

# Evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) flame retardants from various materials in professional seating furnishing wastes from French flows

F. Portet-Koltalo, Nicolas Guibert, C. Morin, Florence de Mengin-Fondragon,

Adèle Frouard

## ▶ To cite this version:

F. Portet-Koltalo, Nicolas Guibert, C. Morin, Florence de Mengin-Fondragon, Adèle Frouard. Evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) flame retardants from various materials in professional seating furnishing wastes from French flows. Waste Management, 2021, 131, pp.108-116. 10.1016/j.wasman.2021.05.038 . hal-03408334

## HAL Id: hal-03408334 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-03408334v1

Submitted on 13 Jun 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

- 1 Evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) flame retardants from various
- 2 materials in professional seating furnishing wastes from French flows.
- 3
- 4 Florence Portet-Koltalo<sup>1\*</sup>, Nicolas Guibert<sup>1</sup>, C. Morin<sup>1</sup>, Florence de Mengin-Fondragon<sup>2</sup>,
- 5 Adèle Frouard<sup>2</sup>
- 6
- 7 1 Normandie University, UNIROUEN, COBRA Laboratory UMR CNRS 6014, 55 rue Saint
- 8 Germain, 27000 Evreux, France.
- 9 florence.koltalo@univ-rouen.fr; nicolas.guibert@wanadoo.fr; christophe.morin@univ-
- 10 <u>rouen.fr</u>
- 11
- 12 2 VALDELIA, 93 rue du Lac, 31670 Labège, France
- 13 florence.demengin@valdelia.org; adele.frouard@valdelia.org
- 14
- 15 \* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:
- 16 e-mail: florence.koltalo@univ-rouen.fr; Tel.: +33-232-291-535

17

#### 19 Abstract

20

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are brominated flame retardants that are used in 21 polymeric materials. Due to their adverse health effects, the use of recycled wastes has been 22 forbidden if the total PBDE content exceeds 0.1% (w/w). The objective was to estimate the 23 24 proportion of PBDEs in professional seating furnishing wastes to identify the materials in 25 which the content of PBDEs (and particularly BDE-209) could exceed the limit to eliminate them from recycling. An analytical process (microwave extraction followed by purification 26 and chromatographic analysis) was adapted to assess with a unique methodology the amounts 27 28 of eight PBDEs in materials that result from various seating wastes, such as hard plastics, foams and accompanying textiles. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) was used to rapidly predict 29 critical PBDE concentrations via Br. From 100 samples, the total PBDE content did not 30 31 exceed the current tolerated threshold. The examined materials contained only trace levels of former PBDE formulations, and BDE-209 was identified at higher amounts, mainly in hard 32 plastics, but these amounts were less than 312 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Since XRF was not reliable for 33 quantitative measurements and was not specific, no direct correlation could be identified 34 between Br and PBDE levels. Br was strongly associated with As in all the materials, but the 35 36 presence of PBDEs was not clearly associated with the presence of other metals that are used in flame retardants. 37

38

### 39 Key words

40 Polybrominated diphenyl ethers; waste furnishings; hard plastics; foams; soft furnishings41

#### 42 **1. Introduction**

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are a class of synthetic brominated compounds that are used as flame retardants (FRs), primarily in plastic polymers and textiles, to meet flammability standards of consumer electronics, textiles and furnishings. Each is composed of a diphenyl ether skeleton that is substituted by 1 to 10 bromines. They can accumulate in soils/sediments or bioaccumulate and have adverse health effects (Shaw et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2019).

Penta-, Octa- and Deca-BDE are the three major commercial PBDE formulations. Although 49 much more widely employed in North America, Penta-BDE has also been used in Europe, 50 51 mainly in polyurethane foams (PUF) for furniture and automobile equipment (Drage et al., 2018). Octa-BDE has been used mainly in plastics for electrical and electronic equipment 52 (EEE), PC, TV monitors and printers (Charbonnet et al., 2020). Deca-BDE has been used for 53 54 dense plastics (for housings of TVs and computers), furniture upholstery, textiles and drapes (for high-risk occupational areas) (Alcock et al., 2003; Drage et al., 2018). During 55 manufacturing, PBDEs are added to products for fire control. As they are not chemically 56 grafted, they can be easily released into the environment through dust (Cowell et al., 2017; 57 Nkabinde et al., 2018), leaching or decomposition of plastic/foam wastes due to high 58 59 temperatures or UV exposure. The levels of PBDEs in the environment have increased as a result of their widespread use and their persistence (Shaw and Kannan, 2009). Penta- and 60 Octa-BDEs were listed under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants in 61 2009, and Deca-BDE was added to this list in 2017. Penta- and Octa-BDEs are supposed to be 62 completely eliminated by 2030, with specified exceptions being permitted before that date for 63 articles that contain recycled materials. In France, the 2004-1227 decree stipulated that from 64 2005, Penta- and Octa-BDE could not be used in manufactured products at concentrations 65 above 0.1% (w/w), which is not sufficient for the realization of flame retardant efficiency and 66

requires the replacement by other FRs. Deca-BDE remains under production for limited uses but these exemptions will expire in 2036. The European Directive 2003/11/CE banned the use of recycled wastes if the sum of tetra- to hepta-BDEs exceeds 0.1% w/w (1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). If so, wastes must be specially treated to remove those PBDEs prior to recycling. As Deca-BDE was recently introduced under the Stockholm Convention, it is likely that it will also be more severely restricted, especially BDE-209 (with 10 bromines), which is the main congener.

73 Waste recycling sites have attracted attention worldwide as potential sources of PBDEs, especially in the US, China, and the UK but also in developing countries (Petreas and Oros, 74 2009; Stubbings et al., 2016; Ahn et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Babayemi et al., 2018). The 75 76 flow of PBDEs in wastes has been examined mainly in EEE wastes (e-wastes) and sometimes in end-of-life vehicles, in plastic packaging and household products, in construction or 77 demolition wastes (Petreas and Oros, 2009; Duan et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2010; Choi et al., 78 79 2017, Pivnenko et al., 2017; Xiu et al., 2019). But to the best of our knowledge, no study has focused on end-of-life professional seating furniture, which represents the largest tonnage of 80 office wastes in France. France, like other countries, requires the use of mildly flammable 81 materials (M3 category) in seating furniture for establishments that service the public, which 82 leads to the addition of FRs into the seating components to satisfy this requirement. This is 83 especially the case for materials that were produced before 2005. Therefore, it is now 84 imperative, especially for sectors that organize the collection and recycling of end-of-life 85 seating furniture, to identify the materials in which PBDEs could exceed the current 86 concentration limit of 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> to eliminate those materials from recycling. Notably, 87 BDE-209 must be controlled, as Deca-BDE remains in use and can possibly enter flows of 88 recycled materials. 89

90 As discussed, various complex matrices can contain PBDEs. The analytical procedures for
91 PBDE detection are complex and involve sample pretreatment, extraction, clean-up and

92 chromatographic separation, coupled to mass spectrometry detection if the multiple congeners 93 must be identified and quantified (Ionas et al., 2015). A variety of extraction techniques can be employed but they are not sufficiently selective and the extracts contain many interfering 94 95 compounds (Vilaplana et al., 2008; Binici et al., 2013). Subsequently, a wide variety of adsorbents can be utilized to purify the extracts before injection into chromatographic systems 96 (Li et al., 2009). Therefore, analytical methodologies must be adapted according to the 97 98 materials to be analysed, as no standardized methodology is available for PBDEs (Mingwu et 99 al., 2016).

