



HAL
open science

Identification of potential genetic risk factors for bipolar disorder by whole-exome sequencing

Thomas Husson, Jean-Baptiste Duboc, Olivier Quenez, Camille Charbonnier, Maud Rothärmel, Macarena Cuenca, Xavier Jégouzo, Anne-Claire Richard, Thierry Frebourg, Jean-François Deleuze, et al.

► To cite this version:

Thomas Husson, Jean-Baptiste Duboc, Olivier Quenez, Camille Charbonnier, Maud Rothärmel, et al.. Identification of potential genetic risk factors for bipolar disorder by whole-exome sequencing. *Translational Psychiatry*, 2018, 8 (1), pp.268. 10.1038/s41398-018-0291-7 . hal-02540043

HAL Id: hal-02540043

<https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-02540043v1>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ARTICLE

Open Access

Identification of potential genetic risk factors for bipolar disorder by whole-exome sequencing

Thomas Husson^{1,2}, Jean-Baptiste Duboc¹, Olivier Quenez², Camille Charbonnier², Maud Rotharmel^{1,2}, Macarena Cuenca¹, Xavier Jegouzo¹, Anne-Claire Richard², Thierry Frebourg², Jean-François Deleuze³, Anne Boland³, Emmanuelle Genin⁴, Stéphanie Debette⁵, Christophe Tzourio⁵, Dominique Campion^{1,2}, Gaël Nicolas², Olivier Guillin^{1,2} and The FREX Consortium

Abstract

This study aims at assessing the burden of rare (minor allele frequency < 1%) predicted damaging variants in the whole exome of 92 bipolar I disorder (BD) patients and 1051 controls of French ancestry. Patients exhibiting an extreme phenotype (earlier onset and family history of mood disorder) were preferentially included to increase the power to detect an association. A collapsing strategy was used to test the overall burden of rare variants in cases versus controls at the gene level. Only protein-truncating and predicted damaging missense variants were included in the analysis. Thirteen genes exhibited p values exceeding 10^{-3} and could be considered as potential risk factors for BD. Furthermore, the validity of the association was supported when the Exome Aggregation Consortium database non-Finnish European population was used as controls for eight of them. Their gene products are involved in various cerebral processes, some of which were previously implicated in BD and belong to pathways implicated in the therapeutic effect of lithium, the main mood stabilizer. However, exome-wide threshold for association study was not reached, emphasizing that larger samples are needed.

Introduction

Bipolar I disorder (BD) is a chronic psychiatric illness characterized by mood oscillations, with episodes of mania and depression. The impact of BD on patients can be devastating, with up to 15% of patients committing suicide, enduring serious medical comorbidities such as endocrine disorders, cardiovascular disease, and drug abuse. The onset is usually during early adulthood. BD is known to be one of the leading cause of morbidity worldwide¹. Family, twin, and adoption studies have provided strong evidence for the importance of genetic

factors in the etiology of BD². However, linkage studies in multiplex families identified mostly non-replicated findings³. Hence, Mendelian genes are unlikely to be involved in BD. Beside, in contrast with schizophrenia and autism, the burden of copy number variants seem not to be increased in BD⁴. More recently, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identified several significantly associated loci carrying common variants that explain altogether only a small fraction of the genetic component of BD⁵. It has been hypothesized that for complex diseases such as BD, rare non-synonymous coding variants of moderate-to-large effect might explain a substantial part of the so-called missing heritability⁶. With the development and generalization of massive parallel sequencing, it is now possible to assess this hypothesis at the scale of all 20,000 human genes by whole-exome sequencing (WES).

Correspondence: Olivier Guillin (olivier.guillin@ch-lerouvray.fr)

¹Department of Research, Centre hospitalier du Rouvray, Sotteville-lès-Rouen, France

²Department of Genetics, Normandy Centre for Genomic and Personalized Medicine, Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, Inserm U1245 and Rouen University Hospital, F 76000 Rouen, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

© The Author(s) 2018



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Concerning BD, this technology has already earned significant results in an intra-familial design⁷.

The power to detect associations of rare variants with a disease in a case-control setting is limited by the extreme rarity of most variants. To tackle this issue, variants can be collapsed at the gene-level or even gene networks. These strategies already provided significant results for several neuropsychiatric diseases such as Alzheimer disease at the gene level⁸, and schizophrenia at the gene-network level^{9,10}.

