

Article

Relationships between Exposure to Bioaerosols, Moldy Surface and Symptoms in French Mold-Damaged Homes

Antoine Delanoë ¹, Natacha Heutte ², Stéphanie Gente ¹, Virginie Séguin ¹ and David Garon ^{1,*}

¹ University of Caen Normandy, ABTE EA4651–ToxEMAC, Centre F. Baclesse, 14000 Caen, France; antoine.delanoë@unicaen.fr (A.D.); stephanie.gente@unicaen.fr (S.G.); virginie.seguin@unicaen.fr (V.S.)

² University of Rouen, CETAPS EA3832, 76000 Rouen, France; natacha.heutte@univ-rouen.fr

* Correspondence: david.garon@unicaen.fr; Tel.: +33 231455221

Received: 6 February 2020; Accepted: 21 February 2020; Published: 25 February 2020

Abstract: Air quality in homes is a major concern in Europe, where people spend most of their time indoors. According to the World Health Organization, numerous houses are subject to dampness that can lead to mold growth, with associated health and economic consequences. Our goal was to characterize the human exposure to bioaerosols in French mold-damaged houses but also to study the effects of these bioaerosols as suffered by the inhabitants of these houses. A global approach including both field study and laboratory experimentation was used to investigate 48 mold-damaged homes. Among a wide fungal diversity, 101 viable species, *Aspergillus versicolor*, *Penicillium chrysogenum* and *P. crustosum* were observed as recurrent species and could be used as microbial indicators of indoor air quality. Statistical analyses highlighted a relationship between the concentrations of these recurrent molds and the levels of surface contamination by molds in homes. Fever, cough, dyspnea, flu-like symptoms were observed with several fungal strains (*A. versicolor*, *P. chrysogenum* and *P. crustosum*) or in relation to moldy odor. Relationships between particles of 2 to 15 µm diameter and headaches and dizziness were also observed. In our study, we identified a cutaneous effect (itching) in relationship to the airborne concentration of *A. versicolor*.

Keywords: bioaerosols; homes; human health; indoor air quality; molds

1. Introduction

The quality of indoor air has recently become a concern in European countries, where people spend as much as 80% to 95% of their time indoors, and breathe around 10 m³ of air every day [1]. Amongst all the air pollutants, biological contaminants (molds, pollens, endotoxins) constitute a diversified group of airborne particles which is now referred to as bioaerosol [2].

Molds are filamentous fungi with focus of attention for health agencies, as high concentrations can be observed in damaged buildings [3]. A recent report estimated that 20% of European houses may be concerned by mold degradation causing health and economic damage [4–6]. Molds can produce high quantities of spores that can stay in the air over extended periods of time [7]. In addition, fungal fragments are also released and aerosolized from fungal growth. These mycelium fragments constitute smaller-diameter particles which can penetrate further in human airways. A recent study showed that these mycelium fragments may be produced in larger quantities than spores [8]. Both spores and mycelium fragments contain β-D-glucans, which are components of the cell wall that display pro-inflammatory effects [9]. Finally, molds are also known to produce some secondary metabolites named mycotoxins, such as sterigmatocystin, which is a carcinogenic and a cytotoxic mycotoxin known to be produced by *Aspergillus versicolor* [10].

Exposure to dampness and mold is associated with increased risk of asthma development and exacerbation, as well as dyspnea, cough, wheeze, respiratory infections, eczema and respiratory symptoms for allergic and non-allergic people [11]. Although some microbial species, such as bacteria, are suspected to have a protective effect against asthma development and exacerbation, it is not the case for mold exposure [12]. Inflammatory effects of fungal bioaerosols were also observed in experimental animal studies [13].

The study of human exposure in mold-damaged houses proves to be challenging both because of technical problems and of access to mold-damaged houses. In case of high concentration of molds, collection of bioaerosols by direct impaction may not be possible as the agar plates would be overloaded. Moreover, the short sampling time and the type of agar medium used for impaction do not allow analysis of the whole diversity of the species in these homes [14]. Improved metrics are needed to evaluate exposure to molds [15].

In our study, we applied an experimental approach based on the impingement of bioaerosols from mold-damaged dwellings into liquid, quantification of several microbial contaminants and collection of the symptoms felt by inhabitants of these mold-damaged houses.

2. Experiments

2.1. House Selection and Bioaerosol Collection

The study was based on 48 houses located in Normandy in which apparent mold growth was observed. The sampling frame took place between November 2015 and March 2018. Among the 48 houses of this study, 30 were apartments amongst which 21 of them were located in urban area and 9 in suburban area. The 18 other residences were individual houses which were located in urban areas ($n = 2$), suburban areas ($n = 9$) and rural areas ($n = 7$). These houses were selected by local partners: safety service of the city of Caen, health advisers of indoor environments and members of tenant associations.

The sampling took place in the center of the room the most contaminated by the mold growth, 1 meter above the ground. During sampling, no activities occurred indoor. Surface contamination level was evaluated by the investigator, by observation of visible molds and was classified as low (inferior to 0.2 m^2), moderate (between 0.2 to 3 m^2) or high (superior to 3 m^2) as proposed by the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES) [3].

A cyclonic force sampler, Coriolis[®] μ (Bertin, France), was used to take air samples at a flow rate of 300 L min^{-1} . A total of 4 samples was successively taken at the same place in each house. Each sample was collected during 10 min at 300 L min^{-1} with a starting volume of collection liquid of 15 mL of sterile water containing 0.02% of Tween 80 (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). At the end of the sampling, the 4 samples were pooled and the combined volume was measured.

This cyclonic force impinger was selected according to previous studies, in order to sample a large volume of air in mold-damaged homes [16]. Depositing the bioaerosols into a collection liquid allowed us to dilute this sampling liquid, avoiding the saturation of agar plates. It also allowed us to make different analyses from the bioaerosols sampled, such as β -D-glucans and endotoxins quantifications.

A Grimm particles counter (Model 1.108, Grimm Technologies, Inc., Douglasville, GA, USA) was also used to count particles from 0.3 to $20 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter, and to monitor the temperature and relative humidity during the sampling.

