

# Aerococcus sp., a promising genus as a source of anti-Salmonella bioprotective agents for the dairy industry revealed by a miniaturised screening method

Alexandra Besnard, Nathalie Desmasures, Alexandre Voisin-Anastasie, Lillian Gréau, Virginie Lelièvre, Jean-Michel Bré, Marion Dalmasso, Margot

Schlusselhuber

### ► To cite this version:

Alexandra Besnard, Nathalie Desmasures, Alexandre Voisin-Anastasie, Lillian Gréau, Virginie Lelièvre, et al.. Aerococcus sp., a promising genus as a source of anti-Salmonella bioprotective agents for the dairy industry revealed by a miniaturised screening method. International Dairy Journal, 2021, 116, pp.104949. 10.1016/j.idairyj.2020.104949. hal-03204430

## HAL Id: hal-03204430 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-03204430

Submitted on 3 Feb 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Aerococcus sp., a promising genus as a source of anti-Salmonella bioprotective agents for
2	the dairy industry revealed by a miniaturised screening method
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Alexandra Besnard <sup>a,b</sup> , Nathalie Desmasures <sup>a</sup> , Alexandre Voisin-Anastasie <sup>a</sup> , Lillian Gréau <sup>a</sup> ,
9	Virginie Lelièvre <sup>a</sup> , Jean-Michel Bré <sup>a</sup> , Marion Dalmasso <sup>a</sup> , Margot Schlusselhuber <sup>a</sup> *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	<sup>a</sup> Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, UNIROUEN, ABTE, 14000 Caen, France
16	<sup>b</sup> Gillot SAS, 612020 Saint-Hilaire de Briouze, France
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 2 31 56 57 82
22	E-mail address: margot.schlusselhuber@unicaen.fr (M. Schlusselhuber)
23	
24	

#### 26 ABSTRACT

27

Biopreservation is a promising technology for insuring safety of food. This study evaluated 28 29 the anti-Salmonella potential of 1450 dairy isolates from 54 genera, in conditions mimicking dairy matrices, to find new bioprotective strains. Among the screened isolates, 6.4% exhibited 30 31 an antagonistic activity towards at least one of the two Salmonella Mbandaka and Montevideo 32 reference strains. Lactococcus sp. generated the highest number of bioprotective candidates, 33 followed by Aerococcus sp. from which seven isolates were able to inhibit at least 80% of 20 34 Salmonella strains in milk synthetic medium, and/or in cheese synthetic medium. Inhibition by Aerococcus sp. was characterised by the production of hydrogen peroxide (30 to 100 mg L<sup>-</sup> 35 <sup>1</sup>) and acetic acid (0.25 to 0.34 g L<sup>-1</sup>). *Aerococcus* sp. appears to be a new promising source of 36 37 bioprotective agents against Salmonella in dairy matrices, along with the well-described 38 Lactococcus genus.

- 40 **1.** Introduction
- 41

42 In Europe, ~ 500,000 tons of raw milk cheeses are produced each year (IDF, 2016). 43 The use of raw milk leads to undeniable advantages for milk producers, cheese makers, as well as for consumers. Because raw milk cheeses are high added value products, the price of 44 45 the raw milk collected to produce them is also higher than that of raw milk destined to the 46 production of pasteurised products (Casabianca & Jeanneaux, 2020). The organoleptic 47 qualities of raw milk cheeses are also recognised to be stronger than for processed cheeses 48 thanks to an abundant native microbiota (Montel et al., 2014). However, raw milk cheeses, 49 mainly semi-soft and semi-hard cheeses, are more susceptible to the presence and growth of 50 some bacterial pathogens and can be potential vehicles for food-borne outbreaks. Regarding 51 the latter issue among the zoonotic pathogens that the dairy industry must face, the most 52 recorded are pathogenic Campylobacter sp., Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, 53 Salmonella enterica and enterotoxin-producing Staphylococcus aureus (Jourdan-da Silva et 54 al., 2018).

55 Salmonella is one of the food-borne pathogens responsible for the highest number of 56 human cases. It was associated in 2018 in Europe to 1581 food-borne outbreaks that were 57 reported by the EFSA (EFSA, 2019) leading to 11,581 human cases. In foods and animals, 58 Salmonella Enteritidis, Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Salmonella Typhimurium, 59 Salmonella Infantis and Salmonella Derby are the most encountered serovars in Europe. 60 These serovars are also considered to be the most reported in human cases (ESFA, 2019), but 61 their occurrence is country-dependent, food-dependent, and tends to fluctuate over time. 62 Preventing the occurrence of Salmonella in raw milk cheeses is of utmost importance 63 for sanitary and economic reasons. On farms, diverse sanitary measures can be implemented 64 to reduce the risk of Salmonella contamination during milking. Nevertheless, these preventive

practices are not always effective enough, and complementary approaches have to be used(Schlusselhuber et al., unpublished).

67 Among such approaches, biopreservation has received an increased interest over the 68 last decade. Biopreservation has been defined as the use of natural microflora and/or their antimicrobial compounds to extend food shelf-life and enhance food safety (Stiles, 1996). 69 70 Using microorganisms (whether they originate or not from the natural microflora) and their 71 products to preserve food has been practiced in many areas (Ross, Morgan, & Hill, 2002). 72 The microflora of most fermented foods is mainly composed of lactic acid bacteria (LAB). 73 LAB are known for their high biopreservation potential and are generally recognised as safe 74 for human. These bacteria are able to produce a large variety of antimicrobial substances such 75 as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, biosurfactants (Sharma, 2016) and bacteriocins (Gálvez, 76 Abriouel, López, & Omar, 2007). When used as bioprotective cultures, they can contribute to 77 a number of ways to control pathogens (Ben Said, Gaudreau, Dallaire, Tessier, & Fliss, 2019) 78 and to extend the shelf-life of fermented foods (Leyva Salas et al., 2017).

79 Microorganisms other than LAB can also be used for biopreservation. One strain of 80 Brevibacterium linens, a bacterial species commonly used for cheese ripening, was shown to 81 have a protective effect against Listeria sp. in red smear cheese (Eppert, Valdés-Stauber, 82 Götz, Busse, & Scherer, 1997) and predicted bacteriocin biosynthesis genes were present in 83 most of the Brevibacterium strains previously investigated (Pham et al., 2017). In soft cheese, 84 a Staphyloccoccus equorum strain was able to inhibit Listeria monocytogenes growth by 85 producing a bacteriostatic substance (Carnio et al., 2000). Staphylococcal bacteriocins can 86 also be used to control Staphyloccoccus aureus in cheese (Miceli de Farias, dos Santos 87 Nascimento, Cabral da Silva Santos, & de Freire Bastos, 2019). In addition to bacteria, yeasts 88 isolated from smear ripened cheeses can also have an inhibitory action against pathogens like

*L. monocytogenes* (Dieuleveux, Van Der Pyl, Chataud, & Gueguen, 1998; Goerges, Aigner,
Silakowski, & Scherer, 2006).