This study aims at comparing the burden of rare predicted damaging variants in the whole exome between BD and controls at the gene level.

Materials and methods

Patients

Unrelated patients of French ancestry ($n = 94$) fulfilling the diagnosis of BD were recruited from Centre Hospitalier du Rouvray ($n = 90$) and from Centre Hospitalier Saint-Anne ($n = 4$). Patients were prescreened according to the MINI scale (Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview) according to DSM-IV-TR criteria and underwent a comprehensive clinical examination including the assessment of the Diagnostic Interview for Genetic Studies scale¹¹ and psychiatric family history through Affective Disorder Evaluation¹². Patients were considered as lithium responders if the treatment had been administered for >2 years¹³.

We selected patients with a positive family history of affective disorder (bipolar disorder in one first degree relative and one in first to third-degree relative) and 63% of them had an early onset (before 22 years¹⁴). Under the assumption of stronger genetics factors involved in these subjects, this extreme phenotype sampling strategy aimed at increasing the statistical power¹⁵.

Controls

A total of 1084 controls of French ancestry were recruited from two studies. A first series of 585 controls belongs to the FREX (French Exome) project, which aims at studying the stratification of rare variants among the French population and consists in healthy subjects recruited from six different cities. The remaining 499 belong from the three city cohort¹⁶, which includes elderly subjects not diagnosed with dementia.

All patients and controls gave informed, written consent for genetic analyses. This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution.

Whole-exome sequencing

Exomes were captured using the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon Kit (Santa Clara, United-States) V5 or V5-UTR. Library preparation failed for two cases. Sequencing was performed in the remaining 92 cases on

an Illumina HiSeq2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the CNRGH (Centre National de Recherche en Génomique Humaine, Evry, France) with paired end mode, for 100 or 150 base pairs (bp) reads.

Bioinformatics pipeline

Exome samples were all processed through the same bioinformatics pipeline following GATK 3.3-0 Best Practices recommendations and as previously described⁸. Reads were mapped to the GRCh37 1000Genomes build using BWA 0.7.5a¹⁷. Picard Tools 1.101 (<http://picard.sourceforge.net>) was used to flag duplicate reads. GATK¹⁸ was applied for short insertion and deletion (InDels) realignment, base quality score recalibration (BQSR) and finally single-nucleotide variants and InDel discovery using Haplotype Caller across all samples simultaneously. Variants were annotated with SnpEff 4.2¹⁹ and SnpSift 4.2²⁰ software using dbNSFP 2.9.1 and Ensembl GRCh37.75. Exonic and splice site variants (located ± 2 bp around each coding exon) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of $<1\%$ in our whole data set were then extracted within each gene region. A quality score (VQSLOD) was estimated for each variant with the VQSR function from GATK 3.4. Only genotypes satisfying the following quality filters were retained for analysis: genotype read depth >6 and genotype quality >20 . Genotypes failing these two criteria were set as missing.

Quality check

The sample QC was performed on the bi-allelic sites of the data set fulfilling the VQSLOD sensitivity threshold of 99.5% for single-nucleotide variants and 99% for InDels, as recommended in GATK best practices. To include multi-allelic sites in the analysis, they were converted into multiple bi-allelic variants that were then left-aligned using bcftools 1.3. Most checks were carried out with PLINK 1.9 (<https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink2>). All individuals in the sample were processed through the following steps: (i) verifying concordant sex information using Plink sex check, (ii) discarding contaminated samples identified as such by significantly high heterozygosity rates and freemix contamination scores provided by the VerifyBamID software, and (iii) discarding the sample of worst overall quality among each pair of samples with Plink pi_hat relatedness estimation exceeding 0.15 and (iv) discarding samples with overall missingness above 15%.

All cases and 1051 out of 1084 controls passed these quality checks.

Besides, no individual of divergent ancestry could be detected by either of the three following analyses: (i) extreme Plink neighbor statistics, (ii) principal component analyses on common (MAF $>5\%$) and rare (MAF $<5\%$) variants after exclusion of long-range linkage

disequilibrium regions²¹ and variant pruning on linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 > 0.2$), and (iii) outlying number of private mutations. Variants were excluded from statistical analysis if they (i) were missing in more than 5% of individuals, (ii) showed a significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, (iii) presented significantly different missing call rates between cases and controls, as confirmed by Plink test missing at a threshold of 10^{-6} , or (iv) showed an average allele balance below 25% or above 75% for heterozygous calls or below 90% for homozygous calls. We also excluded variants in low-complexity regions as identified by the mdust program²², and variants in simple tandem repeat regions located by Tandem Repeats Finder²³ and retrieved using the UCSC Table browser²⁴.