2.2. Quantification of Biological Contaminants

For each home, an aliquot of 1 mL of collection liquid and dilutions (10^{-1} ; 10^{-2} ; 10^{-3}) were deposited into Petri plates, and malt extract agar (MEA) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) supplemented with chloramphenicol (0.02 g L^{-1}) (Cooper, USA) was poured. Plates were incubated at $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 7 days, checked daily, and the number of colonies was counted and reported as Colony Forming Units per m^3 of air (CFU m^{-3}). Each different fungal colony was isolated and purified in MEA medium.

Species belonging to *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* genera were also cultured on Czapek yeast autolysate (CYA) and 25% glycerol nitrate (G25N) media.

Identifications through macroscopic and microscopic observations were based on various reference books [17–24] and comparison with fungal strains of the mold collection of the laboratory. When microscopic identifications were not sufficient, the DNA of pure fungal cultures was extracted using the Nucleospin® Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel, France). Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and beta-tubulin gene regions were then sequenced by GATC (Eurofins, Hamburg, Germany) using ITS1/ITS4 primers and Bt2a/Bt2b [25].

Endotoxins and β -glucans were quantified from the collection liquid using LAL test (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA).

2.3. Questionnaire

Inhabitants were interviewed in order to complete a questionnaire about their health status (symptoms recorded in their homes) and the characteristics of their house (Table 1). This questionnaire was completed by the house investigator in the presence of the inhabitants. A total of 48 questionnaires were collected during this study.

Table 1. Main parameters documented in the questionnaire completed during home inspections.

	Data Documented	Type of Answers
Habits of inhabitants	Daily time spent in the house; daily ventilation of room duration; ownership of a pet; presence of plants inside the house; Use of an absorber/dehumidifier	Yes/No
Building	Mold-contaminated surface	Categories Low (<0.2 m ²)/Moderate (between 0.2 to 3 m ²)/High (>3 m ²)
	Type of ventilation	Type of ventilation (Natural/Mechanical)
	Type of heating system	Type of heater (Electric/Gas/Heating oil)
	Moldy odor; history of water damage	Yes/No
Symptoms	Allergy	Yes/No
	Anxiety; asthma aggravation; clogged nose; cough; dizziness; dyspnea; expectorations; eye irritation; fever; flu-like symptoms; headache; insomnia; itching; muscular pain; respiratory pain; skin rash; sinusitis; sore throat	Yes/No and Intensity (Null/Weak/Moderate/Strong)

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics (frequency, mean and standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum) were used to determine the prevalence rates of microbial contaminants. Exploratory analyses were conducted to examine the relationship by using chi-square test, Fisher exact test, Mann–Whitney’s test, Kruskal–Wallis test and Spearman correlation coefficient as appropriate. Only results at $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were executed using SAS system version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Bioaerosols

The temperature, relative humidity and concentration of particles from 0.3 to 20 μm in these houses are shown in Table 2. The surface contaminated by visible molds was low (<0.2 m²) in 16.7% (n = 8) houses, moderate (between 0.2 to 3 m²) in 60.4% (n = 29) houses and high (>3 m²) in 22.9% (n = 11) houses.

Table 2. Physical characteristics of bioaerosols from the 48 mold-damaged houses.

Physical Characteristics	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	Min	Max	Interquartile Range
Temperature of room (°C)	21.99	22.25	2.51	12.64	26.10	3.28
Relative Humidity of room (%)	60.68	60.50	7.55	44.84	74.30	13.30
Particles from 0.3 to 20 μm per m ³ air	1.16 × 10 ⁸	3.57 × 10 ⁷	3.07 × 10 ⁸	7.80 × 10 ⁶	2.01 × 10 ⁹	5.74 × 10 ⁷

Levels of contamination by viable molds ranged from 1.67 × 10 to 3.61 × 10⁵ CFU m⁻³ of air, with a mean value of 1.02 × 10⁴ CFU m⁻³ and a standard deviation of 5.33 × 10⁵ CFU m⁻³ (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Total viable fungal particles concentration in the different houses (HAB01 to HAB48) included in this study.

A total of 101 different fungal species were identified and quantified from bioaerosols. Pielou evenness index ranged from 0.09 to 0.95, with a mean value of 0.69 and a standard deviation of 0.14. Table 3 presents the fungal species observed in bioaerosols at a frequency above 10%.

Table 3. Molds identified from bioaerosols in mold-damaged homes (n = 48) at a frequency above 10%.

Fungal Species	Frequency (n = 48)	Mean Value (CFU m ⁻³ of Air)
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>	36	243.04
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i>	35	9321.47
<i>Penicillium crustosum</i>	32	37.68
<i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i>	30	38.36
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	20	2.18

<i>Penicillium glabrum</i>	19	17.79
<i>Penicillium expansum</i>	13	1.61
<i>Penicillium olsonii</i>	13	15.30
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	10	0.94
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	10	0.26
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	10	1.68
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i>	9	33.01
<i>Penicillium nalgiovense</i>	9	1.90
<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>	9	0.68
<i>Aspergillus sydowii</i>	8	9.83
<i>Cladosporium herbarum</i>	8	6.60
<i>Chaetomium globosum</i>	7	0.40
<i>Paecilomyces variotii</i>	7	1.09
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i>	7	2.51
<i>Penicillium waksmanii</i>	7	1.93
<i>Aspergillus pseudoglaucus</i>	6	1.67
<i>Cladosporium sphaerospermum</i>	6	1.61
<i>Penicillium italicum</i>	5	1.00
<i>Penicillium piceum</i>	5	0.30
<i>Penicillium purpurogenum</i>	5	6.45
<i>Penicillium simplicissimum</i>	5	0.52

CFU: Colony Forming Unit.