91		To select antagonistic bacterial isolates, screening methods can be employed such as				
92	the co	mmonly used agar diffusion assay (Abraham et al., 1941). This method allows to detect				
93	the in	hibition due to acidification (in unbuffered medium) and/or to the production of non-				
94	specif	ic (e.g., hydrogen peroxide) and specific (e.g., bacteriocin) inhibitory compounds.				
95	Despi	te the common use of this method, it cannot be applied when using opaque media.				
96	There	fore, classical nutrient agar media are often used, but their composition do not reproduce				
97	the co	emposition of complex food matrices such as dairy products.				
98		The aim of this study was to select microbial isolates exhibiting inhibitory activity				
99	towards Salmonella, through the production of chemical compounds, in conditions					
100	encou	ntered during semi-soft cheese making process. A new miniaturised screening method				
101	was d	esigned and used to screen a collection of dairy microbial isolates. The most promising				
102	biopro	ptective strains were identified, and the mechanisms involved in their activity were				
103	invest	igated.				
104						
105	2.	Materials and methods				
106						
107	2.1.	Microbial strains and growth conditions				
108						
109		This study is part of a project targeting serovars encountered specifically in Normandy				
110	regior	n. The most encountered serovars in Normandy in 2012–2017 were Salmonella enterica				
111	subsp	. enterica serovar Mbandaka (hereinafter referred to as S. Mbandaka) and S. enterica				
112	subsp	. enterica serovar Montevideo (hereinafter referred to as S. Montevideo). Two reference				
113	strain	s from the Institut Pasteur (Paris, France), S. Mbandaka CIP 105.859 and S. Montevideo				

CIP 104.583, were initially used as primary targets for a pre-screening procedure. Then, ten *S*.
Mbandaka and ten *S*. Montevideo strains, isolated from various farms in Normandy (France)
were further used for screening assays (Table 1). All the strains were routinely stored in 15%
glycerol at -80 °C.

118 Salmonella strains were grown at 30 °C for 24 h in Luria Bertani (LB) broth pH 7.5 made with 10 g L<sup>-1</sup> casein pancreatic peptone (Biokar), 5 g L<sup>-1</sup> yeast extract (Biokar) and 10 g 119 120 L<sup>-1</sup>NaCl (Fisher). A total of 1,450 bacterial and yeast isolates from the UCMA collection 121 (Université de Caen Normandie, France), collected between 1978 and 2016 in dairy 122 environments from the Normandy region, were screened for inhibitory activities towards 123 Salmonella strains. All the screened isolates were routinely grown in brain heart infusion pH 7.4 (BHI, Conda), for 24 h at 30 °C in Deepwell® from one colony. This medium was chosen 124 125 as it allows growth of most microbial groups investigated in this study, including Gram 126 positive and Gram negative bacteria, and yeasts.

127

128 2.2. Development of a miniaturised method to screen microbial isolates for anti129 Salmonella activities

130

131 A miniaturised method based on the agar diffusion plate method was developed in 96-132 well microtiter plates (Fig. 1). The screened microbial isolates were cultivated in Deepwell® 133 plates in conditions mentioned above. After incubation, a replicator was used to transfer a few 134 microliters (2–3 µL) from the Deepwell® plate cultures onto the surface of the agar media 135 used as the first layer in 96-well microtitre plates (agar layer of about 100 µL). Three different 136 media were used as first layer: BHI agar (commonly used in literature for bacterial screening, 137 as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2004) milk agar, 138 or cheese agar. The two later were used to mimic the nutritional and physicochemical

139 conditions encountered during two processing steps in the manufacture of semi-soft cheese.

140 Milk agar pH 6.3 was used to mimic the end of the milk maturation step, just before renetting,

141 and was composed of 100 g  $L^{-1}$  of skim milk powder (Difco) and 12 g  $L^{-1}$  of bacteriological

142 agar (Conda). Cheese agar was used to mimic cheese ripening and was prepared as previously

143 described by (Guichard & Bonnarme, 2005) using 2.4% NaCl, 60% of unsalted Camembert

144 cheese curd and 1.7% agar (Biokar). To allow the growth of acid-sensitive isolates,

145 particularly belonging to the *Actinobacteria* class, pH was adjusted to 6.8.

Microtitre plates containing inoculated BHI agar and milk agar were then incubated at 30 °C for 24 h, and those containing inoculated cheese agar were incubated at 15 °C for 48 h. After incubation, the resultant growth was killed by UV irradiation (0.124 J cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 15 min (Daly et al., 2010). In each well, a 70  $\mu$ L overlay of soft LB agar (0.8% agar) inoculated with an overnight culture of *Salmonella* was added (final concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>). All the plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h (Fig. 1).

152 After the 24h-incubation step, Salmonella viability was revealed using a blue-dye 153 resazurin test. Resazurin dye was prepared extemporaneously in phosphate sodium buffer (pH 154 7.4) at a concentration of 0.05% (w/v) and sterilised using PES filter (0.22 µm pore size). In 155 each well, 20 µL of resazurin solution were deposited onto the agar surface. After 5 min at 156 room temperature, the coloration of the entire upper layer in each well was recorded and 157 compared with a positive control (Salmonella growth in LB agar) and a negative control 158 (sterile LB agar overlay). A pink colour revealed the viability of Salmonella whereas a blue 159 colour indicated its inhibition (Fig. 1). All the microbial isolates showing an antagonistic 160 activity (blue coloration of the well) were tested in duplicate to confirm the inhibition of 161 Salmonella.

- All the isolates were tested for their activity against the two *Salmonella* reference strains. The isolates inhibiting of one or both reference strains were then tested, in duplicate, against twenty *Salmonella* field strains (Table 1).
- 165

166 2.3. Identification of the inhibition mechanisms of selected antagonist isolates

- 167
- 168 2.3.1. Detection of anti-Salmonella compounds in cell-free supernatants of antagonistic
  169 isolates

The isolates exhibiting antagonistic activity towards at least 16 of the 20 (80%) *Salmonella* strains (Table 1) were selected to investigate the mechanisms of inhibition. This
arbitrary inhibition threshold of 80% was intended to select the isolates with the widest
spectrum of inhibitory activity towards *Salmonella*.

174 Liquid cheese synthetic medium (CSM, pH 6.8) was prepared as previously described 175 (Mansour, Beckerich, & Bonnarme, 2008). Liquid milk synthetic medium (MSM, pH 6.3) 176 was prepared from CSM with modifications: lactose and sodium lactate concentrations were adjusted to 48.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> and 1 g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Isolates were grown in 5 mL of media from 177 178 one colony for 72 h at 150 rpm at either 30 °C (MSM) or 15 °C (CSM). Cells from 5 mL of 179 culture were pelleted by centrifugation at  $4150 \times g$  for 10 min at room temperature, and the 180 cell-free supernatants (CFS) were obtained by filtering through 0.22 µm PES filters (Nalgene) 181 and then stored at -20 °C until use. Salmonella Mbandaka CIP 105.859 was cultured in 5 mL 182 of LB from one colony and incubated 24 h at 37°C. Salmonella concentration was then adjusted at  $5 \times 10^6$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> in LB broth twice concentrated. 183 184 CFS were tested against S. Mbandaka CIP 105.859 to assess the contribution to the

detected anti-*Salmonella* activities of the potential production of organic acids, hydrogen
 peroxide, proteinaceous compounds and biosurfactants. Four treatment conditions were