Statistics

A standard collapsing approach was used to test the overall burden of rare variants in cases vs controls at the gene level. Protein-truncating variants (PTV) were defined following the annotation as “LOF” by SnpEff based on the conservative definition provided by MacArthur et al.²⁵. In brief, they included the nonsense, frameshift InDels, and canonical splice site variants that are predicted to result in a loss of function, taking into account their position in the gene sequence. Missense variants were classified as Mis3, Mis2, and Mis1 or benign if they were predicted damaging by respectively 3, 2, 1, or 0 of the following bioinformatics predictions tools: polyphen2 (HumDiv)²⁶, Mutation Taster²⁷, and SIFT²⁸. After exclusion of benign variants, statistical analyses were based on four embedded classes of variants: PTV, PTV+Mis3, PTV+Mis3+Mis2, and PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1.

For every gene, the proportions of variant carriers were compared between cases and controls using a Fisher exact test with the R statistical software (<http://www.R-project.org/>). The same gene-level association tests were applied to every coding region to extract all possible gene-level p values. A Bonferroni adjusted $p < 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ was considered to be statistically significant on an exome-wide level given the theoretical number of genes tested. In addition, we computed a false discovery rate (FDR).

Consistency with ExAC

All variants detected in non-Finnish European (NFE) individuals from the Exome Aggregation Consortium database (ExAC) were downloaded from the open access web resource <http://exac.broadinstitute.org/>. Variants with MAF below 1% and missingness below 20% within this population were retained for analysis. They were annotated for functional consequences with SnpEff 4.2 and SnpSift 4.2 and classified into PTV, Mis3, Mis2, Mis1, and benign variants exactly like all variants from our own data set. For each variant class of interest (PTV, PTV+Mis3, PTV+Mis3+Mis2, PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1),

allele counts were aggregated by gene (total allele count, TAC) and divided by the maximum number of individuals with allele information (ANmax) on this gene to obtain an approximation of the proportion of variant carriers in the NFE population. The validity of this approximation relies on the rarity of double carriers, which is a sound assumption considering the extreme rarity of most variants, but also presumes that coverage is close to uniform within a gene and that most samples with missing information will not carry any variant.

Two Fisher exact tests were then computed. For each gene and variant class, the proportion of case variant carriers in our data set was compared with the ratio TAC/ANmax. Interpretation of this test was subject to the comparison of the proportion of control variant carriers in our data set to the ratio TAC/ANmax. This second test in particular should help highlight potential false positive results stemming from putatively abnormally low variant detection within our controls.

Results

A total of 92 WES of unrelated BD patients and 1051 WES of controls passed the quality criteria for subsequent association analysis. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are summarized in Table 1a, b. Except for rapid cycling, which is at the lower-end (11%) of what is usually observed in epidemiologic studies, all clinical features affected a proportion of bipolar patients consistent with the literature. On average per subject, 22,425 variants mapping to the exons or the canonical splice sites ($-2; +2$) were called 749 were rare (MAF < 1%), from which 330 were classified as PTV, Mis1, Mis2, or Mis3 and included in the association test.

When collapsing PTV with missense variants falling into the three categories of Mis3, Mis2, or Mis1, no gene reached the exome-wide p value threshold of 2.5×10^{-6} nor FDR threshold of 10%. However, 13 genes exceeded a p value of 10^{-3} with odds ratios (OR) ranging from 3 to 23.7 for 10 of them, whereas three showed no variants in controls, hence having infinite ORs (Table 2). The association was essentially driven by missense variants. For

Table 1a Demographic characteristics of the sample

	Cases	Controls
<i>N</i>	92	1051
% females	58.70%	57.60%
Mean age	48	74
(sd. range)	(15.5, 18–84)	(15,19–103)
Mean age of onset	23.9	/
(sd. range)	(11.7, 8–45)	

each gene, the number of PTV was extremely low (Supplementary table 1) and their inclusion only marginally affected the p value (Supplementary table 2).