Other species belonging to different genera listed below were also identified in less than 10% of houses: *Absidia*, *Acremonium*, *Actinomucor*, *Alternata*, *Aphanocladium*, *Arthrinium*, *Chaetomium*, *Chrysonilia*, *Chrysosporium*, *Cryptococcus*, *Emericella*, *Fusarium*, *Gliocladium*, *Hyphodermella*, *Mucor*, *Mycotypha*, *Naganishia*, *Paecilomyces*, *Phanerochaete*, *Phoma*, *Pithomyces*, *Rhizopus*, *Scedosporium*, *Stachybotrys*, *Talaromyces*, *Thamnidium*, *Trichoderma* and *Ulocladium*.

Three fungal groups were dominant in this study both for their recurrence and their concentration in bioaerosols: *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Cladosporium* (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Representation of the fungal groups (*Cladosporium*, *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and others) quantified from bioaerosols. The box and whisker plots show the median percentage of fungal concentration (horizontal bars), their quartiles (box) and range (whiskers).

The highest concentration of molds in bioaerosols was observed for *A. versicolor* (with a mean value of 9.3×10^3 CFU m⁻³), which was also the dominant mold in the houses with high levels of airborne molds. The second highest concentration was observed for *P. chrysogenum* (2.4×10^2 CFU m⁻³). In the houses where *P. chrysogenum* was not identified, other species such as *P. glabrum* or *P. expansum* were observed. The *P. brevicompactum*, *P. crustosum* and *C. cladosporioides* molds were also present at non-negligible concentrations in most bioaerosols, with respective mean values of 3.8×10 , 3.8×10 and 3.3×10 CFU m⁻³. All the others species we identified were observed at a lower mean concentration.

The detailed list of all quantified species with their mean and median concentrations in bioaerosols is presented in Table S1.

Endotoxin concentration in the air ranged from 1.45 to 911.25 Endotoxin Unit (EU) m⁻³ of air, with a mean value of 132.74 EU m⁻³, a median value of 57.88 EU m⁻³ and a standard deviation of 183.53 EU m⁻³.

(1-3)- β -D-glucans concentration ranged from 0.16 to 15.48 ng m⁻³ of air, with a mean value of 1.56 ng m⁻³, a median value of 1.05 ng m⁻³ and a standard deviation of 2.21 ng m⁻³. Glucans were not correlated with total levels of molds ($p = 0.6901$) and nor with *A. versicolor* ($p = 0.5671$), *A. fumigatus* ($p = 0.9407$), *P. brevicompactum* ($p = 0.3207$), *P. chrysogenum* ($p = 0.6645$) and *P. crustosum* ($p = 0.7067$).

3.2. Health Status of Inhabitants

The frequency and intensity of the symptoms mentioned by the inhabitants are presented in Table 4. The most frequently encountered symptoms were clogged nose and dyspnea.

Table 4. Symptoms occurrences (%) and intensities (weak/moderate/strong) in mold-damaged homes.

Symptom	Occurrence	Weak Intensity	Moderate Intensity	Strong Intensity
Clogged nose	75.00	11.54	23.08	40.38
Dyspnea	75.00	11.54	32.69	30.77
Insomnia	69.23	9.62	26.92	32.69
Itching	67.31	17.31	26.92	23.08
Sore throat	59.62	21.15	30.77	7.69
Headaches	59.62	26.92	25.00	7.69
Eye irritation	55.77	11.50	28.85	15.38
Cough	53.85	15.38	26.92	11.54
Skin rash	42.31	15.38	25.00	1.92
Respiratory pain	40.38	19.23	17.31	3.85
Sinusitis	34.62	9.62	15.38	9.62
Expectorations	32.69	1.92	23.08	7.69
Muscular pain	30.77	23.08	5.77	1.92
Flu-like symptoms	30.77	21.15	9.62	0.00
Asthma aggravation	25.00	1.92	9.62	13.46
Anxiety	23.08	7.69	13.46	1.92
Dizziness	19.23	13.46	3.85	1.92
Fever	17.31	9.62	3.85	3.85

3.3. Statistical Analyses from Microbial and Health Data Collected in Mold-Damaged Homes

3.3.1. Correlations

First, we searched correlation factors between biological contaminants, such as the total fungal concentration in air (total CFU) or the most recurrent molds in bioaerosols (*A. versicolor*, *A. fumigatus*, *Penicillium brevicompactum*, *P. chrysogenum* and *P. crustosum*), and different parameters measured like the level of contaminated surface, the endotoxins and β -D-glucans concentrations in air, and other physical data like the concentration of airborne particles between 0.3 to 20 μ m and between 2 to 15 μ m, and the temperature and relative humidity.

Some correlations were statistically significant:

Between the total fungal concentration in the air and the concentration of *A. versicolor* ($rS = 0.603/p < 0.0001$), as well as *P. chrysogenum* ($rS = 0.469/p < 0.0008$).

Between the concentration in the air of *A. versicolor* and *P. chrysogenum* ($r = 0.476/p < 0.0006$).

Between the concentration of *A. versicolor* in the air and the concentration of particles of 0.3 to 20 μm ($rS = 0.333/p = 0.0205$), the concentration of particles of 2 to 15 μm ($r = 0.339/p = 0.0181$) and the relative humidity ($rS = 0.420/p = 0.0029$).

Between the concentration of *P. crustosum* in the air and the concentration of particles of 2 to 15 μm ($rS = 0.462/p = 0.0009$).

Between the concentration of *P. chrysogenum* in the air and the relative humidity ($rS = 0.343/p = 0.0168$) as well as the temperature ($rS = 0.299/p = 0.0385$).

3.3.2. Explanatory Variables

To explain the airborne fungal contamination, we searched relations between the recurrent fungal species (*A. versicolor*, *A. fumigatus*, *Penicillium brevicompactum*, *P. chrysogenum* and *P. crustosum*) in bioaerosols and the different categories of mold-contaminated surfaces (Table 5).

Table 5. Mold-contaminated surfaces as explanatory variables used to explain fungal concentrations observed in bioaerosols.