Far less specific, X-ray fluorescence (XRF) can detect elements such as elemental bromine,
and this technique has been relatively successful in screening brominated FRs (Gallen et al.,
2014; Sharkey et al., 2018). This technique can also have the advantage of linking
contamination from brominated FRs to that from other heavy metals (Xu et al., 2015).

104 In this study, a complete analytical process for analysing a mixture of 7 PBDEs and BDE-209 was adapted to assess with a unique methodology their concentrations in various materials, 105 106 such as hard plastics, furniture foams and soft furnishings from furnishing element wastes. Therefore, the objective was to estimate for the first time the proportion of PBDEs, with a 107 particular focus on BDE-209, within various professional seating furnishing waste streams. 108 Furthermore, XRF was evaluated and compared to the tedious and expensive 109 chromatographic analytical tool to evaluate its ability to rapidly predict critical PBDE 110 concentrations in seating element wastes. In addition, an attempt was made to correlate 111 measured PBDE levels to levels of various associated metals, which could increase the 112 potential toxic effects of those materials. 113

114

115 **2.** Materials and methods

116 2.1. Sample collection

Two sampling campaigns (November 2018 and March 2019) were conducted to collect 117 representative current nondomestic seating furniture wastes from dedicated recycling sites in 118 France. These furniture wastes, which originated from furniture that was used in public 119 places, represent approximately 80% of office wastes that are addressed to agencies such as 120 VALDELIA (Labège, France), which specializes in recycling furnishings from establishments 121 that service the public. Of these wastes, 70% is office chairs (7922 tons in 2018 in France) 122 123 and 22% is armchairs (2544 tons in 2018). In France, the professional furnishing waste recovery target was recently increased to 80%, of which 45% must be recycled (2018-2021); 124 therefore, it appeared critical for an eco-agency such as VALDELIA to understand which 125 126 types of materials could exceed the PBDE concentration limit, especially in seating wastes, which represent the majority of office wastes. Hundreds of professional seating waste items 127 were accumulated in two French centres of treatment and valorisation of wastes in November 128 129 2018 and March 2019. For these two collection campaigns, a loading bucket (5 m<sup>3</sup>) was used to extract the seating wastes from the centres of the piles. To obtain a random and 130 representative collection, this process was repeated 5 times for each sampling campaign. A 131 total of 100 office chair or armchair waste items were extracted from the secondary piles. 132 Various materials were separated from these samples: 21 accompanying textiles (or leather or 133 134 imitation leather), 36 foams (seat upholsteries) and 43 hard plastics (from various parts of the seats, such as wheels, armrests, bearing legs, and back supports). At least 150 g of each 135 material was kept in sealed bags and stored in the dark. 136

The CEDEN consultancy (Bois-Guillaume, France), which specializes in wastes and energy studies, collected other types of seating waste samples and kindly made their general results available (Table S1 in supplementary materials). In our study, the collection campaigns were conducted to obtain representative seating waste flows, mainly with office chairs and armchairs representing the highest tonnage. In contrast, CEDEN collected more specific

wastes such as cinema armchairs and mattresses (Table S1), and their results are presented
here just to show that other minority seats, produced in the 1990s, might pose problems for
recycling.

145

146 2.2. Chemicals and standards

Hexane, toluene, methanol, methylene chloride, propan-2-ol, acetone, of HPLC grade, were 147 provided by Fisher Scientific (Illkirch, France). Sodium sulfate, silica gel (0.035-0.070 mm 148 diameter), sulphuric acid (>95%) came from Merck (St Quentin-Fallavier, France). A mixture 149 of 8 PBDEs of primary interest (BDE-28, BDE-47, BDE-99, BDE-100, BDE-153, BDE-154, 150 BDE-183, BDE-209) was furnished by Interchim (Montlucon, France). <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-99 and <sup>13</sup>C-151 BDE-209, used as labelled internal standards (IS) for quantification and <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-183, used 152 as surrogate standard (SS), were furnished by BCP Instruments (Oullins, France). The 153 certified reference material ERM-EC591 (PBDEs in polypropylene) came from Merck. 154

155

156 2.3. Sample preparation, extraction and clean-up

157 All materials (plastics, foams, and soft furnishings) were cut into small pieces of 158 approximately 1-2 cm<sup>2</sup> and ground and sieved (<0.5 mm diameter) using a Polymix system 159 (Kinematica, Germany). Then, the powders (mixed with fine fibres for textiles) were dried 160 (12 h, 35°C) and stored in the dark.

Before solvent extraction, the samples were spiked with 10  $\mu$ L <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-183 (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) for evaluating sample losses. Some samples (2 g) were extracted with an automated hot-Soxhlet (Büchi B-811, Switzerland) using 150 mL solvent (toluene or methylene chloride) for 6h30. Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) (ETHOS X, Milestone, USA) was used to extract a 1 g sample using 30 mL hexane/propan-2-ol 50/50 (v/v) under stirring, with a 10 min rise and 60 min at 130°C (power = 800 W). 167 The extracts were pressed so that extraction solvents (and hexane as a rinsing solvent) could 168 pass through a cellulose filter. Then, the solution was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. The 169 supernatant was filtered through a 0.45- $\mu$ m PTFE filter. Octanol (50  $\mu$ L) was added as a 170 solvent keeper, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness (30 mBar) with a Mivac system 171 (Genevac, UK) and reconstituted in 425  $\mu$ L hexane and 25  $\mu$ L acetone.

For purification, silica gel was prepared: It was rinsed with methylene chloride, activated for 172 173 1h at 180°C and after cooling, sulphuric acid was added (30% w/w). A glass column (200×15 mm) was filled with 1 g dry sodium sulphate, 2 g acidified silica gel and 1 g sodium sulphate 174 and rinsed with 10 mL hexane. Then, 500 µL of the sample extract was spiked at the top of 175 176 the glass column. When the extracts were too viscous, evaporation tubes were rinsed twice again with a mixture of 450 µL hexane and 25 µL acetone, which was spiked at the top of the 177 column. Thereafter, the deposited sample was eluted with 20 mL hexane. The eluate was 178 filtered through a 0.2-µm PTFE filter, and after the addition of 50 µL octanol, it was 179 evaporated to dryness and reconstituted with 420  $\mu$ L toluene, 10  $\mu$ L <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-99 (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) 180 and 20 µL <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-209 (25 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). 181