Except for *ACPP*, all top-hits genes are expressed in the brain²⁹. Nevertheless, an isoform of *ACPP* is known to be expressed in brain³⁰.

To assess the plausibility of these results, we compared both the proportions of variant carriers among cases and controls with an approximation of the proportion of variant carriers observed in the ExAC NFE population through the TAC/ANmax ratio (Table 3). *NDUFAF2* gene showed a large depletion of PTV, Mis3, Mis2, and Mis1 missense variant carriers among our controls compared with what was observed in the ExAC NFE population,

which suggests that the strength of the association might result from chance alone for this gene. To a lesser extent, two genes showed a relatively slight depletion in the same categories of variants in our controls compared with ExAC NFE data: *CCDC171* and *FAM19A3*. Of note, *LGR5* gene did not exhibit a clear depletion in PTV, Mis3, and Mis2 variants but the inclusion of Mis1 variants disclosed a significant depletion of variants in our controls. Hence, comparison with ExAC data also casted doubts about the reality or the strength of the association of *CCDC171*, *FAM19A3*, and *LGR5* variants with BD. On the contrary, *MYO1E* gene suffered from a lack of variants within the ExAC NFE population, not allowing us to compare cases to the ExAC NFE population.

In the light of ExAC, the remaining association results seem more robust. The absence of PTV, Mis3, Mis2, or Mis1 variants in our controls overestimated the strength of the association of *ACPP*, *ER13*, and *PLCXD3* variants with BD in our data but appears to be consistent with the extremely low TAC/ANmax ratio in ExAC NFE data (Table 3). Regarding the other genes *TCF7L1*, *BOC*, *VPS52*, *ABCC10*, and *ARHGAP9*, comparison ExAC NFE controls displayed odd ratios of similar range, thus supporting our results.

Discussion

We found 13 genes exhibiting a burden of rare truncating and missense predicted damaging variants with a level of association significance below 10^{-3} and ORs all

Table 1b Clinical characteristics of the cases

Clinical feature	N (%)
Lithium response	59/92 (64%)
Suicidal attempt	42/92 (45%)
Rapid cycling	10/92 (11%)
Psychotic symptoms	58/92 (63%)
Substance abuse	35/92 (38%)
Depressive polarity	18/92 (20%)
Manic polarity	20/92 (22%)

Table 2 Top-hits for rare variants (MAF \leq 1%) burden tests

N variant carriers					
Gene	Category	Cases	Controls	OR (CI 95%)	p value
<i>CCDC171</i>	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	11 (11.9%)	31 (2.9%)	4.4 (1.9–9.5)	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>FAM19A3</i> ⁵	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1*	5 (5.4%)	4 (0.4%)	15 (3.1–76.8)	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>TCF7L1</i> ³	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	5 (5.4%)	4 (0.4%)	15 (3.1–76.8)	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>BOC</i> ²	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1*	10 (10.8%)	26 (2.5%)	4.8 (2–10.7)	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>MYO1E</i>	PTV+Mis3+Mis2*	10 (10.8%)	27 (2.6%)	4.6 (1.9–10.2)	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>ACPP</i>	PTV+Mis3	3 (3.2%)	0	∞ (4.8– ∞)	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>PLCXD3</i> ²	PTV+Mis3*	3 (3.2%)	0	∞ (4.8– ∞)	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>NDUFAF2</i> ²	PTV+Mis3*	4 (3.7%)	2 (0.2%)	23.7 (3.3–263.9)	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>VPS52</i>	PTV+Mis3*	5 (5.4%)	5 (0.5%)	12 (2.7–53.1)	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>ER13</i>	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1*	3 (3.2%)	0	∞ (4.8– ∞)	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>ARHGAP9</i> ^{1,4}	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	11 (11.9%)	36 (3.4%)	3.8 (1.7–8)	$7.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>ABCC10</i>	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	15 (13.8%)	64 (6.1%)	3 (1.5–5.6)	$9.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
<i>LGR5</i> ²	PTV+Mis3+Mis2	10 (10.8%)	31 (2.9%)	4 (1.7–8.7)	$9.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$