187 applied to CFS, i.e., non-treated, neutralised, neutralised treated with catalase, and neutralised 188 treated with pronase. The CFS pH was neutralised to pH 7 with 6 N NaOH. The neutralised CFS were treated with catalase (100 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma) at 30 °C for 30 min, or pronase (100 189 190 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>, Serva) at 37 °C for 2 h. One hundred microlitres of treated (neutralised, catalase-191 treated, or pronase-treated) or non-treated CFS were mixed with 100 µL S. Mbandaka CIP 192 105.859 LB culture, into microplate wells, reaching a final Salmonella concentration of 193 2.5×10<sup>6</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup>. The microplates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. After incubation, 194 Salmonella inhibition was evaluated by comparing OD<sub>600nm</sub> in control wells (S. Mbandaka 195 without CFS) and in assay wells containing both S. Mbandaka and CFS. Inhibition was 196 expressed by the OD<sub>600nm</sub> ratio between assay and control. Non-treated CFS were considered 197 active against Salmonella when at least 80% inhibition were observed. The activity of treated 198 CFS was assessed in triplicate by comparing with the activity of non-treated CFS. 199 For the rapid screening of biosurfactant production, non-treated CFS were subjected to 200 a drop-collapse assay (Walter, Syldatk, & Hausmann, 2010). Briefly, 5 µL of non-treated CFS 201 were mixed with 1  $\mu$ L of methylene blue to improve visualisation before a drop assay onto a

hydrophobic surface (Parafilm®). The stability of the drop was checked and compared with a
negative control (sterile medium). If CFS contains biosurfactants, the drop spreads because
the interfacial tension between the drop and the hydrophobic surface is reduced.

205 Hydrogen peroxide production in active non-treated CFS was quantified using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>
206 Dosatest strip® (0 to 100 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, VWR).

207

208 2.3.2. Detection and quantification of major organic acids by high performance liquid
 209 chromatography

Reverse phase HPLC (Waters Alliance HPLC system with 2695 pump and 2998 PDA
detector) was performed at 0.6 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and 40 °C on a Carbomix HNP5 8% cross-linking

212	7.8 ×	300 mm (Sepax). Elution was performed in an isocratic mode for 30 min using 5 mM					
213	H <sub>2</sub> SO	<sup>4</sup> buffer as mobile phase and monitored at 210 nm. Active CFS of selected strains grown					
214	in MS	M medium were two fold diluted with mobile phase before injection of 10 $\mu$ L. For					
215	quanti	ification of lactic and acetic acids in each CFS, acid standards were injected in					
216	concentrations ranging from 2 mM to 64 mM (Sigma). Several standards of organic acids were						
217	also ir	njected at 64 mM to compare elution time with produced molecules in active CFS: citric					
218	(Panre	eac), fumaric (Acros), formic (Sigma), butyric (Fluka), benzoic (Panreac), succinic					
219	(Sigm	a) and phenyllactic acids (Sigma).					
220							
221	3.	Results					
222							
223	3.1.	Antagonistic activities of microbial isolates towards two Salmonella reference strains					
224							
225		The miniaturised screening method developed in the current study was used to assess					
226	the an	ti-Salmonella activity of 1450 isolates against two reference Salmonella strains, S.					
227	Mban	daka CIP 105.859 and S. Montevideo CIP 104.583, in BHI, milk agar and cheese agar					
228	(Table	e 2). This method was validated using strains previously screened using agar diffusion					
229	assays	s and showing antagonism, through production of chemical compound, toward one					
230	Salmo	onella strain used in this study. Around one-third of the screened isolates belonged to the					
231	Lacto	coccus genus.					
232		Among the 1450 isolates, 93 (6.4%) were able to inhibit at least one Salmonella					
233	refere	nce strain in BHI. Seventy-three (5%) isolates inhibited S. Mbandaka CIP 105.859					
234	where	eas 55 (3.85%) inhibited S. Montevideo CIP 104.583 strain in this medium. Thirty-five					
235	(2.4%	) isolates inhibited both Salmonella strains in these conditions.					

In milk medium, 119 isolates (8.2%) inhibited *S*. Mbandaka CIP 105.859 and 135
(9.3%) inhibited *S*. Montevideo CIP 104.583. In this medium, 61 isolates (4.2%) displayed an
antagonistic activity against the two *Salmonella* strains.

In cheese medium, results were similar to those obtained in milk medium. A total of
118 (8.1%) isolates were active against *S*. Mbandaka CIP 105.859 and 132 (9.1%) against *S*.
Montevideo CIP 104.583 (Table 2). Forty-four isolates (3%) inhibited both *Salmonella*strains. Antagonistic isolates were different depending on the medium and on the *Salmonella*strains.

As shown in Table 2, isolates displaying an anti-*Salmonella* activity belonged

245 predominantly to the Lactococcus genus, followed by, in descending order, Aerococcus sp.,

246 *Lactobacillus* sp., and *Leuconostoc* sp. Some antagonistic isolates were also found among

247 other bacterial genera, including Alcaligenes sp., Brachybacterium sp., Hafnia sp.,

248 Pseudomonas sp., Raoultella sp., Staphylococcus sp., and Weissella sp., and among yeasts

249 (Candida sp. and Yarrowia sp.). The genus Aerococcus was associated with a noticeable

250 inhibitory activity towards *Salmonella* strains, since more than half of the isolates were active

251 (Table 2). Over the 31 screened *Aerococcus* strain, 29 (93%) were able to inhibit at least one

252 Salmonella strain in at least one medium.

253

254 3.2. Screening of selected isolates against 20 Salmonella strains originating from dairy
255 environments.

256

Based on the previous results, 49 isolates were selected according to their taxonomic
affiliation and their inhibitory activity towards the reference *Salmonella* strains in either milk
agar or cheese agar (Table 3). They were screened using the miniaturised method with milk

260 medium and cheese medium against twenty *Salmonella* isolates collected from farms'261 environment (Table 1).

262 Thirty-one out of the 49 isolates inhibited at least 16 out of the 20 Salmonella strains 263 in one or in both media (Table 3). Among them, three Lactococcus sp., two Aerococcus sp., 264 one Alcaligenes sp., one Leuconostoc sp., and one Raoultella sp. isolates displayed inhibition 265 only in milk medium. Eleven isolates (six Lactococcus sp., three Aerococcus sp., one 266 Pseudomonas sp., and one Streptococcus sp.) inhibited Salmonella strains only in cheese 267 medium. Ten Lactococcus sp., and two Aerococcus sp. isolates were active in both milk and 268 cheese media. None of the tested field Salmonella strains was resistant to all the screened 269 isolates.

270

#### 271 3.2.1. Inhibition mechanisms of the active isolates in milk and cheese media

272 The 49 isolates selected on the previous step were grown either in MSM, CSM or both 273 media (according to their previous activities through the miniaturised method in milk 274 medium, cheese medium or both) to test CFS activities against S. Mbandaka CIP 105.859. 275 Similar results were obtained for both *Salmonella* strains so only the results obtained for *S*. 276 Mbandaka CIP 105.859 strain are shown (Table 3). After 24 h incubation, the ratio between 277 OD<sub>600</sub> obtained from *Salmonella* grown in the presence of CFS and OD<sub>600</sub> obtained in pure 278 culture was calculated. CFS activity was considered as inhibitory toward Salmonella strain 279 when values  $\leq 0.20$  (corresponding to 80% inhibition) were obtained. Twenty CFS were 280 tested in MSM, and among them, seventeen non-treated CFS inhibited the reference strain, 281 with at least 80% of inhibition. All the tested *Aerococcus* strains (n = 4) were active against 282 both Salmonella strains. After CFS neutralisation, only these Aerococcus strains still inhibited 283 Salmonella growth (96–99% of inhibition). None of the CFS inhibited Salmonella growth in 284 CSM (data not shown).