Fungal Concentration	Mold-contaminated Surface ¹	N	Median	<i>p</i> -value (Mann–Whitney Test)
Total CFU m ⁻³	Low	8	257.50	0.8681
	Moderate	29	212.00	
	Moderate	29	212.00	0.0167 *
	High	11	408.00	
	Low	8	257.50	0.0632
High	11	408.00		
<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> CFU m ⁻³	Low	8	0.00	0.0155 *
	Moderate	29	17.50	
	Moderate	29	17.50	0.0577
	High	11	122.00	
	Low	8	0.00	0.0035 *
High	11	122.00		
<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> CFU m ⁻³	Low	8	11.40	0.6947
	Moderate	29	7.78	
	Moderate	29	7.78	0.0190 *
	High	11	32.90	
	Low	8	11.40	0.0465 *
High	11	32.90		
<i>Penicillium crustosum</i> CFU m ⁻³	Low	8	3.86	0.3934
	Moderate	29	0.96	
	Moderate	29	0.96	0.0549
	High	11	5.07	
	Low	8	3.86	0.4308
High	11	5.07		

¹ Levels of contaminated surfaces: Low (<0.2 m²); Moderate (0.2 to 3 m²) and High (>0.3 m²). * Significant results ($p < 0.05$) are marked with an asterisk. CFU: Colony Forming Unit.

A relationship was found between the different categories of mold-contaminated surfaces and several fungal constituents of bioaerosols: the total number of viable molds (total CFU), the concentration of *A. versicolor* and the concentration of *P. chrysogenum* in the air. In our study, higher contaminated surfaces corresponded to the higher median concentrations for these airborne molds.

We also searched for explanatory variables of the different symptoms as felt by inhabitants according to the different parameters measured in the houses like the concentrations of recurrent airborne molds, the surface of visible contaminated surface, the moldy odor, etc. Only significant results ($p < 0.05$) are shown in Tables 6 to 8.

Table 6. Explanatory variables of the symptoms mentioned by inhabitants.

Explanatory Variable.	Symptom	N	Median	<i>p</i> -value (Mann–Whitney or Kruskal–Wallis Test)	
<i>A. versicolor</i> (CFU m ⁻³)	Dizziness	Yes	10	2.1×10^2	0.0038 *
		No	39	9.46	
	Fever	Yes	9	2.1×10^2	0.0315 *
		No	40	11.95	
	Headache	Yes	29	40.30	0.0154 *
		No	20	6.16	
	Itching	Null	17	17.50	0.0438 *
		Weak	7	5.65	
		Moderate	14	4.68	
		Strong	11	1.6×10^2	
Expectorations	Yes	16	64.15	0.0489 *	
	No	33	11.10		
<i>P. chrysogenum</i> (CFU m ⁻³)	Fever	Yes	9	1.1×10^2	0.0197 *
		No	40	7.92	
	Expectorations	Yes	16	31.55	0.0053 *
		No	33	3.78	
<i>P. crustosum</i> (CFU m ⁻³)	Fever	Yes	9	9.72	0.0344 *
		No	40	2.32	
	Cough	Yes	28	4.95	0.0418 *
		No	21	0.00	
	Headache	Yes	29	5.07	0.0163 *
		No	20	0.43	
	Insomnia	Yes	36	4.36	0.0359 *
		No	13	0.00	
Endotoxins (ng m ⁻³)	Dyspnea	Yes	36	3.75	0.0052 *
		No	13	11.11	
		Yes	13	2.16	0.0149 *

Particles of 2–15 µm diameter (per m ³ of air)	Flu-like symptom	No	36	7.62	0.0136 *
	Dizziness	Yes	10	6.1 × 10 ⁵	
		No	39	3.1 × 10 ⁵	
	Headache	Yes	29	4.4 × 10 ⁵	
No		20	2.7 × 10 ⁵		
Relative humidity (%)	Clogged nose	Yes	37	60.80	0.0315 *
		No	12	55.28	
	Expectorations	Yes	16	68.50	
		No	33	60.30	
	Sore throat	Yes	29	61.58	
		No	20	55.68	

* Significant results ($p < 0.05$) are marked with an asterisk. CFU: Colony Forming Unit.

Table 7. Contaminated surface as explanatory variable of the symptoms mentioned by inhabitants.

Explanatory Variable	Symptom	N	Low	Moderate	High	p -value (chi ² or Fisher Exact Test)	
Contaminated surface ¹	Dyspnea	Yes	36	3	23	10	0.0354 *
		No	13	5	7	1	
	Headache	Yes	29	3	16	10	0.0385 *
		No	20	5	14	1	
	Expectorations	Yes	16	10	2	4	0.0001 *
		No	33	1	6	26	

¹ Levels of contaminated surfaces: Low (<0.2 m²); Moderate (0.2 to 3 m²) and High (>3 m²). * Significant results ($p < 0.05$) are marked with an asterisk.

Table 8. Moldy odor as explanatory variable of the symptoms mentioned by inhabitants.

Explanatory Variable	Symptom	N	Yes	No	p -value (chi ² or Fisher Exact Test)	
Moldy odor	Anxiety	Yes	17	11	6	0.0131 *
		No	32	9	23	
	Dyspnea	Yes	36	18	18	0.0295 *
		No	13	2	11	
	Flu-like symptom	Yes	13	9	4	0.0150 *
		No	36	11	25	
	Headache	Yes	29	13	16	0.0138 *
		No	20	16	4	
	Muscular pain	Yes	15	10	5	0.0145 *
		No	34	10	24	
	Expectorations	Yes	16	10	6	0.0315 *
		No	33	10	23	

* Significant results ($p < 0.05$) are marked with an asterisk.

We observed relationships between the symptoms felt by the inhabitants of mold-damaged homes and the concentrations in air of the most recurrent fungal species (*Aspergillus versicolor*,

Penicillium chrysogenum and *P. crustosum*) except for *P. brevicompactum*. Relationships were also found between these symptoms and the physical parameters of bioaerosols, such as the relative humidity or the concentration of particles of 2 to 15 μm of diameter. The level of mold-contaminated surface and the moldy odor were also related to several symptoms like dyspnea and headache.