OR (CI 95%) odds ratio with 95% confidence interval, PTV protein-truncating variant, Mis3 missense variants predicted damaging by 3 software out of 3, Mis2 missense variants predicted damaging by 2 software out of 3, Mis1 missense variants predicted damaging by 1 software out of 3. Genes previously implicated in (1) myelination, (2) neurodevelopment, (3) corticotropic axis, (4) microglia, (5) oxidative stress. * No PTV observed

Table 3 Comparison with ExAC data

Gene	Category	N variant carriers			Cases vs ExAC NFE		Controls vs ExAC NFE	
		Cases	Controls	ExAC NFE	OR ExAC NFE	p value	OR ExAC NFE	p value
<i>CCDC171</i> ²	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	11 (11.9%)	31 (2.9%)	1347 (4%)	3.2 (1.5–6.1)	1.25×10 ⁻³	0.72 (0.49–1.04)	7.86×10 ⁻²
<i>FAM19A3</i> ²	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1*	5 (5.4%)	4 (0.4%)	362 (1.1%)	5.2 (1.6–12.8)	3.49×10 ⁻³	0.35 (0.09–0.90)	2.15×10 ⁻²
<i>TCF7L1</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	5 (5.4%)	4 (0.4%)	145 (0.4%)	13.2 (4.1–32.6)	6.08×10 ⁻⁵	0.88 (0.23–2.30)	1
<i>BOC</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1*	10 (10.8%)	26 (2.5%)	651 (1.9%)	6.1 (2.8–11.9)	1.42×10 ⁻⁵	1.27 (0.82–1.90)	2.15×10 ⁻¹
<i>MYO1</i> ⁴	PTV+Mis3+Mis2*	10 (10.8%)	27 (2.6%)	272 (0.8%)	14.8 (6.8–29.1)	6.05×10 ⁻⁹	3.21 (2.07–4.8)	6.24×10 ⁻⁷
<i>ACPP</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3	3 (3.2%)	0	88 (0.3%)	12.7 (2.5–39.7)	2.05×10 ⁻³	0 (0–1.36)	1.18×10 ⁻¹
<i>PLCXD3</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3*	3 (3.2%)	0	39 (0.1%)	28.8 (5.6–93.3)	2.14×10 ⁻⁴	0 (0–3.15)	6.33×10 ⁻¹
<i>NDUFAF2</i> ³	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	4 (3.7%)	2 (0.2%)	434 (1.3%)	3.5 (0.9–9.2)	3.30×10 ⁻²	0.4 (0.02–0.53)	2.25×10 ⁻⁴
<i>VPS52</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3*	5 (5.4%)	5 (0.5%)	121 (0.3%)	15.8 (4.9–39.3)	2.64×10 ⁻⁵	1.31 (0.42–3.16)	4.40×10 ⁻¹
<i>ERIB</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1*	3 (3.2%)	0	84 (0.2%)	13.4 (2.7–41.7)	1.80×10 ⁻³	0 (0–1.42)	1.88×10 ⁻¹
<i>ARHGAP9</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	11 (11.9%)	36 (3.4%)	931 (2.7%)	4.7 (2.3–9)	5.59×10 ⁻⁵	1.24 (0.86–1.74)	2.17×10 ⁻¹
<i>ABCC10</i> ¹	PTV+Mis3+Mis2+Mis1	15 (13.8%)	64 (6.1%)	1604 (4.1%)	3.9 (2–6.8)	3.41×10 ⁻⁵	1.28 (0.98–1.66)	6.76×10 ⁻²
<i>LGR5</i> ²	PTV+Mis3+Mis2	10 (10.8%)	31 (2.9%)	1223 (3.6%)	3.2 (1.5–6.2)	2.08×10 ⁻³	0.80 (0.54–1.15)	2.42×10 ⁻¹

OR (CI 95%) odds ratio with 95% confidence interval, NFE non-Finnish Europeans. Controls. Robustness of the association results (1) reinforced (2) questionable (3) potential false positive (4) not analyzable

above three in our case–control study of 92 BD patients and 1051 ethnically matched controls. However, none of the association signals reached the exome-wide p value threshold of 2.5×10^{-6} and a FDR threshold of 10%. Our series of patients was enriched in patients with an early onset (63% patients with AOO below 22) and all patients had a positive family history of mood disorder. Despite limited sample sizes, this extreme phenotype sampling strategy is likely to have enriched our sample in patients carrying rare variants with a moderate-to-high impact.

