

Taxonomy and ecology of sympatric Ampelisca species (crustacea, amphipoda) from the strait of Gibraltar to the strait of Dover, North-Eastern Atlantic

Jean-Claude Dauvin, Leandro Sampaio, Ana Maria Rodrigues, Victor

Quintino

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Claude Dauvin, Leandro Sampaio, Ana Maria Rodrigues, Victor Quintino. Taxonomy and ecology of sympatric Ampelisca species (crustacea, amphipoda) from the strait of Gibraltar to the strait of Dover, North-Eastern Atlantic. Frontiers in Marine Science, 2021, 8, pp.643078. 10.3389/fmars.2021.643078. hal-03172092

HAL Id: hal-03172092 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-03172092

Submitted on 17 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Taxonomy and Ecology of Sympatric Ampelisca Species (Crustacea, Amphipoda) From the Strait of Gibraltar to the Strait of Dover, North-Eastern Atlantic

Jean-Claude Dauvin^{1*}, Leandro Sampaio^{2,3,4}, Ana Maria Rodrigues² and Victor Quintino²

¹ Normandie Univ., UNICAEN, Université de Caen Normandie, CNRS, Laboratoire Morphodynamique Continentale et Côtière, UMR 6143 M2C, Caen, France, ² Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies (CESAM), Department of Biology, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal, ³ CIIMAR Interdisciplinary Centre of Marine and Environmental Research, University of Porto, Matosinhos, Portugal, ⁴ Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Juan Moreira Da Rocha, Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain

Reviewed by:

Ignacio Winfield, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico Xiaoshou Liu, Ocean University of China, China

> *Correspondence: Jean-Claude Dauvin jean-claude.dauvin@unicaen.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Marine Evolutionary Biology, Biogeography and Species Diversity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

> Received: 17 December 2020 Accepted: 09 February 2021 Published: 15 March 2021

Citation:

Dauvin J-C, Sampaio L, Rodrigues AM and Quintino V (2021) Taxonomy and Ecology of Sympatric Ampelisca Species (Crustacea, Amphipoda) From the Strait of Gibraltar to the Strait of Dover, North-Eastern Atlantic. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:643078. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.643078 The Ampeliscidae Kröyer, 1842 is amongst the most diverse amphipod families; it comprises four genera, Ampelisca being the richest with more than 200 species. The Ampelisca genus presents high morphological homogeneity and the identification of the species by ecologists remains difficult. Ampelisca are also characterized by a high degree of sympatry, a rare situation in amphipods, and in this study we report up to nine species coexisting at the same site. Recent benthic sampling and publications, namely on the Portuguese continental shelf and the English Channel, permit to revisit the available data on the taxonomy and propose an updated species identification key, as well as the distribution and ecology of the 40-recorded Ampelisca species along the North Eastern Atlantic coast, from the Strait of Gibraltar, in the South, to the Strait of Dover, in the North. The data allow discussing on the sympatry and syntopy of such diverse amphipod family with the co-occurrence of several species at various scales of observations, from the wider regional area, to the narrower local habitat. Two Ampelisca species were recorded exclusively on hard bottom, while the other tend to inhabit specific types of soft bottom, ranging from deep mud to shallow coarse sand and gravel, with a preference for continental shelf muddy and sandy habitats. A future sea water temperature increase scenario could modify the species geographical distribution and reproductive cycle, in this temperate North-eastern Atlantic province.

Keywords: North-Eastern Atlantic, Ampeliscidae, key of species, distribution, abundance, bio-geographical gradient, co-occurrence

INTRODUCTION

The Ampeliscidae Kröyer, 1842 is one of the most diverse amphipod families, together with the Gammaridae Leach, 1814 and the Lysianassidae Lana, 1849. Ampeliscidae is composed of four genera comprising more than 300 species, with 9 species of *Byblisoides*, 27 species of *Haploops*, 75 species of *Byblis* and 203 species of *Ampelisca* recorded on the World Register of Marine Species [http://www.marinespecies.org/; accessed on 16th October 2020). The Ampeliscidae family

accounts nowadays for 314 species, representing 3.0% of the described amphipod species, 10,320 in total (Horton et al., 2021)]. New species for this family continue to be annually described. Between 2000 and 2020, 3 *Byblisoides*, 14 *Byblis*, 9 *Haploops*, and 32 *Ampelisca* new to science were described, corresponding to 18% of the known species of this family.

Numerous data and reviews on the Ampeliscidae (see Bellan-Santini, 1982, 1983; Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1985, 1986, 1988, 1996, 2000, 2002; Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988a,b, 1989, 1997; Dauvin, 1996) permitted to differentiate the general distribution patterns of the genera, *Byblisoides, Byblis* and *Haploops* being mainly deep- and cold-water genera and *Ampelisca* more tropical and sub-tropical and shallow water genus. Nevertheless, dense *Haploops* populations have been reported in South Brittany shallow waters, Northern part of the Bay of Biscay (Rigolet et al., 2012, 2014), while dense *Ampelisca* populations occurred in North Brittany at the entrance of the English Channel (Dauvin, 1988a,b,c,d, 1989).

Given the Ampelisca high morphological homogeneity, the taxonomic identification of specimen to the species level remains difficult to ecologists, in spite of the existence of illustrated keys (Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1988). As a consequence, most ecological studies tend to report only the presence of Ampelisca sp. or Ampelisca spp., without detailing the species. This difficulty arises in part from poorly detailed descriptions accompanying the reporting of new Ampelisca species, most being known only from the type locality and represented by very few specimens. Other difficulties include the existence of cryptic species, such as Ampelisca brevicornis sensu lato and the discovery of several species for this large cosmopolitan species, i.e., A. cavicoxa Reid, 1951 and A. pectenata Reid, 1951 for the North-Eastern Atlantic (Kaim-Malka, 2000) or more recently the description of A. troncosoi Tato et al. (2012) from Galicia, Spain, previously miss-confused with A. heterodactylta (Schellenberg, 1925; Tato et al., 2012). Additionally, the high level of taxonomic expertise and the long time required to identify the Ampelisca to species level, especially for large sample collections, may not always be compatible with numerous ecological work. Apart from the high diversity of the Ampelisca, another particularity of this genus is to present a high degree of sympatry, not only at the regional and local scales, but also at the scale of the grab replicate sample (Dauvin et al., 1993). The Ampelisca species tend to inhabit distinct types of substratum. The genus is mostly present on soft bottom, from deep mud to shallow coarse sand and gravel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988a,b; Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1989) and only very few species are found on hard bottom (i.e., A. rubella A. Costa, 1864 or A. lusitanica Bellan-Santini and Marques, 1986).

Recent benthic sampling campaigns on the Portuguese continental shelf (Martins et al., 2012, 2013a,b, 2014; Sampaio et al., 2016) and in the English Channel, i.e., in the Rade de Cherbourg (Baux et al., 2017; Andres et al., 2020) and in the Bay of Seine (Alizier, 2011), permit to revisit the available data on the taxonomy, distribution and ecology of the *Ampelisca* species along the North Eastern Atlantic, from the Strait of Gibraltar, in the South to the Strait of Dover, in the North.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling Sites and Recently Available Data

Portuguese Continental Shelf

A total of 326 sites were visited during sampling campaigns with a 0.1 m² Smith McIntyre grab (one grab per site) conducted on the entire Portuguese continental shelf from Caminha on the Northwest, to Vila Real de Santo António on the Southeast (Martins et al., 2012, 2013a,b, 2014; Sampaio et al., 2016). The shallow and mid depth north-western shelf and areas located close to the major submarine canyons are characterized by coarser sediments with low fines and organic matter content, whereas the south-western and the deep north-western shelf are dominated by fine sands with moderate fines and organic matter content. The western part of the southern shelf is very heterogeneous while muds predominate off the major Portuguese rivers, the Tagus (Lisbon) and the Douro (Porto) on the west and the Guadiana (Vila Real Santo de António), on the south coast (see namely Cardoso et al., 2019).

English Channel

New data was obtained mainly from the Rade the Cherbourg and the Bay of Seine. The Rade de Cherbourg is Europe's largest roadstead, extending over a total area of 15 km^2 , with a maximum depth $\sim 20 \text{ m}$, and a mean depth of $\sim 13 \text{ m}$. The macrofauna was sampled with a 0.1 m² Van Veen grab (three replicates per site) for different studies from 2012 to 2018 on the four sediment facies of the Rade and in surrounding bays in the North Cotentin for a total of 61 sites (Baux et al., 2017; Andres et al., 2020). The eastern part of the Bay of Seine (eastern part of the English Channel) and the lower part of the Seine estuary cover an area of $\sim 400 \text{ km}^2$, with a maximum depth of $\sim 20 \text{ m}$. Macrofauna was sampled in 2008–2009 (Alizier, 2011) and in 2016–2017 (Baux, 2018) for a total of about 100 sites with a 0.1 m² Van Veen grab (three to five replicates per site).