182

183 2.4. Instrumental analysis

A gas chromatograph (7890B series, Agilent, USA) that was coupled with a mass 184 spectrometer (7000C) was used for the analyses. Samples of 1 µL were injected in splitless 185 mode (285°C) in an ultra-inert liner and with He as a carrier gas (1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>). Separation 186 was performed using a 15 m×0.25 mm DB-5MS capillary column (0.1-µm film thickness) 187 from Agilent. The oven temperature was programmed at 110°C for 1 min, increased to 250°C 188 (40°C min<sup>-1</sup>), to 290°C (15°C min<sup>-1</sup>) and to 310°C (20°C min<sup>-1</sup>) for 7 min. The transfer line 189 was set to 310°C. The MS detector was operated at 70 eV in electronic impact (EI) mode, 190 with an ion source at 300°C. Quantification was based on selected ion monitoring to realize 191

higher sensitivity (Table S2). It was performed by internal calibration using seven-point 192 calibration curves and <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-99 as an IS for all the target PBDEs, except BDE-209, for 193 which <sup>13</sup>C-BDE-209 was used. The detection was linear over the 0.05-3 mg L<sup>-1</sup> concentration 194 range ( $r^2$ >0.990) for all PBDEs, with the exception of BDE-209 (0.5-30 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The GC-MS 195 instrumental limits of detection (LODs) and quantification (LOQs) were estimated based on 196 signal/noise ratios (S/N) of 3/1 and 10/1, respectively. From BDE-28 to BDE-183, LODs and 197 LOQs were respectively in the range 0.003-0.012 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.011-0.039 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. For BDE-198 209, instrumental LOD and LOQ were respectively 0.080 and 0.262 mg  $L^{-1}$  (Table S2). 199 A fluorescence-X spectrometer (ARL Quant'X, ThermoFisher Scientific, France) was used to 200 analyse small pieces of materials (approximately 3 cm<sup>2</sup>) at the Department of Chemistry, 201

University of le Mans, France. Plastics were prepared with a slight abrasion of the solid
surface. Then, dust was removed from the surface of each sample with a Kimwipe.
Measurements were conducted under vacuum for 60 s. The LOD was 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for Br.

205

#### 206 2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using R2020 software (Microsoft Inc. USA). ANOVA tests were performed to compare two means through the variances of two independent populations using Fisher's distribution and one p-value to support or reject the null hypothesis. In the case in which the data followed a non-normal distribution, nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed, and population comparisons were conducted with the Dunn test. Microsoft XLSTAT 2013 software was used for principal component analysis (PCA).

214

#### 215 **3. Results and discussion**

3.1. Adaptation of a single analytical procedure for hard plastics, furniture foams and softfurnishings.

As no standardized methodology is available for PBDE analysis, different analytical protocols have been described in the literature that differ according to the materials to be analysed. In this study, a single complete analytical process was adapted for the quantitative analysis of PBDEs in both hard plastics, furniture foams and soft furnishings from seating wastes, in consideration of the substantial disparity of their unknown components.

The GC-MS procedure was performed in accordance with established (but not standardized) procedures. But here, increasing the temperature of the MS source from 230 to 300°C resulted in doubling of the peak area for BDE-209. The LOD for BDE-209 (Table S2) was consistent with the LOD that was reported by Li et al. (2009), who also used GC-MS in EI mode.

The extraction step had to be adapted due to the variety of the analysed materials. Two 227 228 extracting apparatuses were tested. Hot-Soxhlet, which is an improved system compared to the traditional Soxhlet, enables heating to be applied to the extraction cavity. Thus, this 229 technique can markedly reduce the Soxhlet extraction duration (less than 8h instead of 18h) 230 (Oukebdane et al. 2010; Duan et al., 2016). In this study, only toluene and methylene chloride 231 were tested, as Li et al. (2009) showed that hexane or mixtures of hexane and acetone were 232 233 not suitable for the extraction of BDE-209 from various plastic materials. It appeared that toluene was not suitable because in some plastic materials and accompanying textiles, it 234 dissolved parts of the materials after only 6h30 hot extraction and generated too many 235 colloidal particles, thereby dramatically decreasing the SS recovery. The use of methylene 236 chloride generated less colloidal particles but did not enabled us to obtain satisfactory SS 237 238 recoveries. Then, MAE was tested with solvent mixtures such as hexane/propan-2-ol 50/50 (v/v) and toluene/methanol 75/25 (v/v) (Ranz et al., 2008; Vilaplana et al., 2009). A polar 239 solvent was necessary for the *in situ* instantaneous transformation of microwaves into heat. 240

For comparison, the same hard plastic from a desk chair was extracted with 20 mL of these two solvent mixtures for 30 min at 130°C under stirring. The recovery of SS was  $59.4\pm4.5\%$ (n=3) using toluene/methanol and  $65.4\pm3.9\%$  (n=3) using hexane/propan-2-ol. Increasing the volume of hexane/propan-2-ol to 30 mL enabled us to obtain an SS recovery of  $76.1\pm2.7\%$ (n=3).

After extraction, filtration through cellulose filters, centrifugation, filtration through PTFE 246 filters and evaporation (Section 2.3), the samples still contained more or less viscous colloidal 247 particles, which could not be injected for GC-MS. The presence of these problematic 248 249 interfering compounds was independent of the type of material. Thus, the extracted solutions still required clean-up. Columns of acidic silica or activated silica between two layers of 250 sodium sulphate (to remove water) were tested. First, 1 g of each silica gel was tested. 251 However, as discussed by Li et al. (2009), activated silica columns led to "dirty" GC-MS 252 analysis, especially at the beginning of the analysis. Via the use of 1g of acidified silica gel 253 254 columns and 10, 15, 20 or 30 mL hexane as the eluent, 72.4, 73.6, 85.5 and 85.6%, respectively, of the SS was efficiently recovered when standard PBDEs and SS were spiked at 255 the top of the column. When real samples were tested, 1 g acidified silica was not sufficient 256 257 for purifying the extracts. Hence, 2 g acidic silica was tested. In this case, the SS recovery that was obtained with 30 mL hexane elution ( $80.1\pm5.8\%$ , n=3) did not differ significantly from 258 that obtained with 20 mL elution (76.1±2.7%, n=3) (ANOVA test, p-value>0.05); thus, 20 259 260 mL elution with hexane was utilized.

It is important to note that after the extraction and evaporation steps and before column cleanup (Section 2.3), the extracts were reconstituted in hexane that contained 25  $\mu$ L acetone, and the tube walls could also be rinsed twice with hexane/acetone mixtures, which is unusual in processes that are developed for analysing PBDEs in plastics or dusts, for example. In this study, the characteristics of the polymers and additives in the plastics, foams and textiles were highly disparate and unknown. Various samples could contain large amounts of colloidal
particles after extraction, thereby casting doubt on the quantification. The addition of acetone
for reconstituting the evaporated sample and rinsing the tube walls appeared essential for
dissolving PBDEs from colloids and obtaining higher recoveries.

The overall analytical process was evaluated via repeated analyses of a certified reference 270 material (ERM-EC591) that contains PBDEs in a polypropylene material. As presented in 271 272 Table 1, the measured values were in the same range as the values of the certificate. Moreover, the extraction recoveries were satisfactory, namely, 84-104.5%, and were 273 consistent with recoveries that were obtained by Abdallah et al. (2017). To ensure that the 274 275 results could not be affected by the variability of the extracted materials, four additional experiments were conducted on the same day to determine the intraday repeatability for two 276 types of materials. Moreover, four experiments were conducted on different days to evaluate 277 278 the reproducibility (intermediate precision) (Table 1). The repeatability and reproducibility were satisfactory, with values of <19% for the target PBDEs and for BDE-209. Table 1 279 presents the LODs (S/N=3) and LOQs (S/N=10) that were obtained after the overall 280 procedure of extraction, purification and GC-MS analysis of a hard plastic. They were lower 281 than those that were obtained by Binici et al. (2013) but exceeded those that were obtained by 282 283 Abdallah et al. (2017), particularly for BDE-209, which is more strongly affected by thermal degradation. However, they were considered satisfactory since they enabled the quantification 284 of all the target PBDEs at levels of substantially less than 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. 285

Table S3 in the supplementary materials presents the raw results of the 200 analyses (each sample was analysed in duplicate) of the seating furniture wastes. Considering the mean values for the 100 samples, the relative standard deviations (RSDs) for the BDE-209 analyses were <11%, with the exception of samples in which BDE-209 was under the LOQ (Table S4).