285 All the active CFS, which pH ranged between 4.5 and 6.5, lost their inhibitory activity 286 against the pathogen after pH neutralisation except for the four Aerococcus isolates. 287 According to these results, the inhibition mechanism of these isolates could be related to the 288 production of organic acids combined with a pH effect. For the four Aerococcus sp. UCMA 289 5972, UCMA 18036, UCMA 18039 and UCMA 6078 strains, CFS was still active with 290 pronase treatment, but not with catalase treatment. This suggest that the anti-Salmonella 291 activity was mainly due to the production of hydrogen peroxide. This production was 292 confirmed by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Dosatest<sup>®</sup> strips, with quantities produced ranging from 30 to 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> 293 depending on the supernatant. After pronase treatment, all neutralised CFS kept their 294 inhibitory activity. Therefore, none of the antagonistic activities were related to the presence 295 of proteinaceous compounds such as bacteriocins. A drop-collapse assay was performed on 296 non-treated CFS to reveal the production of biosurfactants. None of the strains appeared to 297 produce such molecules.

298

299 3.2.2. Assessment of organic acids involved in Aerococcus anti-Salmonella activity 300 Due to the anti-Salmonella activity of their CFS, the production of organic acids by 301 Aerococcus strains was studied. After 72 h of incubation in MSM, the four Aerococcus active 302 CFS (Table 3) were collected and analysed using HPLC with UV detection at 210 nm. 303 Chromatograms of Aerococcus CFS were compared with chromatograms of sterile MSM. No 304 production of lactic acid was observed, while acetic acid was produced at concentration 305 ranging from 0.23 to 0.34 g  $L^{-1}$ , depending on the strain. Additionally, an unknown molecule 306 was produced and eluted at 9.1 min. However, none of organic acids standards injected were 307 found to correspond (data not shown).

308

309 **4. Discussion** 

Classic nutritive media such as MRS, M17, and BHI agar are well-used for spot-onlawn assays to detect microbial antagonistic activities. BHI agar has the advantage to allow the growth of a large panel of microorganisms thanks to its nutrient-rich composition. For LAB-only screening, MRS agar is commonly used. These non-opaque media are easy to use but their composition is far from that of real matrices encountered during dairy food making processes. It is known that antimicrobial activities revealed when using classic nutritive media can be lost in real dairy matrix conditions.

318 In this study, a new screening method was developed and applied for the detection of 319 microbial antagonistic activities towards pathogenic bacteria using dairy-like media. The 320 method developed has the advantage of using conditions mimicking semi-soft cheese making 321 process, from milk maturation to cheese ripening steps, in terms of nutritional content for 322 microorganisms, pH and temperature. It also has the advantage of overcoming difficulties 323 associated to opacity of dairy-like media. The method was also designed to manage a large 324 number of isolates in 96-well microplates, therefore cell concentrations of the isolates to be 325 screened were not adjusted when inoculating the first agar layer. The consequence is that the 326 cellular levels of the screened dairy isolates in microplate wells may vary depending on the 327 microbial species or strains investigated, and on the trials. As the anti-Salmonella activity of 328 the isolates was confirmed in a second experiment, it was considered as a minor drawback of 329 our method. Additionally, strains belonging to 18 different genera, including fastidious ones 330 (e.g., Lactobacillus sp), showed inhibitory activities with this method, suggesting that it was 331 of broad use. Mainly members of the Actinobacteria class were not detected as active in this 332 experiment, but some of them exhibited activity toward a S. Newport strain (data not shown). 333 Over the 1450 microbial isolates tested in the current study, most of them were LAB 334 (1004 out of 1450) and especially of the *Lactococcus* genus (37%). Interestingly, a higher

335 number of antagonistic strains were found in "milk" and "cheese" agar compared with 336 classical BHI agar. It appeared that the physicochemical properties of milk and cheese media (e.g., lower pH, and concentrations of citrate, free fatty acids and minerals) were more 337 338 suitable for revealing the production of inhibitory molecules by this bacterial group. This was 339 consistent with the study of Matevosyan, Bazukyan, and Trchounian (2019a), where LAB 340 antibacterial activities were more important in milk agar than in classic medium such as MRS. 341 Another study showed that calcium and magnesium ions in combination were involved in the 342 increase in LAB antibacterial activity (Matevosyan et al., 2019a). Besides compositions, 343 microbial antagonistic activities are also highly dependent on culture conditions (Matevosyan, 344 Bazukyan, & Trchounian, 2019b). For example, activities of antimicrobial substances were 345 shown significantly influenced by temperature, NaCl and glucose concentrations 346 (Rohmatussolihat, Lisdiyanti, Yopi, Widyastuti, & Sukara, 2018). This corroborates the 347 importance of using media as close as possible to the food matrix for the selection of 348 bioprotective agents that may then still be active when applied to real food processes. 349 The screening performed against 20 field Salmonella strains from different serovars, in 350 the same conditions as those used with the two Salmonella reference strains, showed that 351 Lactococcus and Aerococcus were the major antagonistic genera. None of the 20 field 352 Salmonella were resistant to all of the 31 tested isolates. Most isolates were not able to inhibit 353 all Salmonella strains in our conditions whatever the serovar. This indicates that the inhibitory 354 activities of the screened isolates were strain-dependent. 355 LAB, especially *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc*, are well-known for

their antagonistic activities against pathogens (El Kheir et al., 2018; Lindgren & Dobrogosz,
1990) and were confirmed as such in this study. Additionally, some isolates belonging to the *Enterococcus, Pediococcus, Streptococcus* and *Weissella* genera exhibited a predictable
inhibitory activity. The anti-*Salmonella* activity of a large proportion of LAB isolates

belonging to the *Aerococcus* genus was more surprising, as published reports on the
antimicrobial potential of this genus are scarce to our knowledge. Although the four
investigated *Aerococcus* strains had a similar behaviour toward the *Salmonella* strains, they
were probably not clones because they originated from different substrates (air versus raw
milk) and from different farms.

365 CFS were investigated to decipher the mechanisms involved in *Salmonella* inhibition. 366 An inhibitory activity was associated to CFS from isolates grown in MSM, whereas none was 367 found for CFS from CSM. This could be explained by several factors leading to a limited 368 growth of the tested strains and/or to a lower production of inhibitory molecules in CSM than 369 in MSM. Indeed, CSM was incubated at 15 °C whereas MSM was incubated at 30 °C, and 370 CSM had a pH of 6.8 pH whereas MSM pH was of 6.3. The lactose concentration in CSM was of 20 g  $L^{-1}$  compared with 48.5 g  $L^{-1}$  in MSM, and lactic acid concentration was of 18 g 371  $L^{-1}$  in CSM and of 1 g  $L^{-1}$  in MSM. This could have impacted the growth of the tested 372 373 microbial isolates.