Geographical Distribution

The coast along the North Eastern Atlantic coast, from the Strait of Gibraltar, in the South, to the Strait of Dover, in the North, was divided in eight zones corresponding to the available data on the *Ampelisca* distribution, i.e., South Spain (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); Portugal (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); South-Eastern Bay of Biscay (Bachelet et al., 2003); South Brittany (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); Western part of the English Channel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); Western part of the English Channel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b); Jauvin, 1999; Le Mao, 2006) and Eastern part of the English Channel North Cotentin (Dauvin, 1999; Alizier, 2011; Baux et al., 2017; Andres et al., 2020).

According to their occurrences, the species were classified in three categories, (1) rare, corresponding to species recorded at up to 10 sites, (2) common, corresponding to species recorded in numerous soft-bottom sites mainly from muddy to sandy sediment, and (3) very common, for species recorded in most of the sampled soft-bottom TABLE 1 | Latitudinal distribution of Ampelisca from the Strait of Gibraltar (south Atlantic coast of Spain) to the Strait of Dover.

Species	South Spain	Portugal	Galicia	SE Bay of Biscay	South Brittany	Iroise Sea	Western EC	Eastern EC
A. aequicornis Bruzelius, 1859				+	+	+		
A. anophthalma Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A. amblyops Sars, 1895				+				
A. anomala Sars, 1883				+	+			
A. armoricana Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1981		+		+	+	+	+	
A. brevicornis Costa, 1853	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
A. calypsonis Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A. cavicoxa Reid, 1951				+				
<i>A. dalmatina</i> Karaman, 1975	+	+						
A. declivitatis Mills, 1967				+				
A. diadema Costa, 1853	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
A. eschrichtii Krøyer, 1842					+			
A. gibba Sars, 1883	+	+		+	+	+		
A. heterodactyla Schellenberg, 1925		+		+				
A. latifrons Schellenberg, 1925		+						
A. lusitanica Bellan-Santini and Marques, 1986		+						
A. massiliensis Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A. multispinosa Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A.odontoplax G. O. Sars, 1879				+				
A.parabyblisoides Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996				+				
A. pectenata Reid, 1951		+		+	+	+	+	+
A. provincialis Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A. pseudosarsi Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A.pseudospinima Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A. pusilla Sars, 1895				+				
<i>A. remora</i> Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1986		+	+					
A. rubella A. Costa, 1864	+	+		+				
A. ruffoi Bellan-Santini and Kaim-Malka, 1977	+	+						
A. sarsi Chevreux, 1888	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
A. serraticaudata Chevreux, 1888	+	+	+				·	
<i>A. sorbei</i> Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996				+				
A. spinifer Reid, 1951	+	+	+	+	+	+		
A. spinimana Chevreux, 1900	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	
A. spinipes Boeck, 1861	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>A. tenuicornis</i> Lilljeborg, 1855	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
A. troncosoi Tato et al., 2012				+	1	I	1	I
A. toulemonti Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1982				,	+	+	+	+
A. typica Bate, 1856	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
A. uncinata Chevreux, 1887			'	+	,	I	'	I
A. verga Reid, 1951		+		I				
Total	19	27	10	24	15	13	10	7

South Spain: Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b); Portugal: Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b); Sampaio et al. (2016); Galicia: Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b); SE Bay of Biscay: Bachelet et al. (2003); South Brittany: Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b); Iroise Sea: Dauvin and Toulemont (1988); Western part of the English Channel: Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b), Javin (1999), Alizier (2011), Baux et al. (2017) and Andres et al. (2020).

communities from the Strait of Gibraltar to the Dover Strait and at a large range of sediment types, from muddy to gravely sediment.

A Jaccard similarity matrix among the samples was obtained and exploited by cluster analysis using the average clustering algorithm and by ordination analysis, using non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS). The Jaccard Similarity Coefficient is most appropriate to analyze our data (presence of species in geographical areas, **Table 1**), because it is precisely devoted to study the similarity between samples solely on the presence-absence of the species. Other presence-absence similarity coefficients could be used, but the Jaccard Coefficient is a classic choice, possibly one of the most used in Ecology. Moreover, the Jaccard similarity between two samples also has a very straightforward interpretation, representing the proportion of the common species to the two samples. An ordination analysis was also performed using principal components analysis (PCA), following a Hellinger transformation, this analysis allowing the joint plot of the samples (the geographical locations) and the associated species. All multivariate analysis were performed with PRIMER v.6 (Clarke and Gorley, 2006).

RESULTS

Taxonomy

A total of 40 species were recorded along the North-eastern Atlantic coast from the Strait of Gibraltar to the Strait of Dover (Appendix A in Supplementary Material). Since the publication of the Ampelisca taxonomic key from the northeastern Atlantic by Dauvin and Bellan-Santini (1988), the number of species known to this area has increased. Two species, A. declivitatis and A. macrocephala, were added to the list of recorded species in this area (see namely Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996) and four new species were described for science (Ampelisca cavicoxa, A. parabyblisoides, A. sorbei, and A. troncosoi). The following taxonomic key includes these additions. A1 corresponds to the first pair of antennae, A2 to the second pairs and P7 to the pereiopod 7. The main morphological characters which served to the identification of Ampelisca species and used in the following key are indicated in Figure 1.

1. Dorsal sucker-like structure on pleon segment 1
1. Without dorsal sucker-like structure on pleon
segment 1
2. Without corneal lenses
2. With corneal lenses 11
3. P7, with a large posterior lobe on merus
merus
4. Epimera l plate 3 with a tooth5
4. Epimera 1 plate 3 without tooth
5. Uropod 2 rami with a long subtermina l spine
A. odontoplax
5. Uropod 2 rami without long subterminal
spine
6. Uropod 2, rami with few short spines, telson dorsal surface
with spines A. amblyops
7. P7 basis, margin distally excavate <i>A. heterodactyla</i>
7. P7 basis, margin rounded
8. A1 length > head + 3 anterior segments of
pereon
8. A1 length $<$ head $+$ 3 anterior segments of
pereon




FIGURE 1 Main morphological characters used in the female *Ampelisca* key. 1. Head shape. 2. Corneal lenses. 3. Length of the peduncle of the first pairs of antennae. 4. Length of the first pairs of antennae vs. length of the peduncle of the second pairs of antennae. 5. Length of the first pair of antennae. 6. Length of the second pairs of antennae. 7. Shape of the coxal plates. 8. Length of the pereiopod 3–4 dactylus. 9. Shape and length of the pereiopod 7 dactylus. 10. Posterior lobe on merus. 11. Shape of the basal of the pereiopod 7 and presence/absence of spines. 12. Form of the carina of the urosome 1. 13. Form of the telson and absence of presence of dorsal spines and setae. 14. Length of the rami of the uropod 3. 15. Postero distal form of the epimeral 2 and 3.