#### 291 3.2. PBDEs in seating furnishing wastes

According to the analyses of the 100 samples, none contained a single BDE or the  $\Sigma_8$ BDEs 292 above the critical limit of 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table S4). No soft furnishings contained BDE-209 293 above the LOQ (1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Only 2 foam samples and 16 hard plastics contained BDE-209 294 above 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, which represented 5.6% of the foams and 37.2% of the plastics. Only hard 295 plastics contained BDE-209 above 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (11 samples above 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 4 samples 296 above 100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, with the highest concentration being 311.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The levels of PBDEs 297 that were detected in plastics of seating furnishing wastes were substantially lower than those 298 299 that could be measured in plastics from e-wastes, where many samples could exceed the maximum permissible contents (1000-29,000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for Octa-BDE, 860-237,000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for 300 Deca-BDE) (Sindiku et al., 2015). Even plastics from current consumer products (mainly 301 plastics that encase electronic components) could contain excessive amounts of Octa-BDE or 302 Deca-BDE (1000-88,600 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), which could further be detected in plastic wastes and 303 304 recycling (Gallen et al., 2014). The nondetectability or very low levels of PBDEs in foams or textiles that were used in professional seating furnishings were in accordance with previous 305 studies on domestic soft furnishings and foams, where single BDEs were in the same low 306 concentration ranges as in this study and their levels did not exceed 42 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Stubbings et 307 al., 2016). However, according to Drage et al. (2018), the level of BDE-209 could reach 7800 308 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in various furniture foam fillings. 309

As presented in Table 2, most of the median amount values were at the zero level that corresponds to non-detectable values; thus, PBDEs could not be detected in more than 50% of the samples. The mean amounts of the tri- to hexa-BDEs were very low in all the samples  $(0.016-0.470 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  and did not differ significantly from one another (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values>0.05). However, the mean amounts of the BDE-209 and BDE-183 were significantly higher in plastic materials than in textiles and foams (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values<0.05).</li>

In textile and foam materials, only the mean amounts of BDE-47 and BDE-99 were similar (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values>0.05) but significantly different from those of the other BDEs (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values<0.05). In contrast, the mean amounts of BDE-209 in plastic materials were significantly higher than those of BDE-28, BDE-100; BDE-99, BDE-153 and BDE-154 (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values<0.05), and the mean amounts of BDE-47 and BDE-99 were similar to those in the other materials (Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values>0.05).

Higher amounts of the 8 target PBDEs could be detected in the hard plastics, which contained 91.5% of the target PBDE amounts (Figure 1A). Most flame retardants are typically added at a 5-30% w/w level to efficiently inhibit combustion and burning of the materials (Gallen et al., 2014; Ionas et al., 2015; Drage et al., 2018); thus, the levels that were detected in our study were too low to conclude that the various materials were directly treated with PBDEs. Even BDE-209 was more likely derived from recycled plastic materials, where it progressively weakened.

Figure 1B shows that the BDE congeners were not uniformly distributed in the various 330 materials. The most-brominated BDEs, namely, BDE-209 and BDE-183, were detected 331 mainly (in various amounts) in hard plastics; hexa-brominated BDEs (BDE-153 and BDE-332 154) were partitioned among hard plastics and foams; four- and penta-brominated BDEs 333 (BDE-47, BDE-99, and BDE-100) were detected in foams and textiles; and the three-334 brominated BDE-28 was detected mostly in hard plastics. BDE-47 and BDE-99 represented, 335 on average, 58.0 and 26.7%, respectively, of the PBDE content in textiles and 31.0 and 336 46.0%, respectively, of PBDE content in foams, whereas BDE-209 represented 95.1% of the 337 PBDE content in hard plastics. 338

The distribution of PBDEs in current professional seating furniture wastes is in accordance 339 340 with historical or recent uses of commercial PBDE formulations. Indeed, commercial Penta-BDE, which is composed mostly of BDE-47 and BDE-99, was mainly used in Europe in PUF 341 for furniture and automotive applications. It is not surprising to detect these two major 342 congeners in office chair and armchair foams. However, their very low amounts (mean values 343 of 0.795 and 1.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for BDE-47 and BDE-99, respectively (Table 2)) may indicate that 344 the PBDEs have migrated out of the products over their lifecycles because the amounts do not 345 correspond to the percent by weight levels that are required to impart flame retardancy. 346 Alternatively, their very low amounts may indicate that they were not in the initial 347 348 composition of the foams. It seems that large amounts of Penta-BDE could be found in foam furniture items mainly prior to 2005 (Shaw et al., 2014). So here BDE-47 and BDE-99 349 originated from other sources, such as passive sorption from the indoor environment 350 351 (Stubbings et al., 2016). Indeed, foams such as PUF are used as active or passive samplers for PBDEs in air monitoring (Aliyeva et al., 2018). 352

In textiles, commercial Octa-BDE has never been used, but Deca-BDE could be used in the 353 past (Shaw et al., 2014; Ionas et al. 2015). Here, BDE-209 could be found only at trace levels 354 (Table 2) and was apparently not used in the analysed textiles, probably replaced by other 355 brominated FRs (Shaw et al., 2014). As for BDE-209, BDE-153 and BDE-183 were detected 356 at trace levels in rare samples (5/21 textile samples), and it was likely due to a migration of 357 these congeners from the plastics of the chairs or to passive sorption from the indoor 358 environment. BDE-47 and BDE-99, which could be detected in more textile samples, had 359 mean values of only 0.239 and 0.110 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 2). Therefore, these very 360 low amounts (of the same order of magnitude as in foams) may indicate that Penta-BDE 361 formulation was not in the initial composition of the textiles and originated from other sources 362 (migration from foams or passive sorption from the environment). 363

In plastics, commercial Octa-BDE, which is composed mostly of BDE-197, BDE-183 and 364 BDE-153, was employed in housings of EEE made of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) 365 polymer. However, ABS was also used in plastics for office chairs. Commercial Deca-BDE, 366 which is composed mainly of BDE-209, could still be recently employed in high impact 367 polystyrene (HIPS) in EEE and also in ABS. Therefore, it is not surprising to detect BDE-368 209, BDE-183 and BDE-153 in the plastics of office chairs. In the 20 samples in which BDE-369 370 209 could be detected, the 7 other PBDEs represented only 1.5% of the total amount, and only one hard plastic sample contained significantly more (73.8%) of the other BDEs (particularly 371 BDE-183) than BDE-209. Therefore, the levels of BDE-183 and BDE-153 that were detected 372 373 in hard plastics, which perhaps originated from commercial Octa-BDE application, were marginal compared to that of BDE-209, which has only recently been restricted. 374

The presence of BDE-28 in plastics represented only 0.15% on average of the BDE-209 content. Polybromodiphenyl ethers can be subject to debromination, namely, to the replacement of bromine on the aromatic ring with hydrogen (Wei et al., 2013). Therefore, higher bromodiphenyl ether congeners may be converted to lower congeners. The higher BDE-209 congener is more likely to be the precursor of tetra- to nona-BDEs, but BDE-28 more likely originates from the debromination of BDE-183, BDE-154, BDE-153, BDE-99, BDE-100 or BDE-47 (Wei et al., 2013).