374 It is well documented that most of the LAB inhibition activities are notably related to 375 the production of organic acids, mostly lactic acid (Liu, 2003) but also acetic acid, 376 phenyllactic acid (Gerez et al., 2013) and other organic acids (Garnier, Torres, Font de 377 Valdez, & Rollán, 2020). Many primary substrates for organic acid production are found in 378 dairy matrices: carbohydrates, amino acids (Stiles, 1996), and unsaturated free fatty acids 379 through epoxide and hydroperoxide formation (Molimard & Spinnler, 1996). In this study, all 380 the Lactococcus sp. tested showed an important production of lactic acid and produced also 381 acetic acid, as reported in other studies (Özcelik, Kuley, & Özogul, 2016; Røssland, 382 Langsrud, Granum, & Sørhaug, 2005).

383 Interestingly, *Aerococcus* strains were not associated with the production of lactic
384 acid. Mechanisms of action were different for the four *Aerococcus* strains mainly

385 investigated in the current study, compared with the other LAB strains. Indeed, the 386 Aerococcus antagonist activity seemed to be related, at least in part, to the production of 387 organic acids (acetic acid) and hydrogen peroxide. This is consistent with a previous study 388 showing a production of hydrogen peroxide by this genera (Stepanskyi et al., 2017). In the 389 Aerococcus genus, the decarboxylation of pyruvate due to the action of a pyruvate oxidase 390 allows the formation of hydrogen peroxide through the reduction of dioxygen in Aerococcus 391 viridans (Yanze Kontchou & Blondeau, 1990). The enzyme glycerophosphate-oxidase 392 (GPO), isolated from A. viridans, also contributes to the formation of hydrogen peroxide 393 (Streitenberger, López-Mas, Sánchez-Ferrer, & García-Carmona, 2001). This enzyme has 394 been described in other LAB genera such as: Leuconostoc (Emi, Kojima, & Ando, 1984), 395 Pediococcus (Šůchová, Demnerová, Bond, & Králová, 1992) and Streptococcus (Esders & 396 Michrina, 1979). In this study, the concentration of hydrogen peroxide recorded in CFS ranged 397 between 30 and 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Such concentrations are similar and potentially higher than those 398 recorded for strains belonging to Lactobacillus acidophilus, and which ranged between 1.7 399 and 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Hertzberger et al., 2014; Strus, Brzychczy-Włoch, Gosiewski, Kochan, & 400 Heczko, 2006).

An unknown molecule eluted at 9.1 min, and which was not produced by the other
tested strains, could be related to the observed inhibition. The identification and assessment of
the potential anti-*Salmonella* activity of this molecule remain to be performed.

404 The Aerococcus genus is composed of eight species: Aerococcus christensenii,
405 Aerococcus sanguinicola, Aerococcus suis, Aerococcus urinae, Aerococcus urinaehominis,
406 Aerococcus viridans, Aerococcus urinaeequi and Aerococcus vaginalis (Tohno et al., 2014).
407 Some species of this genus, such as A. viridans, appear to be strongly associated to dairy
408 environments. They have been found in air, soil, and dairy environments (Ruoff, 2011).
409 Aerococcus genus has frequently been detected as a dominant flora in raw milk samples

collected on Normandy dairy farms (Mallet, 2012). *Aerococcaceae* was also the major taxon
found in milk and air dust samples collected on farms located in Okayama and Hiroshima
(Japan) using automatic milking systems (Wu et al., 2019). In sixteen French farms, the *Aerococcus* genus was also found in milk, ambient air, air from the milking area, dust and on
teat skin (Vacheyrou, 2011).

In another study, the bacterial diversity of cows' teat skin was investigated. Among
the major phylum encountered (Firmicutes) *Aerococcus* sp., and especially *A. viridans*, were
found (Verdier-Metz et al., 2012). The microbial diversity in bovine faeces was also
investigated to evaluate their importance as microorganisms' source (Kagkli, Vancanneyt,
Hill, Vandamme, & Cogan, 2007). *Aerococcus viridans* was identified in 62 of the 76 samples
collected from one farm, and accounted for 83% of the total isolates.

421 The Aerococcus genus has been detected in raw goat milk and in Pélardon cheese, a 422 raw goat milk cheese (Penland et al., 2018). In Mexican cheeses, Aerococcus sp. was found in 423 high proportion in Cotija (a Mexican handcrafted product made from raw cow milk) and 424 Adobera-de-mesa cheeses (Murugesan et al., 2018). In Cotija cheese, this bacterium was 425 detected at the surface and in the core of the product (Chombo-Morales, Kirchmayr, 426 Gschaedler, Lugo-Cervantes, & Villanueva-Rodríguez, 2016). In this study all strains 427 belonged to Aerococcus urinaeequi. 428 Among Aerococcus species, some are known to be involved in rare human clinical 429 cases, especially A. urinae and A. sanguinicola, associated to urinary tract infections.

However, regarding species described in milk and cheese (*A. viridans/urinaeequi*), no case of
contamination and no clinical case linked to the consumption of dairy products have been
reported so far.

Because of the anti-*Salmonella* activity of *Aerococcus* strains and their natural
presence in dairy products, this genus appears to be an unexpected promising candidate to

435 control *Salmonella* in dairy products. Further investigations will be conducted to determine if
436 the production of hydrogen peroxide can potentially affect the growth of dairy starter cultures.
437

107

438 **5.** Conclusions

439

440 In this study, we developed a new agar-based screening method allowing the detection 441 of microbial antagonistic activities against Salmonella in dairy-like opaque media. It allows 442 the screening of a large number of isolates at the same time. Starting from microbial cultures 443 grown in Deepwell®, this screening method can be used to inoculate simultaneously diverse 444 opaque media incubated in different conditions, mimicking various physicochemical and 445 nutritional conditions encountered during the processing steps of a food matrix. This is of 446 particular interest for the dairy industry, as this method allows a screening adapted to different 447 steps of cheese making processes. This method may also be transferred for the screening of 448 microbial isolates against other pathogens than Salmonella.

While some isolates were able to inhibit all the tested *Salmonella* strains, the inhibition potential was found, as expected, to be essentially strain-dependent. Combinations of antagonistic isolates with various mechanisms of anti-*Salmonella* actions should be considered for insuring a protection from the beginning to the end of the cheese making process. Associations of strains displaying different inhibition mechanisms could bring a larger spectra of *Salmonella* inhibition and prevent the emergence of resistance mechanisms from the pathogens.

456 From this study, the *Aerococcus* genus, and especially *Aerococcus urinaeequi*, seems
457 to be a promising source of bioprotective strains to be used in addition to dairy starters.
458 Compared with other LAB genera, little is known about *Aerococcus*, and especially about
459 *Aerococcus* species encountered in dairy environments. Therefore, further investigations

460	dealing with antibiotic resistance, the production of biogenic amines and the presence of
461	virulence factors need to be evaluated before further consideration of their use as protective
462	cultures.
463	
464	Acknowledgements
465	
466	This work is part of the CESam project, co-funded by Normandy County Council and
467	the European Union in the framework of the ERDF-ESF operational programme 2014-2020.
468	It was also supported by a CIFRE grant from the "Association Nationale de la Recherche
469	Technique", and got the VALORIAL label.
470	
471	References
472	
473	Abraham, E. P., Chain, E., Fletcher, C. M., Gardner, A. D., Heatley, N. G., Jennings, M. A. et
474	al. (1941). Further observations on penicillin. Lancet, 238, 177-189.
475	Ben Said, L., Gaudreau, H., Dallaire, L., Tessier, M., & Fliss, I. (2019). Bioprotective culture:
476	A new generation of food additives for the preservation of food quality and safety.
477	Industrial Biotechnology, 15, 138–147.
478	Carnio, M. C., Höltzel, A., Rudolf, M., Henle, T., Jung, G., & Scherer, S. (2000). The
479	macrocyclic peptide antibiotic micrococcin P1 is secreted by the food-borne bacterium
480	Staphylococcus equorum WS 2733 and inhibits Listeria monocytogenes on soft
481	cheese. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 66, 2378–2384.
482	Casabianca, F., & Jeanneaux, P. (2020). Le lait cru: créateur de valeurs ajoutées et partagées ?
483	Colloq. Fromag. Au Lait Cru Entre Risques Bénéfices Divers. Au Cœur Débat
484	CNAOL, INAO.