9. A1, first two articles of peduncle equal in length; first segment of urosome with a slight carina
9. Al, article 2 of peduncle > article 1;
first segment of urosome virtually without
carinaA. anophthalma
10. Al = A2
A. pusilla
10. Al < ped A2
A. parabyblisoides
11. Only one pair of corneal lenses, head with a rostrum,
antennae short A. troncosoi
11. Two pairs of corneal lenses 12
12. P7, basis outer surface with numerous
spines, urosome 1 with a peak-ended
keel
12. P7, basis outer surface without spines, urosome 1
different 13
13. Blots of black pigment behind corneal lenses; P7,
ischial to dactylus cylindrical
13. Head without blots of black pigment, P7 different 14
14. P7 merus with a large posterior lobe 15
14. P7 merus without large posterior lobe
15. Head with anterior margins not parallel, Urosome seg. 1
with a pronounced angular keel
A. gibba
15. Head with antero-superior and antero-inferior margins

15. Head with antero-superior and antero-inferior margins parallel 16

16. Epimeral plate 3, posterior margin bisinuous, po distal angle with a large tooth	ostero-
A. brevia	cornis
16. Epimeral plate 3, posterior margin sinuous, posterior	distal
angle with a small or moderate tooth	17
17. Urosome seg. 1 with a cockscomb	
keelA. pect	
17. Urosome seg. 1 with a small c	
	vicoxa
18. Head, anterior half narrow	19
20. Head different	20
19. A 2 shorter than body length; P3-4, dactylus = car	-
propodus 19. A2 more longer than body length; P3-4, dactylus > 0	A. sarsi
+ propodus A. pseud 20. Head broad, anterior edge truncate	21
20 Head different	22
21. A2 < body length; without distinguished A. lat	
21. A2= body length; carina high and rounded <i>A. provi</i>	
	23
22. Uropode 3, inner ramus tapered not denticula	
serrulate	
23. P7, merus not prolonged anteriorly in peg-shape	
A. serratic	
23. P7, merus prolonged anteriorly in large peg-shape	24
24 A1 subegal to A2 A. unide	entata
24. A1 shorter than A2 A. lusit	anica
25 A2 > body	•••••
4	
25. A2 < body	
25. A2 < body	26
25. A2 < body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s)	26 27
 25. A2 < body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 	26 27 28
 25. A2 < body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal 	26 27 28 spines
 25. A2 < body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior marginal 	26 27 28 spines nargin
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior m notched A. esch	26 27 28 spines nargin <i>hrichtii</i>
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior m notched A. esch 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior m notched A. esch 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded A. macrocode	26 27 28 spines nargin hrichtii rminal ephala
25. A2 < body	26 27 28 spines nargin <i>hrichtii</i> rminal ephala 29
25. A2 < body	26 27 28 spines nargin <i>hrichtii</i> rminal ephala 29 35
25. A2 < body	
25. A2 < body	
25. A2 < body	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior n notched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded A. macrocod 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 29. A2 shorter than body length 20. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur	26 27 28 spines nargin hrichtii rminal ephala 29 35 30 32 opode small
25. A2 < body	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior motched A. esch 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subterspine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded A. esch 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. 29. A2 longer than body length 29. 29. A2 longer than body length 30. 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines A. multisp 30. Urosome 1 with small rounded carina. Uropode 2 rami regularly fringed with small spines 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A.	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior motched A. esci 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior motched A. esci 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 29. A2 longer than body length 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines A. 30. Urosome 1 with small rounded carina. Uropode 2 rat regularly fringed with small spines 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 31. A1 slightly longer than A2 peduncle A. 32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero margin broadly round A. tenuicornis	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. esci 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. esci 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 29. A2 shorter than body length 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines A. 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero margin broadly round A. tenuicornis 32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle .	26 27 28 spines nargin hrichtii rminal ephala 29 35 30 32 opode small binosa mi not 31 ruffoi imana -distal 33
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subterspine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 29. A2 longer than body length 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle 32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero margin broadly round A. tenuicornis 32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle. 33. Al slightly longer than A2 peduncle.	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 29. A2 longer than body length 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines A. 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero margin broadly round 32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle. A. tenuicornis 32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle A. dia	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded A. macrocol 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle 32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero margin broadly round A. tenuicornis 32. A1 longer than A 2 peduncle 33. A1 slightly longer than A2 peduncle. Epimeral prounded 33. A1 slightly longer than A2 peduncle. Epimeral prounded 33. A1 longer	
25. A2 body 26. Uropode 2 bearing long spine(s) 26. Uropode 2 bearing only short spines 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with long marginal increasing in length distally; P7, carpus anterior monotched A. escl 27. Uropode 2, outer ramus with single long subter spine; P7, carpus anterior margin rounded 28. Uropode 2 fringed with numerous small spines 28. Uropode 2 with few small spines 29. A2 longer than body length 29. A2 longer than body length 30. Urosome 1 with high carina dorsally bisinuate. Ur 2 rami fringed regularly on both sides by rows of spines A. 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 31. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. 32. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle. Head with antero margin broadly round 32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle. A. tenuicornis 32. A1 longer than A2 peduncle A. dia	

34. A2 shorter than half length of body. Epimeral 2 posterodistal angle with a small tooth A. armoricana 34. A2 longer than half length of body. Epimeral 2 posterodistal angle rounded A. spinipes 35. P3-4 dactylus shorter than carpus + propodus 36 35. P3-4 dactylus longer than carpus + propodus 37 36. Epimeral plate 2 postero-distal angle with a distinct tooth A. verga 36. Epimeral 2 postero-distal plate angle rounded A. aequicornis 37. Gnathopode 1 with large spines on palm A. spinimana 38. Urosome seg. 1 with prominent carina 39 38. Urosome seg. 1 with moderate carina 41 Telson 30 A1 longer than half A2. dorsal surface inermous. Epimera 1 plate 2 rounded A. anomala 39. A1 shorter than half A2. Telson dorsal surface with spines. Epimera l plate 2, posterodistal corner angle a small tooth 40 40. Urosome seg. 1 with pronounced angular carina. A1 shorter than A2 peduncle A. typica 40. Urosome seg. 1 with a raiser high dorsal carina, posterior edge overflowing. A1 slightly longer than A2

peduncle *A. toulemonti* 41. A1 equal to A2 length 42 41. A1 shorter than A2, Urosome seg. 1 with a high rounded

carina *A. massiliensis* 42. A1 and A2 longer than body length. P7 merus prolonged

anteriorly in peg-shape covering a part of carpus *A. calypsonis*

42. A1 and A2 nearly equal to body length. P7 merus without lobe *A. dalmatina.*

DISTRIBUTION

A total of 40 *Ampelisca* species are currently known from the study area, comprehending the North-eastern Atlantic coast between the Strait of Gibraltar, in Southern Spain, to the Strait of Dover, in the Eastern part of the English Channel (**Figure 2**). The presence of these 40 species were reported to eight geographical locations, indicated in **Table 1**, following the studies consulted and mentioned in Appendix A in **Supplementary Material**.

The number of species varied from a maximum of 27 along the Portuguese coast, to a minimum of 7, in the eastern part of the English Channel. The second richest area was the southern part of the Bay of Biscay with 24 species, including eight deep-water species. There was a clear reduction of the number of species from the south to the north and undoubtedly a lack of data for two areas: the southern Atlantic coast of Spain and Galicia.

Five species were present in all areas: A. brevicornis, A. diadema, A. spinipes, A. tenuicornis, and A. typica. A. pectenata showed also a large distribution but was absent in Galicia and Southern Spain. Twelve species were recorded only in the southern part of the study area; most were Mediterranean and recorded in the Atlantic up to the southern coast of Portugal, in Algarve (Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991, 1993; Sampaio et al., 2016): A. anophthalma, A. calypsonis, A. dalmatina, A. latifrons,



FIGURE 2 | North-eastern Atlantic Coast from the Strait of Gibraltar, South, to the Strait of Dover, North, showing the eight geographical locations under study for the distribution of *Ampelisca* species. The bold number correspond to the number of *Ampelisca* species found in the eight areas; the species name and numbers correspond to the maximal abundances per m² in different sites.

A. lusitanica, A. massiliensis, A. multispinosa, A. provincialis, A. pseudosarsi, A; pseudospinima, A. ruffoi and *A. verga.*

Ampelisca aequicornis was present only in the Bay of Biscay.

The Southern species were distributed in the northern areas up to Galicia such as *A. serraticaudata* or the southern part of the Bay of Biscay such as *A. heterodactyla, A. rubella* and *A. serraticaudata. Ampelisca gibba* was absent in the English Channel probably due to the absence of a mud habitat in this megatidal sea due to high hydrodynamics and dominance of coarse sediments. Three species, *A. armoricana, A. sarsi, A. spinimana* were absent in the eastern part of the English Channel. Five species showed a limited spatial distribution, possibly due to lack of data or because they were recently described: *A. caxicoxa, A. remora, A. sorbei, A. troncosoi* and *A. toulemonti.*

Eight deep-water species were only reported in the bathyal part of the Bay of Biscay, possibly because of the deeper sampling solely performed in this study location: *A. amblyops, A. anomala, A; declivitatis, A. eschrichtii, A. parabyblisoides, A. odontoplax, A. pusilla* and *A. uncinata.*

Among the new observations in the study area, *Ampelisca verga*, a West African species originally described as a variety of *A. aequicornis* by Reid (1951, in Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1985)

off Dakar, Senegal, was recorded in the Algarve coast, southern Portugal, setting a new northern distribution limit for this species (Sampaio et al., 2016). *Ampelisca toulemonti*, described from one female coming from the Iroise Sea (Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1982), was recorded in the eastern part of the Rade de Cherbourg in the North Cotentin, setting the eastern most location of this species in the English Channel (Andres et al., 2020).