Finally, only two foam samples contained BDE-209 at a relatively high level (2 and 4 mg kg<sup>-</sup>
<sup>1</sup>). As there is no known treatment of PUF by Deca-BDE, this suggests migration of BDE-209
from plastics that underlay foam via direct contact (Drage et al., 2018).

PCAs were conducted to attempt to correlate the PBDE amounts in the various materials. Table S5 presents the correlation matrices (with the Pearson correlation coefficients (r)) from four PCAs for all the samples, for the plastics only, for the foams only and for the textiles only. Components F1 and F2 of the PCAs accounted for 75.0%, 74.9%, 90.7% and 64.3%,

respectively, of the total variance. As described previously, BDE-47 and BDE-99 were 389 390 strongly correlated with each other (r=0.990) when all the materials were considered, and they were also strongly correlated with BDE-100 and BDE-154 (0.926<r<0.989) (Table S5A). 391 These BDEs likely originated from the same source, which is probably the previous 392 application of commercial Penta-BDE. BDE-153 was more strongly correlated with BDE-183 393 (r=0.870) and likely originated from the previous application of commercial Octa-BDE. 394 395 However, BDE-209 was not correlated with any of the other target PBDEs for any of the analysed materials (Tables S4B, C, and D): When BDE-209 was present in the materials, its 396 source differed from the sources of the other PBDEs. Therefore, it is likely that the other low-397 398 brominated PBDEs did not originate from the decomposition of BDE-209. BDE-28 was never correlated with BDE-209, even in plastics (r=0.078), where it was more prevalent (Table 399 S5B). Therefore, its higher prevalence in plastic materials (even in very low amounts) 400 401 compared to textiles or foams could not be explained by successive BDE-209 debrominations. In contrast, BDE-28 was correlated (0.962<r<0.999) with the other main PBDEs (especially 402 BDE47, BDE-99 and BDE-154) in foams and textiles, and it is more probable that it 403 originated from the same source. 404

The presence of BDE-209 at high levels (100-312 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was relevant mainly for plastic materials from office seating and armchair wastes, which constitute the main flow of furniture wastes from establishments that service the public in France. Nevertheless, according to the results from the CEDEN office (Table S1) regarding other less abundant furniture wastes, such as mattresses and performance hall armchairs, higher amounts of BDE-209 could also be detected in foams or textiles, especially for cinema armchairs that were manufactured before 1998, where BDE-209 could be measured at 3550 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

412

413 3.3. Correlation with X-ray fluorescence of Bromine and other metals

XRF spectroscopy was conducted on the various materials of the seating furniture wastes for 414 three main reasons: (i) to evaluate its ability to rapidly predict high critical PBDE 415 concentrations in various materials from seating wastes via Br to rapidly eliminate them from 416 recycling; (ii) if not possible, to evaluate its ability to screen only samples that necessitate a 417 confirmation of the presence of PBDEs with GC-MS. Due to the capability of XRF of 418 detecting high levels of Br, this screening could enable a substantial reduction of analytical 419 420 costs; and (iii) to correlate the presence of Br (and possibly PBDEs) with the presence of other associated metals, which could increase the potential toxic effect of materials that are 421 intended for recycling. 422

Thirty-nine elements were analysed with XRF in the 100 samples. Table S6 presents the amounts of 28 of them. Bromine was analysed to correlate its amount to the amounts of PBDEs in the various materials from seating wastes. Indeed, Br can represent 58.9 to 83.3% of the total molar weight of the target PBDEs (Table S2). However, although XRF enables the rapid, simple and nondestructive detection of bromine, it is nonspecific and subject to substantial variations due to the nonhomogeneous distribution of elements within the materials.

Figure 2A shows that there was no correlation ( $r^2=0.004$ ) between Br levels and the total level 430 of the 8 target PBDEs when considering all the analysed materials. There was no correlation 431 when materials were analysed by family (plastics, foams, textiles) and so no correlation 432 between Br and BDE-209 levels in plastics ( $r^2=0.002$ ) (Figure 2B). However, as mentioned by 433 Sharkey et al. (2018), XRF had a high bromine LOD (10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), which substantially 434 exceeds the LODs that were determined for GC-MS analyses of PBDEs. Thus, XRF could not 435 detect low amounts of PBDEs and indicated 0 when PBDEs were present at trace levels. 436 When only samples with  $\Sigma_8$ PBDEs>10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> were considered (12 samples/100), the 437 correlation between the Br amount and the PBDE amount was more significant but not strong 438

439  $(r^2=0.450)$  (Figure 2C). This essentially concerned samples in which Br amount was >150 mg 440 kg<sup>-1</sup>.

Therefore, XRF alone (via Br analysis) is not sufficient for evaluating the amount of PBDEs 441 442 (or BDE-209) that is possibly present in a material. Indeed, XRF measurements can be artificially altered due to the nonhomogeneous distribution of Br in a solid material, especially 443 when only a small piece is analysed. Hence, one plastic sample showed a significant PBDE 444 amount (33 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), and no bromine was detected by XRF. In contrast, 14 samples had 445 substantially larger amounts of Br than PBDEs (1000 times). In this study, only a small 446 number of BDEs were analysed via GC-MS among 209 possible congeners; octa-BDEs from 447 448 the former commercial Octa-BDE formulation and nona-BDEs, which are possible degradation products of BDE-209, were not analysed. Moreover, other brominated FRs could 449 be used in seating furniture materials, such as hexabromocyclododecane (HBCDD) (which is 450 451 also limited by EU legislation), decabromodiphenyl ethane and tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA) (Stubbings et al., 2016; Abdallah et al., 2017; Pivnenko et al., 2017). HBCDD is 452 frequently used in polystyrene foams, whereas TBBPA is used in plastics such as ABS 453 (Gallen et al., 2014). Therefore, XRF measured all the brominated compounds, without 454 distinguishing between PBDEs and the other brominated FRs and their degradation products. 455

456 Other groups of flame retardants can be used to treat products, such as chlorinated organophosphate flame retardants (OPFRs). PCA was conducted to attempt to correlate the 457 presence of P and Cl to the presence of PBDEs in the seating waste materials. No correlation 458 was identified in plastics or textiles. In contrast, the presence of P and Cl was positively 459 460 correlated in foams with the presence of the 7 other PBDEs (excluding BDE-209), with r= 0.678 and 0.462, respectively. Therefore, it is likely that in foams, commercial Penta-BDE 461 was associated with OPFRs. According to Stubbings et al. (2016), OPFRs such as tris(2-462 chloroisopropyl)phosphate could be quantitatively measured in PUF. 463