To further examine the top-hits, we performed the same burden tests using the NFE individuals of the ExAC sample as controls (around 33,300 subjects depending on the depth of coverage). Given potential population stratification or exome coverage biases, as well as the absence of individual-level allelic information allowing for exact carrier proportion computations, it is not possible to conduct meta-analyses or draw firm conclusions from these statistics based on ExAC. However, consistency with ExAC allele frequencies strengthens the validity of the association for eight different genes, namely *TCF7L1*, *BOC*, *VPS52*, *ABCC10*, *ARHGAP9*, *PLCXD3*, *ACPP*, and *ERIB*. This is, to our knowledge, the first case–control study using WES of patients and ethnically matched controls. These genes can be considered as potential risk factors for BD that warrants further consideration. Among these genes, four deserve a particular attention.

PLCXD3 (Phosphatidylinositol Specific Phospholipase C X Domain Containing 3) C and *ARHGAP9* (Rho GTPase

Activating Protein 9) are involved in the phosphoinositide pathway, which is suspected to be implicated in the therapeutic effect of lithium, the main mood stabilizer³¹. *PLCXD3* encodes a phospholipase C and this locus was associated with early-onset BD though common variants in a GWAS study³².

ARHGAP9 encodes a Rho GTPase with a binding site for various phosphoinositides³³. Moreover, it seems to be involved in a co-inhibition loop with *GLI1* (GLI Family Zinc Finger 1)³⁴ whose expression is necessary for the correct repartition of dopaminergic neurons in the mid-brain³⁵ and for the remyelination process of the cerebral stem cells³⁶, a process that is enhanced by lithium exposure³⁷.

TCF7L1 (Transcription Factor 7 like 1) encodes a transcription factor involved in the WNT pathway³⁸, which is critical for the therapeutic effect of lithium³⁹. *TCF7L1* has been implicated in the development of the corticotropic axis in mice⁴⁰. Of note, two variants mapped to the binding site of Catenin Beta-1 (a central messenger in the WNT pathway) in two cases: c.112 C > A, p. Leu38Met (Mis1) and c.190 G > C, p(Glu64Gln) (Mis3). This active site is crucial in the mediation of the WNT pathway signaling.

Regarding the *BOC* (Brother Of CDON) gene, it encodes a protein involved in early and late neurodevelopmental processes such as axonal guidance and synaptogenesis, respectively^{41,42}. Interestingly, three patients carried ac.1031 G > A, p.Cys344Tyr Mis3 variant (and 1/

1051 control), which is predicted to remove a cysteine residue involved in a disulfide bond in the extracellular domain of this transmembrane protein, further increasing the probable deleteriousness of this variant to the protein function. This variant is rare in ExAC with a MAF < 0.001 among NFE individuals.

As show in Table 2, 7 out of 13 top-hits are known to be involved in various cerebral functions and pathways, which show defects or atypical functioning in BD.

A substantial part of these hits could be truly positive as the strength of the signal increased while using ExAC NFE as controls. However, exome-wide threshold for association study was not reached in this study, emphasizing that much larger samples are needed. Nevertheless, those results obtained on a small sample of extreme cases are encouraging and underline the importance of case selection in genetic association studies.

Acknowledgements

Funded by la Fondation de l'Avenir. The 3C Study supports are listed on the Study Website (www.three-city-study.com).

The following investigators participated in the Frex Consortium

Principal investigators:

Emmanuelle Génin (chair), Inserm UMR1078, CHRU, Univ Brest, Brest, France
 Dominique Campion, Inserm UMR1079, Faculté de Médecine, Rouen, France
 Jean-François Dartigues, Inserm UMR1219, Univ Bordeaux, France
 Jean-François Deleuze, Centre National de Génotypage, CEA, Fondation Jean Dausset-CEPH, Evry, France
 Jean-Charles Lambert, Inserm UMR1167, Institut Pasteur, Lille, France
 Richard Redon, Inserm UMR 1087 / CNRS UMR 6291, l'institut du thorax, Nantes, France

Collaborators:

Bioinformatics group

Thomas Ludwig (chair), Inserm UMR1078, CHRU, Univ Brest, Brest
 Benjamin Grenier-Boley, Inserm UMR1167, Institut Pasteur, Lille
 Sébastien Letort, Inserm UMR1078, CHRU, Univ Brest, Brest
 Pierre Lindenbaum, Inserm UMR 1087 / CNRS UMR 6291, l'institut du thorax, Nantes
 Vincent Meyer, Centre National de Génotypage, CEA, Evry
 Olivier Quenez, Inserm UMR1079, Faculté de Médecine, Rouen

Statistical genetics group

Christian Dina (chair), Inserm UMR 1087/CNRS UMR 6291, l'institut du thorax, Nantes
 Céline Bellenguez, Inserm UMR1167, Institut Pasteur, Lille
 Camille Charbonnier-Le Clézio, Inserm UMR1079, Faculté de Médecine, Rouen
 Joanna Gienza, Inserm UMR 1087 / CNRS UMR 6291, l'institut du thorax, Nantes

Data collection

Stéphanie Chatel, Inserm UMR 1087 / CNRS UMR 6291, l'institut du thorax, Nantes
 Claude Férec, Inserm UMR1078, CHRU, Univ Brest
 Hervé Le Marec, Inserm UMR 1087 / CNRS UMR 6291, l'institut du thorax, Nantes
 Luc Letenneur, Inserm UMR1219, Univ Bordeaux
 Gaël Nicolas, Inserm UMR1079, Faculté de Médecine, Rouen, France
 Karen Rouault, Inserm UMR1078, CHRU, Univ Brest

Sequencing

Delphine Bacq, Centre National de Génotypage, CEA, Evry
 Anne Boland, Centre National de Génotypage, CEA, Evry
 Doris Lechner, Centre National de Génotypage, CEA, Evry

Author details

¹Department of Research, Centre hospitalier du Rouvray, Sotteville-lès-Rouen, France. ²Department of Genetics, Normandy Centre for Genomic and Personalized Medicine, Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, Inserm U1245 and Rouen University Hospital, F 76000 Rouen, France. ³Centre National de Recherche en Génomique Humaine, Institut de Génomique, CEA, Evry, France. ⁴Inserm UMR-1078, CHRU Brest, Univ. Brest, Brest, France. ⁵Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, UMR1219, F-33076 Bordeaux, France

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-018-0291-7>).

Received: 7 February 2018 Revised: 25 September 2018 Accepted: 5 October 2018

Published online: 05 December 2018

References

- Lopez, A. D. & Murray, C. C. The global burden of disease, 1990–2020. *Nat. Med.* **4**, 1241–1243 (1998).
- Shih, R. A., Belmonte, P. L. & Zandi, P. P. A review of the evidence from family, twin and adoption studies for a genetic contribution to adult psychiatric disorders. *Int. Rev. Psychiatry* **16**, 260–283 (2004).
- Craddock, N. & Sklar, P. Genetics of bipolar disorder: successful start to a long journey. *Trends Genet. Evol.* **25**, 99–105 (2009).
- Grozeva, D. et al. Rare copy number variants: a point of rarity in genetic risk for bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **67**, 318–327 (2010).
- Li, K., Xu, R., Zhang, H. & Wang, Q. [Evaluating the missing heritability of bipolar disorder using the multifactorial liability threshold model]. *Yi Chuan Hered.* **36**, 897–902 (2014).
- Manolio, T. A. et al. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. *Nature* **461**, 747–753 (2009).
- Cruceanu, C. et al. Rare susceptibility variants for bipolar disorder suggest a role for G protein-coupled receptors. *Mol. Psychiatry*. In press.
- Bellenguez, C. et al. Contribution to Alzheimer's disease risk of rare variants in TREM2, SORL1, and ABCA7 in 1779 cases and 1273 controls. *Neurobiol. Aging* **59**, 220.e1–220.e9 (2017).
- Curtis, D. Pathway analysis of whole exome sequence data provides further support for the involvement of histone modification in the aetiology of schizophrenia. *Psychiatr. Genet.* **26**, 223–227 (2016).
- Purcell, S. M. et al. A polygenic burden of rare disruptive mutations in schizophrenia. *Nature* **506**, 185–190 (2014).
- Nurnberger, J. I. et al. Diagnostic interview for genetic studies. Rationale, unique features, and training. NIMH Genetics Initiative. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **51**, 849–859 (1994).
- Sachs, G. S. et al. Rationale, design, and methods of the systematic treatment enhancement program for bipolar disorder (STEP-BD). *Biol. Psychiatry* **53**, 1028–1042 (2003).
- Grof, P. et al. Is response to prophylactic lithium a familial trait? *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **63**, 942–947 (2002).
- Priebe, L. et al. Genome-wide survey implicates the influence of copy number variants (CNVs) in the development of early-onset bipolar disorder. *Mol. Psychiatry* **17**, 421–432 (2012).
- Peloso, G. M. et al. Phenotypic extremes in rare variant study designs. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **24**, 924–930 (2016).
- 3C Study Group. Vascular factors and risk of dementia: design of the Three-City Study and baseline characteristics of the study population. *Neuroepidemiology* **22**, 316–325 (2003).
- Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
- McKenna, A. et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).
- Cingolani, P. et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strainw1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly. (Austin)*. **6**, 80–92 (2012).
- Cingolani, P. et al. Using *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model for genotoxic chemical mutational studies with a New Program, SnpSift. *Front. Genet.* **3**, 35 (2012).