Among the 40 species (Appendix A in **Supplementary Material**), 17 were found only on the Continental Shelf, 16 were recorded both on the Continental Shelf and the Continental slope at depths up to 510 m. Seven species were strictly bathyal with a maximum sampling depth of 1,097 m.

A data matrix representing **Table 1**, 40 species \times 8 locations, was analyzed to study the faunal resemblance among the samples representing the geographical locations.

The dendogram (**Figure 3**) showed high similarity of the fauna between the Iroise Sea and South Brittany, and between Southern Spain and Portugal. This cluster analysis showed two main groups, which were split at a similarity level of 35%, the southern group, including Southern Spain and Portugal, from the northern group, with the other locations. Within this group, at a level of 40% the South Eastern Bay of Biscay was separated, and at 50% Galicia was also separated from the other northern locations.

The nMDS (Figure 2) showed the opposition, along the horizontal axis, of the most northern and southern groups. The central locations (Bay of Biscay and Galicia) appeared in a transition position along this axis, between the most southern and northern. The vertical axis isolated the Bay of Biscay. A similar ordination solution was shown by the PCA analysis (Figure 4), with the succession along the horizontal axis, from left to right, of the most northern to the most southern locations, with the separation on the positive pole of axis 2 of the samples from Bay of Biscay. This analysis also depicted the species, as vectors. The southern group (South Spain and Portugal) was characterized by 11 species, most of them having a Mediterranean distribution. The Western and the Eastern English Channel, the Iroise Sea and South Brittany areas were characterized by seven species, largely distributed along the south/north gradient and present in the northern areas. The south eastern Bay of Biscay was characterized by 17 species, among which the deep-water Ampelisca species.

ECOLOGY

Abundance

The denser populations of *Ampelisca* were registered in the northern part of the study area (**Table 2**). Most of the species occurred rarely and were found in very low numbers of individuals, which, for some species, corresponded only to the specimens used for their description. With the exception of *A. lusitanica* and *A. rubella*, which occurred on hard-bottom, *Ampelisca* were mostly found on soft-bottom sediments, ranging from mud to gravel (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b, 1989). Most of the species recorded in the study area inhabited mainly muddy sand and sandy mud habitats and were abundant only in




FIGURE 3 | Cluster analysis (dendrogram) and ordination analysis (nMDS) of the Jaccard similarity matrix among the Ampelisca samples representing the geographical areas depicted in Figure 2.




FIGURE 4 Principal components analysis of the *Ampelisca* data from **Table 1**. The analysis was performed with the full set of species but the figure excluded five species with eigenvectors bellow 0.1 (*A. eschrichtii*, *A. latifrons*, *A. lusitanica*, *A. sarsi* and *A. verga*). The vectors representing the species were amplified (x4) to clarify their position in relation to the samples.

TABLE 2 | Ampelisca occurrence of the species classified in three categories: rare, few records; common: numeroux records, and very common species in most of the shallow soft-bottom communities.

Species Occurrence		Maximum abundance in m ² or number of know individuals in the area*	Area and depth	References		
A. aequicornis	rare	3	SE Bay of Biscay, 125-300 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. anophthalma	rare	57*	Portugal, 500 m	Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1993		
A. amblyops	rare	1*	Off Galicia, 512 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1986		
A. anomala	rare	46*	SE Bay of Biscay, 107-300 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. armoricana	common	31,500	English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 17 m	Dauvin, 1988d		
A. brevicornis	very common	660	English Channel, Bay of Saint Brieux, 10 m	Le Mao, 2006		
A. calypsonis	rare	14	Portugal, 49-168 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. cavicoxa	rare	21*	SE Bay of Biscay, 14-50 m	Kaim-Malka, 2000		
A. dalmatina	rare	17	Portugal, 53-103 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. declivitatis	rare	8*	SE Bay of Biscay, 120-300 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. diadema	very common	180	English Channel, North Cotentin, 13 m	Andres et al., 2020		
A. eschrichtii	rare	1*	Offshore Brittany, 250 m	Bellan-Santini and Dauvin (1988b)		
A. gibba	rare	48*	SE Bay of Biscay, 346-1,024 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. heterodactyla	rare	150	Portugal, 38-58 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. latifrons	rare	2	Portugal, 4-508 m	Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991		
A. Iusitanica	rare	39*	Portugal, 8-37 m	Bellan-Santini and Marques, 1986		
A. massiliensis	rare	1*	Portugal, 110-360 m	Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991		
A. multispinosa	rare	1*	Portugal, 137 m	Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1993		
A.odontoplax	rare	1*	Bay of Biscay, 1,000 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1986		
A.parabyblisoides	rare	3*	SE Bay of Biscay, 300 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. pectenata	common	17	Portugal, 56-182 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. provincialis	rare	26	Portugal, 26-53 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. pseudosarsi	rare	17	Portugal, 26-140 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A.pseudospinima	rare	2	Portugal, 16-25 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. pusilla	rare	18*	SE Bay of Biscay, 740-1,097 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. remora	rare	440	Portugal, 26-99 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. rubella	rare	9*	Portugal, 0-130 m	Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1993		
A. ruffoi	rare	21	Portugal, 97-136 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. sarsi	common	17,500	English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 17 m	Dauvin, unpublished data		
A. serraticaudata	rare	5*	Portugal, 52 m	Marques and Bellan-Santini, 1991		
A. sorbei	rare	1*	SE Bay of Biscay, 120 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. spinifer	common	15	Portugal, 53-147 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. spinimana	common	109	English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 12 m	Dauvin et al., 1993		
A. spinipes	very common	270	Portugal, 26-179 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		
A. tenuicornis	very common	40,000	English Channel, Wigh Island, 7-9 m	Sheader, 1998		
A. troncosoi	rare	114	Galicia, 11 m	Tato et al., 2012		
A. toulemonti	rare	63	English Channel, North Cotentin, 11 m	Andres et al., 2020		
A. typica	very common	2000	English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, 17 m	Dauvin, 1988c		
A.uncinata	rare	28*	SE Bay of Biscay, 680-1,097 m	Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1996		
A. verga	rare	13	Portugal, 25-94 m	Sampaio et al., 2016		

Maximum abundance in m² or number of know individuals, area and depth of records. *Correspond to the number of know individuals in the area.

shallow muddy fine sand sediment (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988a, 1989; Sampaio et al., 2016).

The common species formed abundant populations in the English Channel. *Ampelisca brevicornis* reached 500 ind.m⁻² in October 1982 in the Bay of Morlaix Bay, 403 ind.m² in July 1978 and 370 ind.m² in October 1979 in the Rance (Dauvin, 1988b). An abundant population was also reported in the

subtidal fine s and of the Bay of Saint Brieux with 660 $\rm ind.m^{-2}$ (Le Mao, 2006).

Ampelisca tenuicornis formed very high abundance in the Rance, with 6,020 ind.m⁻² in summer 1978, 3,870 ind.m⁻² in summer 1979 and 2,830 ind.m⁻² in summer 1980 (Dauvin, 1988a), and showed a peak of abundance at the end of September 1996 with 25,000 ind.m⁻² (Desroy, 1998). In the Bay of

Morlaix, its abundance reached 4,000 ind.m⁻² in October 1977 (Dauvin, 1988a) and 17,500 ind.m⁻² in October 1997 (Dauvin, unpublished data). Long-term study at a fine muddy-sand site to the east of the Isle of Wight on the south coast of England (depth 7–9 m) its abundance reached a maximum of about 40,000 ind.m⁻² in late summer (Sheader, 1998). In the North Cotentin, the population reached 9,000 ind.m⁻² in the North Cotentin (Andres et al., 2020), while its underpassed 1,000 ind.m⁻² in the eastern part of the Bay of Seine (Alizier, 2011).

In the Bay of Morlaix, at the Pierre Noire Station located on an *Abra alba* fine sand community, the *Ampelisca* populations showed very high abundances, with 31,500 ind.m⁻² for *A. armoricana* in October 1977 (Dauvin, 1988d), 17,500 ind.m⁻² in October 1997 for *A. tenuicornis* in October 1997 (Dauvin, unpublished data), 6,640 ind.m⁻² in October 1987 (Dauvin, 1989), and 17,500 ind.m² in October 1994 (unpublished data) for *A. sarsi*, and 2,000 ind.m² in October 1987 for *A. typica* (Dauvin, 1988c).