Finally, other compounds that contain metals could serve as FRs: ABS polymers and PUF 464 could contain magnesium hydroxide, sodium antimonate, zinc borate, aluminium trihydrate or 465 barium tetraborate. PUF could also contain neoalkoxytri(dioctylphosphate)titanate or 466 molybdic acid. Ferric oxide could also be used as FR. Therefore, Al, Ba, Mg, Ti, Sb, Zn, Fe 467 and Mo were screened in the seating wastes. Figure 3 presents PCA results regarding the 468 correlation of their amounts to the amount of PBDEs. In plastics, BDE-209 was weakly 469 correlated with Fe and Ba (r=0.500), and Br was more strongly correlated with Sb (r=0.679) 470 (Figure 3A and Table S7 for Pearson coefficients). Antimony oxide could be used as a 471 synergist, together with PBDEs, to enhance the flame retardant properties. However, for 472 473 seating wastes, it appears that Sb was correlated with Br but not to BDE-209. Xu et al. (2015) 474 also found that BDE-209 was not directly correlated with Sb in e-wastes. Additionally, Ti and Fe were correlated with each other (r=0.605) in plastics. 475

In foams, BDE-209 was strongly correlated with Mg (r=0.894), but no correlation was identified for the other PBDEs (Figure 3B and Table S7). Additionally, Al/Fe and Ti/Ba were correlated together (r=0.807 and r=0.997, respectively). Finally, in textiles, the 7 PBDEs (excluding BDE-209) were strongly correlated with Mg (r=0.996), thereby suggesting that magnesium hydroxide could have been associated with Penta-BDE as FR. Additionally, Al/Ti, Al/Fe and Ti/Fe were correlated together (r=0.878, r=0.914 and r=0.921, respectively) (Figure 3C and Table S7).

Finally, various metals and metalloids (Cr, Cu, Zn, Pb, Cd, Ni, Cu, and As), which are typically investigated as toxic metals in the environment, were examined to attempt to correlate their amounts in seating material wastes to those of Br, BDE-209 and the 7 other PBDEs. Cd and Pb can be used in plastics and foams as stabilizers, and Co, Cd, Cr, Pb, Cu, and Zn can be present in pigments. Figure 3D shows that considering all the materials, Br was strongly correlated with As (r=0.971), and Co with Ni (r=0.707) (Table S7). This strong

correlation between Co and Ni was also identified in dust from e-waste workshops (Xu et al., 489 490 2015). Considering only plastics, Br was strongly correlated with As (r=0.989), BDE-209 appeared to be slightly correlated with Co, Cr and Pb (r=0.527, 0.413 and 0.310, 491 respectively), and Br/Cd and As/Cd were also correlated together (r= 0.545 and 0.547, 492 respectively). In foams, Br was totally correlated with As (r=1.000), and only a weak 493 correlation between the 7 PBDEs and Zn was identified (r=0.352). However, many metals 494 were strongly correlated: Cr/Co, Cr/Ni, Cr/Cu, Co/Ni, Co/Cu and Ni/Cu (0.866<r<0.996). In 495 textiles, Br was strongly correlated with As (r=0.983), and no correlations between PBDEs 496 and any metals were identified. However, Cu/Zn and Co/Pb were strongly correlated together 497 498 (r=0.842 and 0.975, respectively).

499 Based on these results, it seems that the presence of Br was strongly associated with the presence of As in all the materials of the seating wastes. Arsenic could be used as a biocide in 500 PVC plastics or foams in the 0.001-1% (w/w) range, but its association with Br is unclear. 501 The levels of As in the urine of workers from e-waste plastic recycling activities were found 502 to significantly exceed those in the urine of workers from reference sites; hence, recycling 503 workers are also exposed to this element (Hahladakis et al. 2018). Finally, no strong 504 correlation between PBDEs and Cd or Pb was identified, in contrast to that observed in e-505 506 waste dust (Xu et al., 2015).

507

#### 508 **4.** Conclusions

In a legislative context, the total amounts of PBDEs that were detected in 100 pieces of materials from professional seating furniture wastes did not exceed the EU proposed threshold of 1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. One exception was reported from the parallel collection of the CEDEN office and concerned cinema armchairs that were manufactured before 1998. The French market underwent a disruption in approximately 2005 with the interdiction of the use of

Penta- and Octa-BDE formulations in new materials, and professional seating furniture that 514 has entered the French waste stream seems to contain only trace levels of PBDEs in materials 515 that were treated with the previous BDE formulations (which is referred to as "legacy" 516 contamination). The presence of BDE-209 was identified at significant higher levels 517 (although <1000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), which demonstrates that circular material flows may be 518 contaminated for extended periods. BDE-209 levels will need to be tracked in seating 519 520 furniture wastes in the future, as the EU will likely decrease its threshold level to 500 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> by July 16, 2021 in wastes to be recycled. 521

It seems that BDE-209 contamination may occur mainly through the use of recycled plastics 522 523 in office seating furniture and through migration to other materials by contact. According to 524 the results of the CEDEN Office, professional seating furniture that was manufactured before 2005 could contain BDE-209 levels that exceed the current limit. Therefore, it is possible that 525 no treatment (removal) is necessary for the wastes from professional chairs and armchairs that 526 were produced after 2005, but this should be confirmed via additional analyses. As a 527 precaution, monitoring of the presence of PBDEs (particularly BDE-209) in more furnishing 528 wastes (especially plastic wastes that are routed to recycling) that were produced before and 529 after 2005 and that originate from other countries seems necessary. 530

531 In light of the rapidity and low cost of measurement using XRF, this technique could be used as a nondestructive method to rapidly screen samples and separate suspected samples with 532 large amounts of Br (>150 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). However, GC-MS must be conducted to confirm the 533 presence of BDE-209 if this FR is the target compound to measure in seating waste materials. 534 Indeed, no direct correlation was identified between Br and BDE-209 levels since XRF was 535 not reliable for quantitative measurements and was not specific; other brominated FRs or 536 degradation products may be responsible for high Br responses. Therefore, the use of XRF as 537 a pre-screening technique, which is easy to implement *in situ*, could decrease the analytical 538

costs that are related to the quantification of BDE-209 or other regulated brominated FRs,
such as HBCDD. Finally, the XRF results demonstrated that the presence of Br was strongly
associated with the presence of As in all the materials of the professional seating wastes, for
which the reason has yet to be determined, and that the presence of PBDEs was not clearly
associated with the presence of other metals.

544

## 545 Supplementary materials

546 Seven tables (Tables S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6 and S7) are given in the supplementary materials547 of this paper.

548

### 549 Acknowledgements

We thank the students of the "PIC Valdelia" project (2018-2019) from INSA-Rouen Normandie for their organization of the waste collection and XRF measurement campaigns. We thank Dominique Boulday from CEDEN Office who accepted to share the general results from their parallel collect of professional seating furniture wastes. This work was partially supported by the Région Normandie, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the Normandy University, INSA-Rouen Normandie, the Labex SynOrg (ANR-11-LABX-0029) and Innovation Chimie Carnot (I2C).

557

#### 558 **References**

Abdallah, M.A.E, Drage, D.S., Sharkey, M., Berresheim, H., Harrad, S. 2017. A rapid method
for the determination of brominated flame retardant concentrations in plastics and textiles
entering the waste stream. J. Sep. Sci., 40, 3873-3881.