4. Discussion

We found different levels of fungal contamination during this field study. The median fungal concentration of viable spores in the air was 2.76×10^2 CFU m^{-3} . Overall, this range of fungal contamination in air was similar to the levels already described in mold-damaged houses [16,26,27]. The levels observed in our study increased above 10^3 CFU m^{-3} of air in 9 homes. In a previous study, Trout et al. [28] reported a case of chronic lung disease in a worker at this concentration of culturable airborne molds. In comparison, a median level of 18.75 CFU m^{-3} has been observed in houses without visible molds (unpublished data). Chao et al. [29] investigated office building without mold degradation and observed similar fungal concentrations, with a median value of 21.53 CFU m^{-3} .

We identified in bioaerosols the 3 recurrent fungal genera (*Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Cladosporium*) which are known to be present in water-damaged houses, although in our study, *Cladosporium* was not the most prevalent fungal genus. This observation may not be due to the type of sampler used, as it was successfully used to collect *Cladosporium* in a previous study in indoor environments [16]. *Alternaria* species were also almost absent in our samples (identified in only one bioaerosol), although this genus has been described to be prevalent in other cultural studies on bioaerosols collected in houses of asthmatic patients [26,30]. A significant correlation between relative humidity and the concentration of some recurrent molds (*A. versicolor* and *P. chrysogenum*) was observed. Relationships between humidity and microbial contaminants has already been described from airborne dust [31] as well as settled dust [32], but the effect of relative humidity on sporulation and aerosolisation of fungal particles was different according to the fungal species [33]. As explained by Wolkoff [34], indoor air humidity appears as a meaningful indicator of air quality.

Penicillium chrysogenum was the most recurrent species, identified in 75% of the residences. This species was already known to be recurrent in indoor air [26], and showed cellulolytic properties [35] that could explain its growth in mold-damaged houses. Some other species belonging to the *Penicillium* genus were found to be recurrent in mold-damaged houses: *P. crustosum* was identified in 67% of our samples. This species was described in aerosols from houses [36], but was also observed in the air of other environments like composting plants and vegetal wastes [37–38], and was shown to be able to produce two mycotoxins: roquefortin C and penitrem A [39]. *P. brevicompactum* was also identified in 63% of our samples, and has been identified in several indoor environments and vegetal wastes. This species was known to produce several mycotoxins including, mycophenolic acid, an immunosuppressive mycotoxin [40]. *P. glabrum* was identified in 40% of the mold-damaged houses and constituted a fungal species which was able to degrade plants and fruits and could produce patulin. This mold has been previously identified in composting plants [18,37].

Among the *Aspergillus* genus, *A. versicolor* was the most recurrent species, identified in 73% of the mold-damaged houses, and represented the second most recurrent fungal species after *P. chrysogenum*, as well as the dominant species in the most heavily contaminated houses. This species was able to produce a potent mutagenic mycotoxin, named sterigmatocystin. Jussila et al. [41] have also showed that injection in mice of high concentration of spores of this species caused inflammatory responses. Among this genus, *A. fumigatus* and *A. flavus* were also somewhat recurrent, identified in 42% and 21%, respectively. These two species were known as the main cause for invasive respiratory aspergillosis in people with a weakened or compromised immune system, and were able to produce some mycotoxins [42].

Other species such as *Botrytis cinerea*, *Chaetomium globosum*, *Paecilomyces variotii* and *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* were identified in more than 10% of mold-damaged houses. Different species belonging to *Botrytis* and *Chaetomium* genera have also been identified in houses located in Belgium [26] and have shown cellulolytic properties [18].

Some other species were known to be potentially infectious or toxic such as *Cryptococcus albidus* or *Stachybotrys chartarum* [43,44]. We also identified some molds that were able to degrade lignin and/or cellulose, and that might be involved in the degradation of the buildings, such as the *Chaetomium* or the *Trichoderma* species [45].

In regards to the technical aspects, a recent study showed that the use of the Coriolis® collector may underestimate the number of CFU for fungal spores [46]. This could mean that the fungal concentration recorded in our study may be below the real concentration of these fungal species in air. Several studies already documented the fungal content of bioaerosols in houses using cultural approach [16,26,27,30,47–49]. Most of these studies have used impaction onto agar, which are not suited to highly contaminated environments as agar plates will be quickly saturated by molds. The Coriolis® allows researchers to collect particles in a liquid medium used for serial dilutions and different analyses using various techniques.

In our study, we used a cultural method in order to investigate the viable fungal content of bioaerosols because this method allows to observe a large diversity of indoor molds. Other tools could be used to quantify molds, like quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) which allows a fast quantification for both lives and dead fungal spores and hyphae [50,51], but was restricted by the specificity of the primers to a fungal species or a fungal group. Studies have shown correlations between qPCR and cultural methods for evaluation of contamination by indoor fungi [52,53]. Recently, a next-generation sequencing study was used to quantify molds and bacteria in a waste composting facility and allowed the bacterial or fungal diversity of bioaerosols to be assessed and revealed genera that were missed by the cultural approach [54,55]. Although this approach seems promising, some disadvantages occur like difficulties in data analysis and low-abundance communities study.

The statistical analyses performed in this pilot study showed that several variables were found to be linked to the symptoms felt by the inhabitants. Most studies about mold exposure highlighted respiratory symptoms [4]. In our study, we also observed a cutaneous effect (itching) in relationship to the airborne concentration of *A. versicolor*, which has not been previously described to our knowledge. Although skin reactions have previously been described (atopic dermatitis, eczema), the association with a mold exposure was not demonstrated [56,57]. The relationship observed between itching and *A. versicolor* seems not to be monotonic (the concentration of *A. versicolor* only increased for strong symptoms). This could be explained by the existence of a threshold level of contamination above which the symptom could appear.

For *A. versicolor*, relationships with dizziness, fever, headache and expectorations were also found. In all cases, median concentrations of this mold were higher when the symptom was reported than in the absence of symptoms. Takigawa et al. [58] previously found a correlation between the concentration of *Aspergillus* species with the Sick Building Syndrome (SBS), which includes symptoms such as irritation of eyes, nose and throat as well as fatigue. Central nervous symptoms could be due to volatile organic chemicals emitted from mold and building materials which are known to cause headaches, inability to concentrate, or dizziness [59]. In our study, headaches were present in the analyses with several indicators of fungal contamination like *A. versicolor*, *P. crustosum*, mold-contaminated surface and moldy odor. We also found a relationship between the musty odor in house and the frequency of reports for muscular pain, expectorations, flu-like symptoms, dyspnea and anxiety. Herneberg et al. [60] previously observed a lower forced expiration volume and forced vital capacity for non-asthmatic adults exposed to moldy odor in comparison to non-asthmatic adults living in mold-free houses.