Along the Portugal coast, *Ampelisca armoricana* populations reached 1,050 ind.m⁻²; while those of *A. brevicornis* showed an abundance of 640 ind.m⁻² and those of *A. remora* 440 ind.m⁻² (**Tables 2, 3**).

Sympatry in Ampelisca

Ampelisca populations often occur together, so that their distribution areas overlap or coincide. Several species can occur together in the same habitat and it is possible to identify up to eight species in 1974 in the same station in the Bay of Concarneau, South Brittany (Mesneguen, 1980) and nine species in two stations off the Portugal coast in 2007–2008 (**Table 3**).

In the western English Channel, Bay of Morlaix, nine species were recorded: A. armoricana, A. brevicornis, A. diadema, A. sarsi, A. spinipes, A. spinimana, A. pectenata, A. tenuicornis and A. typica (Dauvin et al., 1993). In 1977, on a subtidal fine sand community of this bay, three species were dominant A. armoricana, A. sarsi et A. tenuicornis (several thousands of individuals per m²) representing 90% of the abundance, 38% of the biomass and 50% of the secondary production of the community (Dauvin et al., 1993). The Ampelisca diversity (eight recorded species) and abundance were lower ($< 100 \text{ ind.m}^{-2}$, cf. Table 3) in a muddy sand community of the Bay of Morlaix at the same period. The Ampelisca were shown to be very sensitive to hydrocarbon pollution and as a consequence to the Amoco Cadiz oil spill, which occurred in March 1978 in North Brittany (western English Channel), the species disappeared in the fine sand community (Dauvin, 1988a,b,c,d; Dauvin, 1989). Similar population collapse was also observed following the Aegean oil spill in the North of Galicia, Spain (Gomez Gesteira and Dauvin, 2005). In 1990, 12 years after the Amoco Cadiz oil spill, the abundances of the Ampelisca were at the same order of magnitude of those observed before the incident (Table 3). Ampelisca sarsi dominated the community, replacing A. armoricana which became the second most abundant species, while A. tenuicornis remained the third most abundant; the three other species showed lower abundances before and after the spill. The longterm survey of the colonization of Ampelisca illustrated the high resilience of these holobenthic species, without pelagic larvae, but with high capacity to reconstitute their populations (Dauvin et al., 1993).

In other northern sites, the *Ampelisca* also showed sympatric distribution; nevertheless, the abundances of the populations never surpassed 500 ind.m⁻² (**Table 3**).

On the 326 stations off the Portuguese continental shelf, *Ampelisca* were found in 221 stations (68% of the sampled stations) for a total of 19 species and the dominance of five species *A. armoricana*, *A. brevicornis*, *A. spinimana*, *A. spinipes* and *A. tenuicornis*. From two to nine species were found in 60% of the stations, while only one species was recorded in 40% of the stations. Nine stations accounted five species, nine other six species, four seven species, four eight species and finally nine species had been found in two stations (**Table 3**). The total abundances of such sympatric populations were high and included between 1,240 and 1,920 ind. m⁻² (**Table 3**).

DISCUSSION

Taxonomy and Distribution

The North-eastern Atlantic Coast between the Strait of Gibraltar and the Strait of Dover registers 40 *Ampelisca* species, which is more than the total number of *Ampelisca* recorded for the Mediterranean Sea, 28 species (Bellan-Santini and Ruffo, 2003). The number of species reached was on the same order of magnitude along the Portuguese coast with 27 species where there is a mixture of Mediterranean, Atlantic and African faunas. The second richest area was the southern part of the Bay of Biscay with 24 species, including eight deep-water species, and 16 species habiting the continental shelf, number which remained lower than those of the Portuguese continental shelf. The biodiversity of *Ampelisca* showed a clear decrease from the south to the north in the studied area.

The *Ampelisca* taxonomy is well-established for this North-Atlantic area, and almost 50% of the species (17) had already been described by the end of the nineteenth century, with an extra 19 species during the second part of the twentieth century, while a single species, *Ampelisca troncosoi* Tato et al. (2012) was recently described.

Presently, new species of *Ampelisca* at the scale of the world are mainly described in the tropical zone of the Pacific Ocean and in the Indian Ocean (World Register of Marine Species, consulted on November 1st, 2020). In the early years of the twentieth century, the amphipod fauna of the European part of the North-Atlantic Ocean was amongst the better known worldwide, including the *Ampelisca* mainly due to the large number of new species descriptions by G.O. Sars, and E. Chevreux at the end of the nineteenth and the beginning of the twentieth century. Numerous new species were then described at the end of the twentieth century by D. Bellan-Santini and her co-authors, mainly for the Mediterranean Sea and surrounding areas (North Africa, Portugal and Spain).

Therefore, the discovery of new *Ampelisca* species for science in the study area is improbable. Nevertheless, genetic studies could be used to elucidate the existence of species hidden in "complex" species with a large geographical distribution TABLE 3 Abundance of Ampelisca species in number of ind. m² in some stations where the species were in sympatry from the English Channel, Bay of Biscay and Portugal coasts.

English Channel	Bay of Morlaix				Bay of Saint-Brieuc		North Cotentin		Bay of Seine	
	Pierre Noire		Rivière de Morlaix							
	October 1977		August 1977		Spring 2008		March 2015		September 2008, station 14	
	A. armoricana	20161	A. armoricana	2	A. armoricana	40	A. brevicornis	15	A. brevicornis	12
	A. sarsi	4868	A. tenuicornis	15	A. brevicornis	28	A. diadema	6	A. diadema	4
	A. tenuicornis	3962	A. spinimana	10	A. sarsi	30	A. tenuicornis	347	A. tenuicornis	10
	A. brevicornis	75	A. brevicornis	20	A. spinimana	47	A. typica	57	A. typica	2
	A. typica	32			A. tenuicornis	91				
	A. spinipes	10								
	Total	29108	Total	47	Total	236	Total	425	Total	24
	October 1990		August 1989				March 2016		September 2008, Station 17	
	A. armoricana	9612	A. armoricana	7			A. diadema	23	A. brevicornis	6
	A. sarsi	11168	A. sarsi	7			A. spinipes	17	A. diadema	4
	A. tenuicornis	1069	A. tenuicornis	1			A. tenuicornis	17	A. tenuicornis	12
	A. brevicornis	163	A. brevicornis	119			A. toulemonti	30	A. typica	
	A. typica	136	A. spinimana	20			A. typica	17		
	A. spinipes	6	A spinipes	1						
	Total	22154	Total	155	Total		Total	104	Total	20
Bay of Biscay	Concarneau, 1974		Quiberon, 2018		Lorient, 2018		Station B54, August 1979		Station 300, April 1985	
	A. armoricana	162	A. armoricana	46	A. armoricana	35	A. brevicornis	19	A. anomala	2
	A. brevicornis	79	A. diadema	13	A. brevicornis	38	A. spinimana	180	A. gibba	1
	A. diadema	35	A. sarsi	173	A. diadema	10	A. spinipes	15	A. tenuicornis	2
	A. sarsi	18	A. spinimana	10	A. sarsi	20	Total	214	Total	6
	A. spinimana	50	A. tenuicornis	32	A. spinipes	10	Station B54, September 1979		Station 300, July 1985	
	A. spinipes	14	A. typica	18	A. typica	20	A. brevicornis	1	A. anomala	2
	A. tenuicornis	52					A. spinimana	54	A. gibba	1
	A. typica	69					A. spinipes	4	A. tenuicornis	-
	Total	479	Total	292	Total	133	Total	59	A. parabyblisoides	
									Total	2

(Continued)

North-Eastern Atlantic Ampelisca

TABLE 3 | Continued

English Channel	Bay of Morlaix				Bay of Saint-Brieuc		North Cotentin		Bay of Seine	
	Pierre Noire		Rivière de Morlaix							
	October 1977		August 1977		Spring 2008		March 2015		September 2008, station 14	
	Concarneau, 2018						Station B54, November 1979		Station 300, September 1985	
	A. armoricana	24					A. brevicornis	1	A. anomala	22
	A. sarsi	23					A. spinimana	13	A. gibba	6
	A. spinipes	30					A. spinipes	3	A. tenuicornis	18
	A. tenuicornis	10							A. parabyblisoides	3
	Total	87					Total	17	Total	49
Portugal, 2017-2018	Station G8		Station G18		Station G16		Station G17		Station G26	
	A. armoricana	500	A. armoricana	750	A. armoricana	1050	A. armoricana	400	A. armoricana	680
	A. brevicornis	310	A. brevicornis	580	A. brevicornis	50	A. brevicornis	640	A. brevicornis	80
	A. diadema	10	A. heterodactyla	150	A. heterodactyla	30	A. diadema	10	A. heterodactyla	10
	A. heterodactyla	100	A. remora	140	A. remora	430	A. heterodactyla	60	A. provincialis	10
	A. provincialis	20	A. ruffoi	20	A. ruffoi	10	A. remora	20	A. remora	44
	A. remora	130	A. sarsi	80	A. spinimana	70	A. sarsi	50	A. spinimana	20
	A. sarsi	40	A. spinimana	20	A. spinipes	270	A. spinimana	40	A. spinipes	12
	A. spinimana	60	A. spinipes	140	A. tenuicornis	10	A. spinipes	50	A. tenuicornis	10
	A. spinipes	70	A. tenuicornis	10						
	Total	1240		1890		1920		1270		137

such as *Ampelisca brevicornis*, for which sub-species could be morphologically distinguished (Kaim-Malka, 2000).