562

- Alcock R. E., Sweetman A. J., Prevedouros K., Jones K. C. 2003. Understanding levels and
  trends of BDE-47 in the UK and North America: an assessment of principal reservoirs and
  source inputs. Environ. Int. 29, 691-698.
- 566
- 567 Aliyeva, G., Sinnott-Clark, C.A., Audy, O., Skrdlikova, L., Kukucka, P., Jana Klanova, J.,
- 568 Halsall, C. 2018. A contemporary assessment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) in
- the ambient air and soil of Azerbaijan. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 31863–31873.
- 570
- Anh, H. Q., Nam, V. D., Tri, T. M., Ha, N. M., Ngoc, N. T., Mai, P. T. N., Anh, D. H., Minh,
  N. H., Tuan, N. A., Minh, T. B. 2017. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in plastic products,
  indoor dust, sediment and fish from informal e-waste recycling sites in Vietnam: a
  comprehensive assessment of contamination, accumulation pattern, emissions, and human
  exposure. Environ. Geochem. Health, 39, 935-954.
- 576
- Babayemi, J. O., Osibanjo, O., Sindiku, O., Weber, R. 2018. Inventory and substance flow
  analysis of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the Nigerian transport sector-end-of-life
  vehicles policy and management. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 31805-31818.
- 580
- Binici, B., Bilsel, M., Karakas, M., Koyuncu, I., Goren, A. C. 2013. An efficient GC–IDMS
  method for determination of PBDEs and PBB in plastic materials. Talanta 116, 417-426.
- 583
- 584 Charbonnet J. A., Weber R., Blum A. 2020. Flammability standards for furniture, building
  585 insulation and electronics: Benefit and risk. Emerging Contaminants 6, 432-441.
- 586

- Chen, S.J., Maa, Y.J., Wang, J., Tian, M., Luo, X.J., Chen, D., Mai, B.X., Measurement and
  human exposure assessment of brominated flame retardants in household products from South
  China, J. Hazard. Mater., 176, 2010, 979-984.
- 590
- 591 Choi, J., Jang, Y.-C., Kim, J.-G. 2017. Substance flow analysis and environmental releases of
- 592 PBDEs in life cycle of automobiles. Sci. Total Environ. 574, 1085-1094.
- 593
- Cowell, W. J., Stapleton, H. M., Holmes, D., Calero, L., Tobon, C., Perzanowski, M.,
  Herbstman, J. B. 2017. Prevalence of historical and replacement brominated flame retardant
  chemicals in New York City homes. Emerging Contaminants 3, 32-39.
- 597
- Drage, D. S., Sharkey, M., Abdallah, M. A.-E., Berresheim, H., Harrad, S. 2018. Brominated
  flame retardants in Irish waste polymers: Concentrations, legislative compliance, and
  treatment options. Sci. Total Environ. 625, 1535-1543.
- 601
- Duan, H., Yu, D., Zuo, J., Yang, B., Zhang, Y., Niu, Y. 2016. Characterization of brominated
  flame retardants in construction and demolition waste components: HBCD and PBDEs. Sci.
  Total Environ. 572, 77-85.
- 605
- Gallen, C., Banks, A., Brandsma, S., Baduel, C., Thai, P., Eaglesham, G., Heffernan, A.,
  Leonards, P., Bainton, P., Mueller, J. F. 2014. Towards development of a rapid and effective
  non-destructive testing strategy to identify brominated flame retardants in the plastics of
  consumer products. Sci. Total Environ. 491-492, 255-265.
- 610

Hahladakis, J. N., Velis, C. A., Weber, R., Iacovidou, E., Purnell, P. 2018. An overview of
chemical additives present in plastics: Migration, release, fate and environmental impact
during their use, disposal and recycling. J. Hazard. Mater. 344, 179-199.

614

- Ionas, A. C., Gómez, A. B., Uchida, N., Suzuki, G., Kajiwara, N., Takata, K., Takigami, H.,
  Leonards, P. E., Covaci, A. 2015. Comprehensive characterisation of flame retardants in
  textile furnishings by ambient high resolution mass spectrometry, gas chromatography-mass
  spectrometry and environmental forensic microscopy. Environ. Res. 142, 712-719.
- 619
- Li, Y., Hashi, Y., Liu, Y., Li H.F., Lin, J-M. 2009. Comparison and optimization of several
  pretreatment techniques for determination of decabrominated diphenyl ether in polymer
  samples gy gas chromatography mass spectrometry. Anal. Sci. 25, 523-527.
- 623
- Li, Y., Lin, T., Chen, Y., Hu, L., Guo, Z., Zhang, G. 2012. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers
  (PBDEs) in sediments of the coastal East China Sea: Occurrence, distribution and mass
  inventory. Environ. Pollut. 171, 155-161.
- 627

Mingwu, S., Jie, J., Ming, L., Lijie, W., Mingzhu, H. 2016. Recent developments in the
analysis of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polybrominated biphenyls in plastic. Rev.
Anal. Chem. 35, 133-143.

631

Nkabinde, S. N., Okonkwo, J. O., Olukunle, O. I., Daso, A. P. 2018. Determination of legacy
and novel brominated flame retardants in dust from end of life office equipment and furniture
from Pretoria, South Africa. Sci. Total Envir. 622-623, 275-281.

635

Oukebdane, K, Portet-Koltalo, F., Machour, N., Dionnet, F., Desbène, P.L. 2010. Comparison
of hot Soxhlet and accelerated solvent extractions with microwave and supercritical fluid
extractions for the determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and nitrated derivatives
strongly adsorbed on soot collected inside a diesel particulate filter. Talanta 82, 227-236.

640

- Petreas, M., Oros, D. 2009. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in California wastestreams.Chemosphere 74, 996-1001.
- 643
- Pivnenko, K., Granby, K., Eriksson, E., Astrup, T. 2017. Recycling of plastic waste:
  Screening for brominated flame retardants (BFRs). Waste Management 69, 101-109.

646

Ranz, A., Maier, E., Trampitsch, C., Lankmayr, E. 2008. Microwave-assisted extraction of
decabromodiphenylether from polymers. Talanta 76, 102-106.

649

Sharkey, M., Abdallah, M. A.-E., Drage, D. S., Harrad, S., Berresheim, H. 2018. Portable Xray fluorescence for the detection of POP-BFRs in waste plastics. Sci. Total Environ. 639, 4957.

653

Shaw, S.D., Kannan, K. 2009. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in marine ecosystems of the
American continents: Foresight from current knowledge. Rev. Environ. Health, 24, 157-229.