Fever, cough, dyspnea, flu-like symptoms were observed with several fungal strains (*A. versicolor*, *P. chrysogenum* and *P. crustosum*) or in relation to moldy odor. These symptoms can be observed in hypersensitivity pneumonitis which is associated with Immunoglobulin G (IgG) and T cell response [61].

The relationship between *P. crustosum* and insomnia could be explained by other studies which have found that mold or dampness was related to a high prevalence of sleep problems [62,63]. For the four symptoms (cough, fever, headache and insomnia) observed with *P. crustosum*, the median

airborne concentrations were observed at higher extents when the symptoms were reported. Among the recurrent species of the *Penicillium* genus, no relationship was found between the concentrations of *P. brevicompactum* and the symptoms evaluated in this study.

Results on expectorations are difficult to interpret. On the one hand, the concentrations of *A. versicolor* and *P. chrysogenum* were higher when expectorations were reported. On the other hand expectorations were shown to be lower when the mold-contaminated surface was evaluated as moderate or high. This could be explained by the progressive decrease of expectoration capacities due to chronic exposure to molds [64].

For the evaluation of the mold-contaminated surface, a relationship was found with the frequency of report for headache, expectorations and dyspnea. All these symptoms, with the exception of dyspnea, were also found to be related to exposure to *A. versicolor*. This result was in agreement with the correlation factor that was found between the width of the contaminated surface and the concentration of *Aspergillus versicolor* in bioaerosols. Platt et al. [65] have examined the relation between damp and mold growth and symptomatic ill health. In their study, adult respondents living in damp and moldy homes reported more symptoms like blocked nose and dyspnea than respondents in homes without damp and mold. The children living in damp and moldy homes had a greater prevalence of respiratory symptoms (wheezing, sore throat, persistent cough) and other symptoms like headache and fever compared with those living in housing conditions without damp and mold. In our study we observed relationships between sore throats and relative humidity, and also between headaches and dyspnea with the mold-contaminated surface greater than 0.2 m² and 3 m² (respectively considered as moderate and high categories).

Relationships between particles of 2 to 15 µm diameter and headache and dizziness were observed. We noted that high concentrations of particles of 2 to 15 µm were associated with reports of this symptom by the inhabitants. We also found that these symptoms were also correlated with the concentrations of *A. versicolor* and *P. crustosum* in air, two species that were also correlated with this concentration of the particles of 2 to 15 µm. In a previous study, a relationship between the total fungal concentration and the concentration of particles of 2 to 15 µm in air had been observed concerning air samples collected from houses damaged by the wood-rotting fungi *Serpula lacrymans* [16].

In a meta-analysis, Sharpe et al. [66] identified a relationship between different fungal species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* genera and asthma exacerbation. We did not find any association between molds and asthma aggravation in our study but this could probably be due to the low number of asthmatic patients (n = 11) observed in our study.

Concerning the concentration of endotoxins in air, relations were observed with the frequency of flu-like symptoms and dyspnea. Several studies have investigated the protective or detrimental effects of exposure to endotoxins in different places [67,68]. In our study, median concentrations of endotoxins in the air were lower when the symptom was present than when it was absent. This observation may suggest a protective effect of endotoxin exposure in mold-damaged houses as proposed by Douwes [67] for the exposure of children in farms.

Finally, we did not find relationships between the β-D-glucans concentration in air and the different health symptoms documented in our study. We did not observe important variations of the concentration of β-D-glucans in bioaerosols, only one high value at 15.48 ng m⁻³ of air was noted in a rural home located near a forest.

5. Conclusions

This study allowed us to provide the fungal profile of bioaerosols in mold-damaged buildings located in Normandy (France), a region characterized by a wet temperate oceanic climate. The identification of more than 100 species from bioaerosols showed a wide diversity of indoor fungi. Statistical analyses from the database developed in our study allowed us to identify relationships between these recurrent fungal species and various symptoms including one cutaneous symptom (itching) which was recurrent and not yet described in previous studies.

Because molds like *Aspergillus versicolor* stimulate the production of proinflammatory mediators [69], it would be interesting to evaluate the cytotoxic and inflammatory potential of the bioaerosols

collected in our study. Thus, further works could focus on toxicological effects of bioaerosols on pulmonary cell lines and also cutaneous cell lines in order to explore and verify the relationship observed between *Aspergillus versicolor* and cutaneous symptom.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1: Table S1: List of the different molds quantified in the bioaerosols of mold-damaged homes (n = 48).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.G.; methodology, A.D., D.G., S.G., V.S.; software, N.H.; statistical analysis, N.H.; investigation, A.D.; writing—original draft preparation, A.D., D.G., V.S.; supervision, D.G.; project administration, D.G.; funding acquisition, D.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the CONSEIL REGIONAL DE NORMANDIE, the AGENCE REGIONALE DE SANTE DE NORMANDIE (ARS), and the AGENCE DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT ET DE LA MAITRISE DE L'ENERGIE (ADEME, program Aact-air).