Changes in the Species Biology and Distribution in Relation to Climatic Changes

Climatic changes with an increase in sea water temperature along the North-eastern Atlantic coasts could affect both the distribution and the biology of the *Ampelisca* species.

Concerning the distribution, species tend to extend north their geographical reach, as observed for *A. armoricana*, *A. sarsi*, *A. spinimana* and *A. toulemonti* in the eastern part of the English Channel, and *A. aequicornis* and *A. spinifer* in the English Channel. Southern species presently known to occur along the North Atlantic coast of Africa could progress north and reach the south of Spain and the Portuguese coast, such as *A. bidentata*, *A. ctenopus*, *A. hupferi*, *A. monoculata*, *A. palmata* and *A. senegalensis* (Dauvin and Bellan-Santini, 1988). In both areas, the south of the Iberian Peninsula and the English Channel, encouragement should be given to identify the *Ampelisca* up to species level, in order to fully grasp such geographical changes in target species.

Concerning the biology, two reproductive cycles are known in the Ampelisca species (Bellan-Santini and Dauvin, 1988b). Univoltine cycles occurs namely in A. armoricana (Dauvin, 1988d) and A. sarsi (Dauvin, 1989). Females are ovigerous at the end of spring and release their young in summer, which reproduce only 1 year later, leading to a single generation and class per reproductive year. Other species present a bivoltine cycle such as A. tenuicornis (Dauvin, 1988a) and A. typica (Dauvin, 1988c). In these, the females are ovigerous at the end of winter-beginning of spring and release their young in spring, which reproduce at the end of summer, beginning of autumn, leading to two generations and one class per reproductive year. Some species are known to show both cycles, depending on the environmental conditions. This is the case of A. brevicornis, which showed both reproductive cycles in the English Channel depending on the sea water temperature, with a bivoltine cycle in years with warmer spring (Dauvin, 1988b), while being solely bivoltine in the Mediterranean Sea (Kaim-Malka, 1969). Sea water increase notably in spring could favor a bivoltine reproductive cycle in the future, which will change the secondary production of such amphipods.

Sympatry and Syntopy in Ampelisca

Rivas (1964) defined sympatric and syntopic species, which corresponded, respectively to "the reference to two or more related species which have the same or overlapping geographic distributions, regardless of whether or not they occupy the same macrohabitats (whether or not the species occurred together the same locality," and "in reference to two or more related species which occupy the same macrohabitat. These species occur together in the same locality, are observably in close proximity, and could possibly interbreed." The large geographical and local distributions of Ampelisca species illustrate plainly these both concepts. With a high species richness at the scale of a region,

such as along the Portuguese coast with the overlapping of 27 species, and the number of species occurring in the same benthic habitat, such as on Brittany and Portuguese soft-bottom habitats, with up to eight species in the same habitat in South Brittany and nine species in two stations from the Portuguese continental shelf (**Table 3**).

Co-occurrence, sympatry and syntopy of amphipods were not very common and mainly described to species living in the intertidal zone or very shallow waters such as the Haustoriidae, where five species cohabited on the New Hampshire intertidal zone (Croker, 1967), the Gammaridae with the case of five species of Gammarus coexisting in Danish brackish waters (Kolding and Fenchel, 1979), Pontoporeiidae with two Pontoporeia cooccurring in the Swedish Baltic Sea (Hill and Elmgren, 1987), Hyalidae where six phytal amphipod species of the genus Hyale occurring on the intertidal rocky shores of Coquirnbo, Chile (Lancelotti and Trucco, 1993), Talidridae with Talorchestia brito and of two age classes of Talitrus saltator co-existing along the French Atlantic coast (Fallaci et al., 1999) while eight species were collected in some Tunisian lagoons (Jelassi et al., 2015), and Ischyroceridae with three species of the genus Jassa co-occurring on a wide range of hard substrates in the Helgoland Island in the south of the North Sea (Jelassi et al., 2015).

In the Bay of Belfast, Parker (1984) examined the distribution of *Ampelisca brevicornis*, *A. tenuicornis* and *A. typica*. He showed that these species could live in the same biotope and had no sedimentary preferences in this region, contrary to Sheader (1977) who showed marked sedimentary preferences for two species *A. brevicornis* and *A. tenuicornis* in the sandy-muddy bottoms of north-eastern England, in the North Sea.

Few studies, such as that of Buhl-Jensen (1986) on the coasts of Norway had showed the coexistence in the same samples of two to four species of Ampelisca although one species, A. gibba presented high abundances in three of the 21 sampling stations. In the Bay of Concarneau (southern Brittany), Mesneguen (1980) recorded until eight species per station in 1974, but the abundance of the species remained lower than those observed in the Bay of Morlaix in the western entrance of the English Channel in 1977 (Table 3). The Ampelisca Portuguese continental shelf fauna appeared particularly rich with 19 species recorded in the sampling campaigns in 2007-2008 covered the entire Portugal coast with a more dense number of stations in the North (Sampaio et al., 2016). This was in this location that nine Ampelisca species in a single grab (0.1 m²) were sampled, while between seven to nine species were found in 10 stations. This cooccurrence of Ampelisca species in a single grab per station was at our knowledge a record and was incomparable.

Along the North-America Pacific coast from the Baja California to the Bering Sea, large *Ampelisca* populations coexisted but only a single or a couple of species dominate the soft-bottom communities: *A. macrocephala* and *A. eschriichti* in the Bering Sea, *A. agassizi* and A. *careyi* off Vancouver Island (Canada), while in the Baja California only *A. agassizi* was present (Oliver et al., 1983).

Schaffner and Boesch (1982) studied the spatial distribution of *A. agassizi* and *A. vadorum* in the palaeo-dunes of the continental shelf off New Jersey (USA), which reach 6 m high.

The simultaneous sampling of these two species was strongly linked to the exact position of the sample on the dunes: the deep depressions were almost exclusively populated by *A. agassizi*, reaching in this habitat abundances of 10,000 individuals per m^2 . The shallower depressions and the sides of the dunes were populated by both species. The authors suggested that *A. agassizi* could be more capable to use nutrient resources.

In the end, if the coexistence of *Ampelisca* species seems to exist most often, the coexistence of large populations such as those observed in Morlaix Bay seems exceptional.