- Shaw, S.D., Blum, A., Weber, R., Kannan, K., Rich, D., Lucas, D., Koshland, C.P., Dobraca,
  D., Hanson, S. and Birnbaum, L. 2010. Halogenated Flame Retardants: Do the Fire Safety
  Benefits Justify the Risks? Rev. Environ. Health, 25, 261-305.
- 660

- Shaw, S.D., Harris, J.H., Berger, M.L., Subedi, B. and Kannan, K. 2014. Brominated Flame
  Retardants and their replacements in food packaging and household products: Uses, human
  exposure and health effects. In: Toxicants in food packaging and household plastics:
  Exposure and health risks to consumers. Ed. Snedeker, S.M., Springer, pp. 61-93.
- 665
- Sindiku, O., Babayemi, J., Osibanjo, O., Schlummer, M., Schluep, M., Watson, A., Weber, R.
  2015. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers listed as Stockholm Convention POPs, other
  brominated flame retardants and heavy metals in e-waste polymers in Nigeria. Environ. Sci.
  Pollut. Res. 22, 14489–14501.
- 670
- Stubbings, W. A., Drage, D. S., Harrad, S. 2016. Chlorinated organophosphate and "legacy"
  brominated flame retardants in UK waste soft furnishings: A preliminary study. Emerging
  Contaminants 2, 185-190.
- 674
- Vilaplana, F., Karlsson, P., Ribes-Greus, A., Ivarsson, P., Karlsson, S. 2008. Analysis of
  brominated flame retardants in styrenic polymers: Comparison of the extraction efficiency of
  ultrasonication, microwave-assisted extraction and pressurised liquid extraction. J. Chrom. A
  1196-1197, 139-146.
- 679
- Vilaplana, F., Ribes-Greus, A., Karlsson, S. 2009. Microwave-assisted extraction for
  qualitative and quantitative determination of brominated flame retardants in styrenic plastic
  fractions from waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE). Talanta 78, 33-39.
- 683

Wei, H., Zou, Y., Li, A., Christensen, E.R., Rockne, K.J., 2013. Photolytic debromination
pathway of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in hexane by sunlight. Environ. Pollut. 174, 194200.

687

Xiu, F.-R., Yu, X., Qi, Y., Li, Y., Lu, Y., Wang, Y., He, J., Zhou, K., Song, Z., Gao, X. 2019.
A novel management strategy for removal and degradation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers
(PBDEs) in waste printed circuit boards. Waste Management 100, 191-198.

691

Ku, F., Liu, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, G., Zhang, W., Liu, L., Wang, J., Pan, B., Lin, K. 2015.
Characterization of heavy metals and brominated flame retardants in the indoor and outdoor
dust of e-waste workshops: implication for on-site human exposure. Environ. Sci. Poll. Res.
22, 5469-5480.

696

Yu, D., Duan, H., Song, Q., Liu, Y., Li, Y., Li, J., Shen, W., Luo, J., Wang, J. 2017.
Characterization of brominated flame retardants from e-waste components in China. Waste
Management 68, 498-507.

700

Zhang, M., Shi, J., Meng, Y., Guo, W., Li, H., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Ge, H., Yao, M., Hu, Q.
2019. Occupational exposure characteristics and health risk of PBDEs at different domestic ewaste recycling workshops in China. Ecotox. Environ. Safety 174, 532-539.

Table 1: Overall procedural limits of detection and quantification (S/N=3 and 10, respectively); Certified and measured values from a certified
reference material (ERM-EC591); Repeatability of the overall analytical process established from a foam and a hard plastic sample,
reproducibility established from a hard plastic sample.

1							
PBDEs	LOD	LOQ	ERM-EC591	ERM-EC591 <sup>a</sup>	Repeatability (foam)	Repeatability (hard	Reproducibility (hard
	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(certificate)	Mean ± SD (mg	Mean ± SD (mg kg <sup>-</sup>	plastic) Mean ± SD	plastic) Mean ± SD
				kg <sup>-1</sup> ) (RSD %)	<sup>1</sup> ) (RSD %) (n=4)	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) (RSD %)	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) (RSD %)
				(n=4)		(n=4)	(n=4)
BDE-28	0.006	0.020	2.5±0.4	2.1±0.3 (14.3%)	n.d. <sup>b</sup>	n.d. <sup>b</sup>	n.d. <sup>b</sup>
BDE-47	0.003	0.010	245±23	239±25 (10.5%)	0.016±0.001 (3.9%)	0.014±0.001 (6.6%)	0.014±0.001 (5.9%)
BDE-100	0.002	0.006	66±7	61±9 (14.8%)	n.d. <sup>b</sup>	0.020±0.003 (13.6%)	0.018±0.003 (15.3%)
BDE-99	0.002	0.008	320±40	312±52 (16.7%)	0.008±0.001 (5.7%)	0.067±0.011 (16.5%)	0.054±0.010 (18.6%)
BDE-153	0.002	0.005	44±6	46±7 (15.2%)	n.d. <sup>b</sup>	0.906±0.082 (9.1%)	0.909±0.054 (6.0%)
BDE-154	0.001	0.005	26±4	27±4 (14.8%)	n.d. <sup>b</sup>	0.254±0.040 (15.6%)	0.227±0.041 (17.9%)
BDE-183	0.004	0.013	87±8	88±8 (9.1%)	n.d. <sup>b</sup>	3.717±0.310 (8.3%)	3.923±0.331 (8.4%)
BDE-209	0.314	1.047	780±90	766±42 (5.5%)	3.039±0.264 (8.7%)	8.964±0.496 (5.5%)	8.806±0.415 (4.7%)

708 (a) Extracts diluted by 100 before injection into GC-MS

709 *(b) n.d.* : not detected

Table 2: Mean and median (with min and max) amounts (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of each PBDE in all the
100 analysed samples and in each type of material (textiles, foams, hard plastics).

		BDE-	BDE-	BDE-	BDE-	BDE-	BDE-	BDE-	BDE-
		28	47	100	99	154	153	183	209
All	mean	0.017	0.351	0.096	0.470	0.045	0.136	0.371	10.4
materials	median	<lod< td=""><td>0.014</td><td><lod< td=""><td>0.014</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.014	<lod< td=""><td>0.014</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.014	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
(n=100)	min*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	max	0.870	23.5	6.7	35.4	2.4	5.8	30.4	311.9
Textiles	mean	0.002	0.239	0.003	0.110	0.003	0.025	0.005	0.027
(n=21)	median	<lod< td=""><td>0.009</td><td><lod< td=""><td>0.010</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.009	<lod< td=""><td>0.010</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.010	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	min*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	max	0.036	4.6	0.032	1.8	0.049	0.381	0.087	0.562
Foams	mean	0.007	0.795	0.224	1.2	0.082	0.104	0.008	0.167
(n=36)	median	<lod< td=""><td>0.013</td><td><lod< td=""><td>0.008</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.013	<lod< td=""><td>0.008</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.008	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	min*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	max	0.135	23.5	6.7	35.4	2.4	3.0	0.158	4.0
Plastics	mean	0.031	0.033	0.035	0.047	0.034	0.217	0.854	24.1
(n=43)	median	<lod< td=""><td>0.015</td><td><lod< td=""><td>0.017</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.015	<lod< td=""><td>0.017</td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	0.017	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	min*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
	max	0.870	0.655	1.1	0.818	0.853	5.8	30.4	311.9

Figure 1: A: Cumulative distribution of the total PBDE amount ( $\Sigma_8$ BDEs in mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the various materials and B: BDE congeners relative





Figure 2: Correlations between Br amount measured by XRF and A:  $\Sigma_8$ PBDEs amount in the 100 samples; B: BDE-209 amount in plastics; C:

 $\Sigma_8$ PBDEs amount, only for samples with  $\Sigma_8$ PBDEs > 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 3: PCAs linking metals potentially present as flame retardants and PBDEs in A:
plastics; B: foams; C: textiles. D: correlation between PBDEs and toxic metals generally
studied in the environment, in the 100 seating waste materials.