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge Anderson Dearing for improving the English of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Report to Congress on Indoor Air Quality*; EPA/400/1-89/001C; National Service Center for Environmental Publications: Washington, DC, USA, 1989; Volume 2.
2. Miller, D. Fungi as contaminants in indoor air. *Atmos. Environ.* **1992**, *26*, 2163–2172.
3. Lappalainen, H.; Salonen, H.; Lindroos, O.; Harju, R.; Reijula, K. Fungal species in mold-damaged and nondamaged office buildings in southern Finland. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* **2008**, *4*, 18–20.
4. ANSES. *Moisissures dans le bâti. Avis de l'ANSES, Rapport D'expertise Collective*; ANSES: Maison-Alfort, France, 2016.
5. Mudarri, D.H. Valuing the economic costs of allergic rhinitis, acute bronchitis, and asthma from exposure to indoor dampness and mold in the US. *J. Environ. Public Health* **2016**, *2016*, 2386596.
6. WHO Regional Office for Europe. *WHO Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Dampness and Mould*; WHO: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2009.
7. Górný, R.L.; Reponen, T.; Willeke, K.; Schmechel, D.; Robine, E.; Boissier, M.; Grinshpun, S.A. Fungal fragments as indoor air biocontaminants. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *68*, 3522–3531.
8. Seo, S.; Ji, Y.G.; Yoo, Y.; Kwon, M.H.; Choung, J.T. Submicron fungal fragments as another indoor biocontaminant in elementary schools. *Environ. Sci. Processes Impacts* **2015**, *17*, 1164–1172.
9. Rylander, R.; Lin, R.H. (1→3)- β -D-glucan—Relationship to indoor air-related symptoms, allergy and asthma. *Toxicology* **2000**, *152*, 47–52.
10. Veršilovskis, A.; De Saeger, S. Sterigmatocystin: Occurrence in foodstuffs and analytical methods—An overview. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2010**, *54*, 136–147.
11. Mendell, M.; Mirer, A.; Cheung, K.; Tong, M.; Douwes, J. Respiratory and Allergic Health Effects of Dampness, Mold, and Dampness-Related Agents: A Review of the Epidemiologic Evidence. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2011**, *119*, 748–756.
12. Valkonen, M.; Täubel, M.; Pekkanen, J.; Tischer, C.; Rintala, H.; Zock, J.P.; Casas, L.; Probst-Hensch, N.; Forsberg, B.; Holm, M.; et al. Microbial characteristics in homes of asthmatic and non-asthmatic adults in the ECRHS cohort. *Indoor Air* **2018**, *28*, 16–27.
13. Zamfir, M.; Gerstner, D.G.; Walser, S.M.; Bünger, J.; Eikmann, T.; Heinze, S.; Kolk, A.; Nowak, D.; Raulf, M.; Sagunski, H.; et al. A systematic review of experimental animal studies on microbial bioaerosols: Dose-response data for the derivation of exposure limits. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2019**, *222*, 249–259.
14. Cabral, J. Can we use indoor fungi as bioindicators of indoor air quality? Historical perspectives and open questions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2010**, *408*, 4285–4295.
15. Mendell, M.; Kumagai, K. Observation-based metrics for residential dampness and mold with dose-response relationships to health: A review. *Indoor Air* **2017**, *27*, 506–517.

16. Pottier, D.; André, V.; Rioult, J.; Bourreau, A.; Duhamel, C.; Bouchart, V.; Richard, E.; Guibert, M.; Verité, P.; Garon, D. Airborne molds and mycotoxins in *Serpula lacrymans*-damaged homes. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2014**, *5*, 325–334.
17. Booth, C. *The Genus Fusarium*; Commonwealth Mycological Institute: Kew, UK, 1966; pp. 1–237.
18. Domsch, K.H.; Gams, W.; Anderson, T.H. *Compendium of Soil Fungi*; Academic Press: London, UK, 1980; Volume 1, pp. 1–672.
19. Klich, M.A. *Identification of Common Aspergillus Species*; Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2002; pp. 1–116.
20. Pitt, J.I. *The Genus Penicillium and Its Teleomorphic States Eupenicillium and Talaromyces*; Academic Press: London, UK, 1979; pp. 1–634.
21. Pitt, J.I. *A Laboratory Guide to Common Penicillium Species*; Food Science Australia: North Ryde, Australia, 2000; pp. 1–187.
22. Samson, R.A.; Frisvad, J.C. *Penicillium Subgenus Penicillium: New Taxonomic Schemes. Mycotoxins and Other Extrolites*; Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2004; pp. 1–260.
23. Samson, R.A.; Hoekstra, E.S.; Frisvad, J.C.; Filtenborg, O. *Introduction to Food and Airborne Fungi*; Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2002; pp. 1–389.
24. Von Arx, J.A. *The Genus of Fungi Sporulating in Pure Culture*; Cramer: Vaduz, Liechtenstein, 1981; pp. 1–315.
25. Visagie, C.; Houbraken, J.; Frisvad, J.; Hong, S.; Klaassen, C.; Perrone, G.; Seifert, K.; Varga, J.; Yaguchi, T.; Samson, R. Identification and nomenclature of the genus *Penicillium*. *Stud. Mycol.* **2014**, *78*, 343–371.
26. Beguin, H.; Nolard, N. Mould biodiversity in homes I. Air and surface analysis of 130 dwellings. *Aerobiologia* **1994**, *10*, 157–166.
27. Jovanovic, S.; Felder-Kennel, A.; Gabrio, T.; Kouros, B.; Link, B.; Maisner, V.; Piechotowski, I.; Schick, K.H.; Schrimpf, M.; Weidner, U.; et al. Indoor fungi levels in homes of children with and without allergy history. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2004**, *207*, 369–378.
28. Trout, T.; Bernstein, J.; Martinez, K.; Biagini, R.; Wallingford, K. Bioaerosol lung damage in a worker with repeated exposure to fungi in a water-damaged building. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2001**, *109*, 641–644.
29. Chao, J.; Schwartz, J.; Milton, D.; Burge, H. Populations and determinants of airborne fungi in large office buildings. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2002**, *110*, 777–782.
30. Hargreaves, M.; Parappukkaran, S.; Morawska, L.; Hitchins, J.; He, C.; Gilbert, D. A pilot investigation into associations between indoor airborne fungal and non-biological particle concentrations in residential houses in Brisbane, Australia. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2003**, *312*, 89–101.
31. Frankel, M.; Bekö, G.; Timm, M.; Gustavsen, S.; Hansen, E.W.; Madsen, A.M. Seasonal Variations of Indoor Microbial Exposures and Their Relation to Temperature, Relative Humidity, and Air Exchange Rate. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2012**, *78*, 8289–8297.
32. Cho, S.J.; Cox-Ganser, J.; Park, J.H. Observational scores of dampness and mold associated with measurements of microbial agents and moisture in three public schools. *Indoor Air* **2016**, *26*, 168–178.
33. Mensah-Attipoe, J.; Toyinbo, O. Fungal Growth and Aerosolization from Various Conditions and Materials. In *Fungal Infection*; Silva de Loreto, E., Ed.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2019; pp. 1–10.
34. Wolkoff, P. Indoor air humidity, air quality, and health—An overview. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2018**, *221*, 376–390.
35. Andersen, B.; Poulsen, R.; Hansen, G. Cellulolytic and xylanolytic activities of common indoor fungi. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* **2016**, *107*, 111–116.
36. Flannigan, B.; Samson, R.A.; Miller, J.D. *Microorganisms in Home and Indoor Work Environments: Diversity, Health Impacts, Investigation and Control*, 1st ed.; CRC Press: London, UK, 2001; pp. 24, 62.
37. Fischer, G.; Schwalbe, R.; Möller, M.; Ostrowski, R.; Dott, W. Species-specific production of microbial volatile organic compounds (MVOC) by airborne fungi from a compost facility. *Chemosphere* **1999**, *39*, 795–810.
38. Rundberget, T.; Skaar, I.; Flåøyen, A. The presence of *Penicillium* and *Penicillium* mycotoxins in food wastes. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2004**, *90*, 181–188.
39. Kokkonen, M.; Jestoi, M.; Rizzo, A. The effect of substrate on mycotoxin production of selected *Penicillium* strains. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2005**, *99*, 207–214.
40. Rand, T.; Giles, S.; Flemming, J.; Miller, D.; Puniani, E. Inflammatory and Cytotoxic Responses in Mouse Lungs Exposed to Purified Toxins from Building Isolated *Penicillium brevicompactum* Dierckx and *P. chrysogenum* Thom. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2005**, *87*, 213–222.