Perspectives

In conclusion, the following research recommendations for the European *Ampelisca* can be put forward:

- Ampelisca species with a wide geographical distribution should be further studied using molecular tools in order to elucidate their taxonomy. Such studies could focus in the species A. brevicornis, A. diadema, A. tenuicornis, A. typica and A. spinipes;
- Encourage ecologists to identify *Ampelisca* to species level in order to increase the overall knowledge on their distribution, including the geographical ranges;
- Study in detail, and possibly experimentally, the reasons behind the important sympatry in *Ampelisca* and how it relates namely to resources competition;
- Verify if trophic guilds, namely suspension feeders and surface deposit feeders, present distinct morphological characteristics, such as length of antennae, presence of setae, and number of setae, and if such adaptations could account for the coexistence of several species without trophic competition;
- Conduct population biology studies at historical sites where the species were previously studied in order to examine the effects of climate change, namely increased temperature in coastal waters, on the life history traits of the *Ampelisca*.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

REFERENCES

- Alizier, S. (2011). Echelles spatio-temporelles d'observation des relations macrobenthos/sédiments: organisation et changements à long-terme (1988–2009) des communautés benthiques subtidales de la partie orientale de la baie de Seine (PhD Thesis). France: Université de Lille 1.
- Andres, S., Pezy, J. P., Martinez, M., Baux, N., Baffreau, A., Méar, Y., et al. (2020). Soft bottom macrobenthic communities in sandy enclaves from the North Cotentin Peninsula (Central English Channel). J. Mar. Biol. Oceanogr. 9:1. doi: 10.4172/2324-8661.1000210
- Bachelet, G., Dauvin, J. C., and Sorbe, J. C. (2003). An updated checklist of marine and brackish water amphipoda (crustacea: peracarida) of the southern Bay of Biscay (NE Atlantic). *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 44, 121–151.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J-CD and VQ designed research. J-CD, LS, AR, and VQ performed research and analyzed data. J-CD and VQ wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study received funding from Normandy Region and the Groupement d'Intérêt Public Seine-Aval for the Bay of Seine sampling, and the Direction Régionale de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement de Normandie for the North-Cotentin sampling. The sampling along the Portuguese coast was supported by the research projects ACOSHELF (POCI/MAR/56441/2004-PPCDT/MAR/56441/2004), MeshAtlantic, with the support of the European Union ERDF-Atlantic Area Program 2009-1/110, and Monitorização Ambiental do Emissário Submarino e da ETAR da Guia do Sistema de Saneamento da Costa do Estoril, funded by SANEST, S.A. The authors declare that this study received funding from SANEST, S.A. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge Normandy Region and the Groupement d'Intérêt Public Seine-Aval, the Direction Régionale de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement de Normandie and FCT/MCTES for the financial support to CESAM (UIDP/50017/2020+UIDB/50017/2020), through national funds. The authors thank the two reviewers of the first version of the paper for their very useful remarks and suggestions, and Lucie Millet for the **Figures 1**, **2**.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars. 2021.643078/full#supplementary-material

- Baux, N. (2018). Dynamique des habitats benthiques sous-contraintes anthropiques: le cas du site de dépôt de dragage d'Octeville (PhD Thesis). France: Université de Caen Normandie.
- Baux, N., Pezy, J. P., Bachelet, Q., Baffreau, A., Méar, Y., Poizot, E., et al. (2017). An exceptional rich soft-bottom macrobenthic habitat in a semi-enclosed bay bordering the english channel: rade de cherbourg. *Reg. Stud. Mar. Sci.* 9, 106–116. doi: 10.1016/j.rsma.2016.11.010
- Bellan-Santini, D. (1982). Family ampeliscidae. the amphipoda of the mediteranean. Part 1. gammaridea (acanthonotozomatidae to gammaridea). *Mém. Inst. Océanogr.* 13, 19–69.
- Bellan-Santini, D. (1983). Distribution des Ampelisca (Crustacea, Amphipoda) de Méditerranée. Selected papers on Crustacea. Prof. N. K. Pillai Felicitation Volume. Trivandrum: The Aquarium. p. 155–161.

- Bellan-Santini, D., and Dauvin, J. C. (1981). Description d'une nouvelle espèce d'Ampelisca des côtes françaises (Amphipoda). *Crustaceana* 40, 242–252. doi: 10.1163/156854081X00714
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Dauvin, J. C. (1986). Ampelisca remora (Amphipoda): nouvelle espèce des côtes de galice (Atlantique Nord-Est). Crustaceana 51, 38–48. doi: 10.1163/156854086X00043
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Dauvin, J. C. (1988a). Actualisation des Données sur L'écologie, la Biogéographie et la Phylogénie des Ampeliscidae (Crustacés -Amphipodes) Atlantiques Après la Révision des Collections d'E. Chevreux. Aspects Récents de la Biologie des Crustacés. 10ème Réunion des Carcinologistes de Langue Française, Concarneau, 6-9 juin 1987. Plouzané: IFREMER. p. 207–215.
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Kaim-Malka, R. A. (1977). Ampelisca nouvelles de Méditerranée (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Boll. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Verona 4, 479–523.
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Dauvin, J. C. (1988b). Eléments de synthèse sur les Ampelisca du Nord-Est Atlantique. Crustaceana 13, 20–60.
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Dauvin, J. C. (1989). Distribution verticale et répartition biogéographique de crustacés holobenthiques filtreurs: exemple des amphipodes du genre Ampelisca, groupe zoologique à forte spéciation. Bull. Soc. Géol. France 3, 561–568.
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Dauvin, J. C. (1997). Ampeliscidae (Amphipoda) from Iceland with a description of a new species (Contribution to the BIOICE Research Program. J. Nat. Hist. 31, 1157–1173. doi: 10.1080/00222939700770621
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Marques, J. C. (1986). Une nouvelle espèce d'Ampelisca (Crustacea, Amphipoda) des Côtes du Portugal (Atlantique Nord-Est): Ampelisca lusitanica n.sp. Cah. Biol. Mar. 27, 153–162.
- Bellan-Santini, D., and Ruffo, S. (2003). Biogeography of benthic marine Amphipoda in Mediterranean Sea. *Biogeographia* 24, 273–292. doi: 10.21426/B6110176
- Buhl-Jensen, L. (1986). The benthic Amphipod fauna of the west-Norwegian continental shelf compared with the fauna of five adjacent fiords. Sarsia 71, 193–208. doi: 10.1080/00364827.1986.10419690
- Cardoso, F. G., Dolbeth, M., Sousa, R., Relvas, P., Santos, R., Silva, A., et al. (2019). "The Portuguese coast," in World Seas: An Environmental Evaluation, Second Edition, Volume I: Europe, The Americas and West Africa, ed C. Sheppard (London: Academic Press, Elsevier), 189–208. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-805068-2.00009-7
- Clarke, K. R., and Gorley, R. N. (2006). *PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial*. Plymouth: PRIMER-E, 190 pp.
- Croker, R. A. (1967). Niche diversity in five sympatric species of intertidal amphipods (Crustacea: Haustoriidae). *Ecol. Monogr.* 37, 173–200. doi: 10.2307/1948437
- Dauvin, J. C. (1988a). Biologie, dynamique et production de populations de Crustacés Amphipodes de la Manche occidentale. 1. Ampelisca tenuicornis Liljeborg. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 118, 55–84. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(88)90122-0
- Dauvin, J. C. (1988b). Biologie, dynamique et production de populations de Crustacés Amphipodes de la Manche occidentale. 2. Ampelisca brevicornis (Costa). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 119, 213–233. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(88)90194-3
- Dauvin, J. C. (1988c). Biologie, dynamique et production de populations de Crustacés Amphipodes de la Manche occidentale. 3. Ampelisca typica (Bate). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 121, 1-22. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(88) 90020-2
- Dauvin, J. C. (1988d). Life cycle, dynamics and productivity of crustaceaamphipoda from the western part of the English Channel. 4. Ampelisca armoricana Bellan-Santini and Dauvin. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 123, 235–252. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(88)90045-7
- Dauvin, J. C. (1989). Life cycle, dynamics and productivity of Crustacea-Amphipoda from the western part of the English Channel. 5. *Ampelisca sarsi* Chevreux. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 128, 31–56. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(89)90091-9
- Dauvin, J. C. (1996). Ampeliscidae from the Faroe Islands. Contribution to the Biofar programme. *Boll. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Verona* 20, 47–60.
- Dauvin, J. C. (1999). Mise à jour de la liste des espèces d'Amphipodes (Crustacea: Peracarida) présents en Manche. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 40, 165–183.

- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1982). Description de deux nouvelles espèces d'Ampelisca des côtes Françaises Atlantiques (Crustacea, Amphipoda): Ampelisca toulemonti n.sp. et Ampelisca spooneri n.sp. Cah. Biol. Mar. 23, 253–268.
- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1985). Collection des Ampeliscides d'Edouard Chevreux du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle: description d'Ampelisca melitae et d'A. monoculata n.sp. et redescription d'A. verga Reid. Bull. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat. 4, 7A3, 659–675.
- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1986). Révision de la collection des Ampeliscidés (Crustacean, Amphipoda) d'Edouard Chevreux au Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle. *Bull. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat.* 4, 867–891.
- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1988). Illustrated key to Ampelisca species from the North-Eastern Atlantic. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. 68, 659–676. doi: 10.1017/S0025315400028782
- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1996). Ampeliscidae (Amphipoda) from the Bay of Biscay. J. Crust. Biol. 16, 149–168. doi: 10.2307/1548938
- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (2000). Biodiversity and the biogeographic relationships of the Amphipoda Gammaridea along the French coastline. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. 84, 621–628. doi: 10.1017/S0025315404009658h
- Dauvin, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (2002). Les Crustacés Amphipodes Gammaridea benthiques des côtes françaises métropolitaines: bilan des connaissances. Crustaceana 75, 299–340. doi: 10.1163/156854002760095408
- Dauvin, J. C., Bellan-Santini, D., and Bellan, G. (1993). Les genres Ophelia et Ampelisca de la région de Roscoff: exemples d'allotopie et de syntopie dans les communautés marines de substrat meuble. Cah. Biol. Mar. 34, 1–15.
- Dauvin, J. C., and Toulemont, A. (1988). Données Préliminaires sur les Amphipodes de l'Iroise et de ses Abords, Leurs Affinités Biogéographiques. Aspects Récents de la Biologie des Crustacés. 10ème Réunion des Carcinologistes de Langue Française, CONCARNEAU, 6-9 Juin 1987. Plouzané: IFREMER. p. 217–222.
- Desroy, N. (1998). Les peuplements benthiques de substrats meubles du bassin maritime de la Rance. Evolution de la biodiversité et effets de l'activité prédatrice de Nephthys hombergii (Annélide polychète) sur le recrutement (PhD thesis). France: University of Rennes 1. p. 206.
- Fallaci, M., Aloia, A., Audoglio, M., Colombini, I., Scapini, F., and Chelazzi, L. (1999). Differences in behavioural strategies between two sympatric talitrids (Amphipoda) inhabiting an exposed sandy beach of the French Atlantic coast. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 48, 469–482. doi: 10.1006/ecss.1998.0437
- Gomez Gesteira, J. L., and Dauvin, J. C. (2005). Impact of the Aegean sea oil spill on the Ría of Ares and Betanzos fine sand community (Northwest Spain). *Mar. Envir. Res.* 60, 289–316. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2004.11.001
- Hill, C., and Elmgren, R. (1987). Vertical distribution in the sediment in the cooccurring benthic amphipods *Pontoporeia affinis* and *P. femorata*. Oikos 49, 221–229. doi: 10.2307/3566029
- Horton, T., Lowry, J., De Broyer, C., Bellan-Santini, D., Coleman, C. O., Daneliya, M., et al. (2021). World Amphipoda Database. Available online at: http://www. marinespecies.org/amphipoda (accessed January 1, 2021).
- Jelassi, R., Khemaissia, H., Zimmer, M., Garbe-Schönberg, D., and Nasri-Ammar, K. (2015). Biodiversity of Talitridae (Crustacea, Amphipoda) in some Tunisian coastal lagoons. *Zool. Studi*. 54:17. doi: 10.1186/s40555-014-0096-1
- Kaim-Malka, R. A. (1969). Biologie et écologie de quelques Ampelisca de la région de Marseille. Téthys 1, 977–1022.
- Kaim-Malka, R. A. (2000). Elevation of two eastern Atlantic varieties of *Ampelisca brevicornis* (Costa, 1853) (Crustacea, Amphipoda) to full specific rank with redescription of the species. J. Nat. Hist. 34, 1939–1966. doi: 10.1080/00222930050144792
- Kolding, S., and Fenchel, T. M. (1979). Coexistence and life cycle characteristics of five species of the amphipod genus *Gammarus*. Oikos 33, 323–327. doi: 10.2307/3544009
- Lancelotti, D. A., and Trucco, R. G. (1993). Distribution patterns and coexistence of six species of the amphipod genus *Hyale. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 93, 131–141. doi: 10.3354/meps093131
- Le Mao, P. (2006). Inventaire de la Biodiversité Marine du Golfe Normano-Breton. Les Crustacés Malacostracés. Amphipodes. Rapport RST/DOP.LER/SM 06–13. Saint-Malo: Ifremer.
- Marques, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1991). Gammaridea and Caprellidea (Crustacea-Amphipoda) of the Portuguese south-western continental shelf: taxonomy and distributional ecology. *Bijdr. Dierk.* 61, 65–87. doi: 10.1163/26660644-06102001

- Marques, J. C., and Bellan-Santini, D. (1993). Biodiversity in the ecosystem of the Portuguese continental shelf: distributional ecology and the role of benthic amphipods. *Mar. Biol.* 115, 555–564. doi: 10.1007/BF00349362
- Martins, R., Azevedo, M. R., Mamede, R., Sousa, B., Freitas, R., Rocha, F., et al. (2012). Sedimentary and geochemical characterization and provenance of the Portuguese continental shelf soft-bottom sediments. J. Mar. Syst. 91, 41–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.09.011
- Martins, R., Quintino, V., and Rodrigues, A. M. (2013a). Diversity and spatial distribution patterns of the soft-bottom macrofauna communities on the Portuguese continental shelf. *J. Sea Res.* 83, 173–186. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2013.03.001
- Martins, R., Sampaio, L., Quintino, V., and Rodrigues, A. M. (2014). Diversity, distribution and ecology of benthic molluscan communities on the Portuguese continental shelf. J. Sea Res. 93, 75–89. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2013.11.006
- Martins, R., Sampaio, L., Rodrigues, A. M., and Quintino, V. (2013b). Soft-bottom Portuguese continental shelf polychaetes: diversity and distribution. J. Mar. Syst. 123–124, 41–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.04.008
- Mesneguen, A. (1980). La macrofaune benthique de la baie de Concarneau: peuplements, dynamique de populations, prédation exercée par les poissons (PhD thesis). France: Université de Bretagne Occidentale. 127pp.
- Oliver, J. S., Slaitery, P. N., Silberstein, M. A., and O'Connor, E. F. (1983). A comparison of gray whale, *Eschrichtius robustus*, feeding in the Bering Sea and Baja California. *Fish. Bull.* 81, 513–522.
- Parker, J. C. (1984). The distribution of the subtidal Amphipoda in Belfast Lough in relation ta sediment types. *Ophelia* 23, 119–140. doi: 10.1080/00785326.1984.10426608
- Rigolet, C., Dubois, S. F., Droual, G., Caisey, X., and Thiébaut, E. (2012). Life history and secondary production of the amphipod *Haploops nirae* (Kaim-Malka, 1976) in the Bay of Concarneau (South Brittany). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 113, 259–271. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2012.08.014
- Rigolet, C., Dufois, S. F., and Thiébaut, E. (2014). Benthic control freaks: effects of the tubiculous amphipod *Haploops nirae* on the specific diversity and functional structure of benthic communities. J. Sea Res. 85, 413–427. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2013.07.013

- Rivas, L. R. (1964). A reinterpretation of the concepts "Sympatric" and "Allopatric" with proposal of the additional terms "Syntopic" and "Allotopic". Syst. Zool. 13, 42–43. doi: 10.2307/2411436
- Sampaio, L., Mamede, R., Ricardo, F., Magalhães, L., Rocha, H., Martins, R., et al. (2016). Soft-sediment crustacean diversity and distribution along the Portuguese continental shelf. J. Mar. Syst. 163, 43–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.06.011
- Schaffner, L. C., and Boesch, D. F. (1982). Spatial and temporal ressource use by dominant benthic Amphipoda (Ampeliscidae and Corophildae) on the Middle Atlantic Bight outer continental shelf. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 9, 231–243. doi: 10.3354/meps009231
- Schellenberg, A. (1925). Crustacea VIII. Amphipoda. In Michaelsen Beitrage zu Kennt. Meeresfauna West Africas III, 13–204.
- Sheader, M. (1977). Production and population dynamics of Ampelisca tenuicornis (Amphipoda) with notes on the biology of its parasite Sphaeronella longipes (Copepoda). J. Mar. Biol. Ass. 57, 955–968. doi: 10.1017/S0025315400026047
- Sheader, M. (1998). Grazing predation on a population of Ampelisca tenuicornis (Gammaridae: Amphipoda) off the south coast of England. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 164, 253–262. doi: 10.3354/meps164253
- Tato, R., Esquete, P., and Moreira, J. (2012). A new species of Ampelisca (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from NW Iberian Peninsula: Ampelisca troncosoi sp. nov. Helgol. Mar. Res. 66, 319–330. doi: 10.1007/s10152-011-0273-0

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Dauvin, Sampaio, Rodrigues and Quintino. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.