- 485 Chombo-Morales, P., Kirchmayr, M., Gschaedler, A., Lugo-Cervantes, E., & Villanueva-
- 486 Rodríguez, S. (2016). Effects of controlling ripening conditions on the dynamics of the
- 487 native microbial population of Mexican artisanal Cotija cheese assessed by PCR-
- 488 DGGE. *LWT Food Science &Technology*, *65*, 1153–1161.
- 489 CLSI. 2004. Quality control for commercially prepared microbiological culture media (3rd
- 490 edn.). Wayne, PA, USA Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- 491 Daly, K. M., Upton, M., Sandiford, S. K., Draper, L. A., Wescombe, P. A., Jack, R. W., et al.
- 492 (2010). Production of the Bsa lantibiotic by community-acquired *Staphylococcus*493 *aureus* strains. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *192*, 1131–1142.
- 494 Dieuleveux, V., Van Der Pyl, D., Chataud, J., & Gueguen, M. (1998). Purification and
- 495 characterization of anti-*Listeria* compounds produced by *Geotrichum candidum*.
- 496 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *64*, 800–803.
- 497 EFSA. (2019). *The European Union One Health 2018 Zoonoses report.*
- 498 doi:https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5926.
- 499 El Kheir, S. M., Cherrat, L., Awussi, A. A., Ramia, N. E., Taha, S., Rahman, A., et al. (2018).
- 500 High-throughput identification of candidate strains for biopreservation by using
- 501 bioluminescent *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*, Article 1883.
- 502 Emi, S., Kojima, Y., & Ando, M. (1984). *Process for producing α-glycerophosphate oxidase*.
  503 US Patent No. US4463095A.
- 504 Eppert, I., Valdés-Stauber, N., Götz, H., Busse, M., & Scherer, S. (1997). Growth reduction
- 505 of *Listeria* spp. caused by undefined industrial red smear cheese cultures and
- 506 bacteriocin-producing *Brevibacterium lines* as evaluated in situ on soft cheese.
- 507 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63, 4812–4817.
- 508 Esders, T. W., & Michrina, C. A. (1979). Purification and properties of L-alpha-
- 509 glycerophosphate oxidase from *Streptococcus faecium* ATCC 12755. *Journal of*

- 510 *Biological Chemistry*, 254, 2710–2715.
- 511 Gálvez, A., Abriouel, H., López, R. L., & Omar, N. B. (2007). Bacteriocin-based strategies
  512 for food biopreservation. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *120*, 51–70.
- 513 Garnier, L., Penland, M., Thierry, A., Maillard, M.-B., Jardin, J., Coton, M., et al. (2020).
- 514 Antifungal activity of fermented dairy ingredients: Identification of antifungal
- 515 compounds. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *322*, Article 108574.
- 516 Gerez, C.L., Torres, M. J., Font de Valdez, G., & Rollán, G. (2013). Control of spoilage fungi
  517 by lactic acid bacteria. *Biological Control, 64*, 231–237.
- 518 Goerges, S., Aigner, U., Silakowski, B., & Scherer, S. (2006). Inhibition of *Listeria*
- 519 *monocytogenes* by food-borne yeasts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72,
  520 313–318.
- 521 Guichard, H., & Bonnarme, P. (2005). Development and validation of a plate technique for
  522 screening of microorganisms that produce volatile sulfur compounds. *Anals of*523 *Biochemistry*, 338, 299–305.
- 524 Hertzberger, R., Arents, J., Dekker, H. L., Pridmore, R. D., Gysler, C., Kleerebezem, M., et
- 525 al. (2014). H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production in species of the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* group: a
- 526 central role for a novel NADH-dependent flavin reductase. *Applied and*
- 527 *Environmental Microbiology*, 80, 2229–2239.
- 528 IDF. (2016). *IDF factsheet Raw milk cheeses*. Brussels, Belgium: International Dairy
  529 Federation
- 530 Jourdan-da Silva, N., Fabre, L., Robinson, E., Fournet, N., Nisavanh, A., Bruyand, M., et al.
- 531 (2018). Ongoing nationwide outbreak of *Salmonella* Agona associated with
- 532 internationally distributed infant milk products, France, December 2017.
- 533 *Eurosurveillance, 23*, Article 852.
- 534 Kagkli, D. M., Vancanneyt, M., Hill, C., Vandamme, P., & Cogan, T. M. (2007).

535	Enterococcus and Lactobacillus contamination of raw milk in a farm dairy
536	environment. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 114, 243-251.
537	Leyva Salas, M., Mounier, J., Valence, F., Coton, M., Thierry, A., & Coton, E. (2017).
538	Antifungal microbial agents for food biopreservation. A review. Microorganisms, 5,
539	Article 37.
540	Lindgren, S. E., & Dobrogosz, W. J. (1990). Antagonistic activities of lactic acid bacteria in
541	food and feed fermentations. FEMS Microbiological Reviews, 7, 149–163.
542	Liu, SQ. (2003). Practical implications of lactate and pyruvate metabolism by lactic acid
543	bacteria in food and beverage fermentations. International Journal of Food
544	Microbiology, 83, 115–131.
545	Mallet, A. (2012). Diversité microbienne des laits crus : états des lieux, réservoirs et
546	expression en transformation fromagère: exemple de Camembert de Normandie.
547	Thèse. Caen, Frabnce: Université de Caen.
548	Mansour, S., Beckerich, J. M., & Bonnarme, P. (2008). Lactate and amino acid catabolism in
549	the cheese-ripening yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. Applied and Environmental
550	Microbiology, 74, 6505–6512.
551	Matevosyan, L., Bazukyan, I., & Trchounian, A. (2019a). Comparative analysis of the effect
552	of Ca and Mg ions on antibacterial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolates and their
553	associations depending on cultivation conditions. AMB Express, 9, Article 32.
554	Matevosyan, L., Bazukyan, I., & Trchounian, A. (2019b). Antifungal and antibacterial effects
555	of newly created lactic acid bacteria associations depending on cultivation media and
556	duration of cultivation. BMC Microbiology, 19, Article 102.
557	Miceli de Farias, F., dos Santos Nascimento, J., Cabral da Silva Santos, O., & de Freire
558	Bastos, do C. M. (2019). Study of the effectiveness of staphylococcins in
559	biopreservation of Minas fresh (Frescal) cheese with a reduced sodium content.