21. Price, A. L. et al. Long-range LD can confound genome scans in admixed populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **83**, 132–135 (2008).
22. Li, H. Toward better understanding of artifacts in variant calling from high-coverage samples. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2843–2851 (2014).
23. Benson, G. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 573–580 (1999).
24. Karolchik, D. et al. The UCSC Table Browser data retrieval tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, D493–D496 (2004).
25. MacArthur, D. G. et al. A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. *Science* **335**, 823–828 (2012).
26. Adzhubei, I. A. et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat. Methods* **7**, 248–249 (2010).
27. Schwarz, J. M., Cooper, D. N., Schuelke, M. & Seelow, D. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the deep-sequencing age. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 361–362 (2014).
28. Ng, P. C. & Henikoff, S. Predicting deleterious amino acid substitutions. *Genome Res.* **11**, 863–874 (2001).
29. GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 580–585 (2013).
30. Quintero, I. B. et al. Prostatic acid phosphatase is not a prostate specific target. *Cancer Res.* **67**, 6549–6554 (2007).
31. Phiel, C. J. & Klein, P. S. Molecular targets of lithium action. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **41**, 789–813 (2001).
32. Jamain, S. et al. Common and rare variant analysis in early-onset bipolar disorder vulnerability. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e104326 (2014).
33. Ceccarelli, D. F. J. et al. Non-canonical interaction of phosphoinositides with pleckstrin homology domains of Tiam1 and ArhGAP9. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 13864–13874 (2007).
34. Katoh, Y. & Katoh, M. Integrative genomic analyses on GLI1: positive regulation of GLI1 by Hedgehog-GLI, TGFbeta-Smads, and RTK-PI3K-AKT signals, and negative regulation of GLI1 by Notch-CSL-HES/HEY, and GPCR-Gs-PKA signals. *Int. J. Oncol.* **35**, 187–192 (2009).
35. Hayes, L., Zhang, Z., Albert, P., Zervas, M. & Ahn, S. Timing of Sonic hedgehog and Gli1 expression segregates midbrain dopamine neurons. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **519**, 3001–3018 (2011).
36. Samanta, J. et al. Inhibition of Gli1 mobilizes endogenous neural stem cells for remyelination. *Nature* **526**, 448–452 (2015).
37. Fang, X.-Y. et al. Lithium accelerates functional motor recovery by improving remyelination of regenerating axons following ventral root avulsion and reimplantation. *Neuroscience* **329**, 213–225 (2016).
38. Shy, B. R. et al. Regulation of Tcf7l1 DNA binding and protein stability as principal mechanisms of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. *Cell Rep.* **4**, 1–9 (2013).
39. Gould, T. D. & Manji, H. K. The Wnt signaling pathway in bipolar disorder. *Neuroscientist* **8**, 497–511 (2002).
40. Gaston-Massuet, C. et al. Transcription factor 7-like 1 is involved in hypothalamo-pituitary axis development in mice and humans. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E548–E557 (2016).
41. Courchet, J. & Polleux, F. Sonic hedgehog, BOC, and synaptic development: new players for an old game. *Neuron* **73**, 1055–1058 (2012).
42. Okada, A. et al. Boc is a receptor for sonic hedgehog in the guidance of commissural axons. *Nature* **444**, 369–373 (2006).