–714. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-
- 0229(92)90110-A.

- [67] M. Caracotsios, W.E. Stewart, Sensitivity analysis of initial value problems with mixed odes and algebraic equations, Comput. Chem. Eng. 9 (1985) 359–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/0098-1354(85)85014-6.
- [68] W.E. Stewart, M. Caracotsios, Computer-Aided Modeling of Reactive Systems,
- First, New Jersey, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470282038.
- [69] G. Buzzi-Ferraris, Planning of experiments and kinetic analysis, Catal. Today. 52 (1999) 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(99)00070-X.
- [70] M.A. McDonald, L. Bromig, M.A. Grover, R.W. Rousseau, A.S. Bommarius,
- Kinetic model discrimination of penicillin G acylase thermal deactivation by
- non-isothermal continuous activity assay, Chem. Eng. Sci. 187 (2018) 79–86.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2018.04.046.
- [71] K. Toch, J.W. Thybaut, G.B. Marin, A systematic methodology for kinetic
- modeling of chemical reactions applied to n-hexane hydroisomerization, AIChE
- J. 61 (2015) 880–892. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.14680.