- 560 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 304, 19–31.
- Molimard, P., & Spinnler, H. E. (1996). Compounds involved in the flavor of surface moldripened cheeses: Origins and properties. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *79*, 169–184.
- 563 Montel, M.-C., Buchin, S., Mallet, A., Delbes-Paus, C., Vuitton, D. A., Desmasures, N., et al.
- 564 (2014). Traditional cheeses: Rich and diverse microbiota with associated benefits.
  565 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *177*, 136–154.
- 566 Murugesan, S., Reyes-Mata, M. P., Nirmalkar, K., Chavez-Carbajal, A., Juárez-Hernández, J.
- 567 I., Torres-Gómez, R. E. et al. (2018). Profiling of bacterial and fungal communities of
- Mexican cheeses by high throughput DNA sequencing. *Food Research International*, *113*, 371–381.
- 570 Özcelik, S., Kuley, E., & Özogul, F. (2016). Formation of lactic, acetic, succinic, propionic,
  571 formic and butyric acid by lactic acid bacteria. *LWT Food Science & Technology, 73*,
  572 536–542.
- 573 Penland, M., Coton, M., Mounier, J., Parayre, S., Coton, E., Falentin, H., et al. (2018).
- 574 *Microbial diversity of Pélardon, an artisanal raw goat milk cheese.* Congrès national
- 575 de la Société Française de Microbiologie (SFM; p.age np 14).
- 576 Pham, N.-P., Layec, S., Dugat-Bony, E., Vidal, M., Irlinger, F., & Monnet, C. (2017).
- 577 Comparative genomic analysis of *Brevibacterium* strains: insights into key genetic
- determinants involved in adaptation to the cheese habitat. *BMC Genomics*, *18*, Article955.
- Rohmatussolihat, R., Lisdiyanti, P., Yopi, Y., Widyastuti, Y., & Sukara, E. (2018). Medium
  optimization for antimicrobial production by newly screened lactic acid bacteria.
- 582 *Annales Bogorienses*, 22, 1–11.
- Ross, R. P., Morgan, S., & Hill, C. (2002). Preservation and fermentation: past, present and
  future. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 79, 3–16.

585	Røssland, E., Langsrud, T., Granum, P. E., & Sørhaug, T. (2005). Production of antimicrobial
586	metabolites by strains of Lactobacillus or Lactococcus co-cultured with Bacillus
587	cereus in milk. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 98, 193–200.
588	Ruoff, K. L. (2011). Aerococcus, Abiotrophia, and other aerobic catalase-negative, Gram-
589	positive cocci. In J. Jorgensen, M. Pfaller, K. Carroll, G. Funke, M. Landry, S Richter,
590	et al. (Eds.), Manual of clinical microbiology (10th edn., pp. 365-376). Washington,
591	DC, USA: ASM Press.
592	Sharma, D. (2016). Biosurfactant in food. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
593	Stepanskyi, D., Kremenchutsky, G., Chuyko, V., Koshova, I., Khomiak, O., & Krushynska,
594	T. (2017). Hydrogen peroxide production activity and adhesive properties of
595	aerococci, isolated in women. Annals of the Mechnikov Institute, 2, 53-56.
596	Stiles, M. E. (1996). Biopreservation by lactic acid bacteria. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek, 70,
597	331–345.
598	Streitenberger, S., López-Mas, J., Sánchez-Ferrer, A., & García-Carmona, F. (2001). Highly
599	efficient Aerococcus viridans L-a-glycerophosphate oxidase production in the
600	presence of H2O2-decomposing agent: purification and kinetic characterization.
601	Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 57, 329–333.
602	Strus, M., Brzychczy-Włoch, M., Gosiewski, T., Kochan, P., & Heczko, P. B. (2006). The in
603	vitro effect of hydrogen peroxide on vaginal microbial communities. FEMS
604	Immunology and Medical Microbiology, 48, 56–63.
605	Šůchová, M., Demnerová, K., Bond, K., & Králová, B. (1992). Production of l-α-
606	glycerophosphate oxidase by lactic acid bacteria. Enzyme & Microbial Technology,
607	14, 917–922.
608	Tohno, M., Kitahara, M., Matsuyama, S., Kimura, K., Ohkuma, M., & Tajima, K. (2014).
609	Aerococcus vaginalis sp. nov., isolated from the vaginal mucosa of a beef cow, and

610	emended descriptions of Aerococcus suis, Aerococcus viridans, Aerococcus
611	urinaeequi, Aerococcus urinaehominis, Aerococcus urinae, Aerococcus christensenii
612	and Aerococcus sanguinicola. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary
613	Microbiology, 64, 1229–1236.
614	Vacheyrou, M. (2011). Cultivable microbial communities in raw cow milk and potential
615	transfers from stables of sixteen French farms.
616	https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0168160511001139?token=49998D16A356
617	926F254C806C4EAD7CD33FA720C518B666B3B9C7CB91093A9D16B2762E4427
618	8FC06392177D342B4DE1DF. Accessed December 12, 2019.
619	Verdier-Metz, I., Gagne, G., Bornes, S., Monsallier, F., Veisseire, P., Delbès-Paus, C., et al.
620	(2012). Cow teat skin, a potential source of diverse microbial populations for cheese
621	production. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 78, 326–333.
622	Walter, V., Syldatk, C., & Hausmann, R. (2010). Screening concepts for the isolation of
623	biosurfactant producing microorganisms. In R. Sen (Ed.), Advances in experimental
624	medicine and biology (Vol. 672, pp. 1–13). New York, NY, USA: Springer.
625	Wu, H., Nguyen, Q. D., Tran, T. T. M., Tang, M. T., Tsuruta, T., & Nishino, N. (2019).
626	Rumen fluid, feces, milk, water, feed, airborne dust, and bedding microbiota in dairy
627	farms managed by automatic milking systems. Animal Science Journal, 90, 445-452.
628	Yanze Kontchou, C., & Blondeau, R. (1990). Isolation and characterization of hydrogen
629	peroxide producing Aerococcus sp. from soil samples. FEMS Microbiology Letters,
630	68, 323–328.
621	

### Figure legend

**Fig. 1.** Miniaturised screening method developed in the current study; the cylinder represents one well of a 96-well microplate.



Figure 1

### Table 1

Salmonella enterica ssp. enterica strains used in this study.

Serotype	Strain number	Origin
	UCMA 17913	Milk
Mbandaka	UCMA 19835	Manure
	UCMA 19837	Raw milk
	UCMA 19842	Raw milk
	UCMA 19851	Plastic around fodder
	UCMA 19892	Faeces
	UCMA 19906	Feeding area
	UCMA 19909	Raw milk
	UCMA 19917	Raw milk
	UCMA 20264	Milk
	CIP 105.859	Faeces
Montevideo	UCMA 19832	Raw milk
	UCMA 19834	Manure
	UCMA 19840	Water
	UCMA 19867	Faeces
	UCMA 19870	Puddle
	UCMA 19876	Feeding mix
	UCMA 19889	Faeces
	UCMA 19904	Tractor wheel
	UCMA 20260	Raw milk
	UCMA 20266	Milk
	CIP 104.583	Monkey

#### Table 2

Antagonistic activities of the 1450 screened dairy isolates towards two Salmonella reference strains.<sup>a</sup>

Presumed genus	Number	Number (%) of antagonistic isolates							
	of	Salmonella Mbandaka			Salmonella Montevideo				
	screened	CIP 105.859	CIP 105.859			CIP 104.583			
	isolates	BHI	Milk	Cheese	BHI	Milk	Cheese		
Aerococcus	31	19 (61.3)	17 (54.8)	20 (64.5)	14 (45.2)	19 (61.2)	18 (58.1)		
Alcaligenes	3	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0	2 (66.6)	1 (33.3)	0		
Candida	9	6 (66)	1 (11.1)	0	3 (33.3)	1 (11.1)	0		
Enterococcus	30	1 (3.3)	4 (13.3)	3 (10)	0	7 (23.3)	8 (26.6)		
Geotrichum	4	2 (50)	0	2 (50)	2 (50)	0	0		
Hafnia	8	1 (12.5)	0	0	1 (12.5)	0	0		
Kluyveromyces	9	2 (22.2)	0	1 (11.1)	2 (22.2)	0	0		
Lactobacillus	322	4 (1.2)	14 (4.3)	9 (2.7)	3 (0.93)	11 (3.4)	8 (2.4)		
Lactococcus	536	19 (3.5)	57 (10.6)	59 (11)	12 (2.2)	70 (13.1)	66 (12.3)		
Leuconostoc	102	1 (0.9)	14 (13.7)	16 (15.6)	0	8 (7.8)	20 (19.6)		
Pediococcus	3	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)		
Pichia	3	0	0	0	0	1 (33.3)	0		
Pseudomonas	34	3 (8.8)	4 (11.7)	1 (2.9)	2 (5.8)	2 (5.8)	1 (2.9)		
Raoultella	4	2 (50)	1 (25)	0	0	1 (25)	0		
Staphylococcus	96	4 (4.1)	0	1 (1.1)	4 (4.1)	2 (2.1)	3 (3.3)		
Streptococcus	10	1 (10)	1 (10)	1 (10)	0	3 (30)	1 (10)		
Weissella	8	0	0	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	0	2 (25)		
Yarrowia	3	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0		
No identification	66	5 (7.5)	3 (4.5)	3 (4.5)	7 (10.6)	7 (10.6)	4 (6.1)		
Other isolates	169	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total isolates	1450	73 (5.0)	119 (8.2)	118 (8.1)	55 (3.8)	135 (9.3)	132 (9.1)		

<sup>a</sup> Other isolates were: Acinetobacter (7), Arthrobacter (17), Brachybacerium (3), Brevibacillus (1), Brevibacterium (12), Carnobacterium (6), Corynebacterium (23), Cryptococcus (1), Curtobacterium (1), Cutaneotrichosporon (1), Debaryomyces (2), Dietzia (1), Escherichia (1), Ewingella (1), Exiguobacterium (1), Galactomyces (2), Gordonia (1), Halomonas (1), Jeotgalicoccus (9), Kocuria (10), Leifsonia (2), Leucobacter (8), Macrococcus (11), Microbacterium (13), Microcaccaceae (4), Micrococcus (3), Obesumbacterium (2), Ochrobactrum (3), Pantoea (1), Pseudoclavibacter (2), Psychrobacter (8), Renibacterium (1), Rhodococcus (4), Rothia (2), Stenotrophomonas (5), and Trichosporon (1).

#### Table 3

Dairy isolates exhibiting inhibitory activity towards field *Salmonella* strains in milk medium and/or cheese medium and characterisation of the inhibition mechanism associated to cell-free supernatant from MSM cultures. <sup>a</sup>

UCMASt rain	Identity	Percentage of field Salmonella isolates inhibited		Selected isolate	Salmonella Mbandaka growth inhibition (%) by cell- free supernatant			
number		Milk	Cheese		Non-treated	Neutralised	Catalase- treated	Pronase- treated
1676	Leuconostoc citreum	90	25	Yes	99	0	0	0
3915	Raoultella planticola	95	35	Yes	99	0	0	0
4132	Alcaligenes faecalis	95	0	Yes	0	0	0	0
4441	Lactococcus lactis	80	30	Yes	61	0	0	0
5972	Aerococcus urinaeeaui	85	20	Yes	99	97	0	99
13205	Lactococcus lactis	90	75	Yes	98	0	0	0
18022	Lactococcus lactis	85	40	Yes	99	0	0	0
18039	Aerococcus urinaeequi	85	65	Yes	99	98	0	99
1049	Lactococcus lactis	75	80	Yes	-	-	-	-
1495	Lactococcus lactis	30	90	Yes	-	-	-	-
4512	Lactococcus raffinolactis	45	85	Yes	-	-	-	-
6472	Lactococcus lactis	55	90	Yes	-	-	-	-
6731	Aerococcus urinaeequi	40	90	Yes	-	-	-	-
6761	Aerococcus urinaeequi	30	95	Yes	-	-	-	-
7402	Pseudomonas lundensis	55	100	Yes	-	-	-	-
9110	Lactococcus lactis	5	85	Yes	-	-	-	-
18010	Aerococcus urinaeequi	70	85	Yes	-	-	-	-
21104	Lactococcus raffinolactis	60	85	Yes	-	-	-	-
21105	Streptococcus parauberis	15	100	Yes	-	-	-	-
6078	Aerococcus urinaeequi	85	95	Yes	98	98	0	99
1151	Lactococcus lactis	80	100	Yes	100	0	0	0
1044	Lactococcus lactis	100	100	Yes	98	0	0	0
1053	Lactococcus lactis	95	90	Yes	99	0	0	0
1068	Lactococcus lactis	90	90	Yes	99	0	0	0
1594	Lactococcus lactis	80	90	Yes	99	0	0	0
4571	Lactococcus lactis	90	95	Yes	80	0	0	0
6360	Lactococcus lactis	85	80	Yes	99	0	0	0
8483	Lactococcus lactis	95	95	Yes	99	0	0	0
8501	Lactococcus lactis	90	100	Yes	99	0	0	0
9863	Lactococcus lactis	90	95	Yes	68	0	0	0
18036	Aerococcus urinaeequi	90	85	Yes	99	99	0	99
3066	Lactobacillus plantarum	0	0	No	-	-	-	-
4459	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	60	60	No	-	-	-	-
4460	Leuconostoc mesenteroides	60	55	No	-	-	-	-
4514	Staphylococcus xylosus	35	45	No	_	-	_	-
4515	Weissella hellenica	55	10	No	_	_	_	_
4546	Lauconostoc masenteroides	35	25	No				
4025	Leuconosioc mesenteroides	55	25	No.	-	-	-	-
4935	Lactococcus tactis	0	15	INO N	-	-	-	-
5042	Lactococcus lactis	5	40	No	-	-	-	-
5744	Aerococcus urinaeequi	75	65	No	-	-	-	-
7340	Lactococcus lactis	75	55	No	-	-	-	-
11210	Yarrowia lipolytica	0	15	No	-	-	-	-
11417	Candida anglica	25	0	No	-	-	-	-
12627	Raoultella planticola	65	15	No	-	-	-	-
12716	Hafnia alvei	5	0	No	-	-	_	-
18046	Aerococcus uringeegui	50	55	No	_	-	_	_
21106	Enterococcus faecalis	35	15	No	_	_	_	_
21100	Lastopopous lastia	55 75	75	No	-	-	-	-
21107		15	15	INO Na	-	-	-	-
21108	streptococcus gallolyticus	40	20	INO	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> Isolate selected when able to inhibit at least 80% of field Salmonella strains - Non tested condition.