41. Jussila, J.; Komulainen, H.; Kosma, V.M.; Nevalainen, A.; Pelkonen, J.; Hirvonen, M.-R. Spores of *Aspergillus versicolor* isolated from indoor air of a moisture-damaged building provoke acute inflammation in mouse lungs. *Inhal. Toxicol.* **2002**, *14*, 1261–1277.
42. Jarvis, B.; Miller, D. Mycotoxins as harmful indoor air contaminants. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2005**, *66*, 367–372.
43. Kurtzman, C.; Fell, J.; Boekhout, T. *The Yeasts*, 5th ed.; Elsevier Science: London, UK, 2010.
44. Pollard, G.; Shaw, A.; Sowa, M.; Rand, T.; Thliveris, J.; Scott, J. *Stachybotrys chartarum* (atra) spore extract alters surfactant protein expression and surfactant function in isolated fetal rat lung epithelial cells, fibroblasts and human A549 cells. *Open J. Pediatric* **2013**, *3*, 243–256.
45. Beldman, G.; Searle van Leeuwen, M.; Rombouts, F.; Voragen, F. The cellulase of *Trichoderma viride*. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1985**, *146*, 301–308.
46. Chang, C.W.; Ting, Y.T.; Horng, Y.J. Collection efficiency of liquid-based samplers for fungi in indoor air. *Indoor Air* **2019**, *29*, 380–389.
47. Holme, J.; Hägerhed-Engman, L.; Mattsson, J.; Sundell, J.; Bornehag, C.G. Culturable mold in indoor air and its association with moisture-related problems and asthma and allergy among Swedish children. *Indoor Air* **2010**, *20*, 329–340.
48. Jones, R.; Recer, G.; Hwang, S.; Lin, S. Association between indoor mold and asthma among children in Buffalo, New York. *Indoor Air* **2011**, *21*, 156–164.
49. Reponen, T.; Hyvärinen, A.; Ruuskanen, J.; Raunemaa, T.; Nevalainen, A. Comparison of concentrations and size distributions of fungal spores in buildings with and without mould problems. *J. Aerosol. Sci.* **1994**, *25*, 1595–1603.
50. Libert, X.; Chasseur, C.; Blatt, S.; Packeu, A.; Bureau, F.; Roosens, N.; De Keersmaecker, S. Development and performance assessment of a qualitative SYBR® green real-time PCR assay for the detection of *Aspergillus versicolor* in indoor air. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *99*, 7267–7282.
51. Vesper, S.; Wymer, L.; Cox, D.; Dewalt, G. Populations of some molds in water-damaged homes may differ if the home was constructed with gypsum drywall compared to plaster. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *562*, 446–450.
52. Delanoë, A.; Guillamin, M.; Heutte, N.; Gente, S.; Séguin, V.; Garon, D. Interest of the qPCR method calibrated with flow cytometry to quantify *Aspergillus versicolor* in mold-damaged homes and comparison with the cultural approach. *Atmospheric Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *9*, 871–876.
53. Lignell, U.; Meklin, T.; Rintala, H.; Hyvärinen, A.; Vepsäläinen, A.; Pekkanen, J.; Nevalainen, A. Evaluation of quantitative PCR and culture methods for detection of house dust fungi and streptomycetes in relation to moisture damage of the house. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2008**, *47*, 303–308.
54. Degois, J.; Clerc, F.; Simon, X.; Bontemps, C.; Leblond, P.; Duquenne, P. First Metagenomic Survey of the Microbial Diversity in Bioaerosols Emitted in Waste Sorting Plants. *Ann. Work Expo. Health* **2017**, *61*, 1076–1086.
55. Kettleson, E.; Adhikari, A.; Vesper, S.; Coombs, K.; Indugula, R.; Reponen, T. Key determinants of the fungal and bacterial microbiomes in homes. *Environ. Res* **2015**, *138*, 130–135.
56. Hurraß, J.; Heinzow, B.; Aurbach, U.; Bergmann, K.C.; Bufe, A.; Buzina, W.; Cornely, O.A.; Engelhart, S.; Fischer, G.; Gabrio, T.; et al. Medical diagnostics for indoor mold exposure. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2017**, *220*, 305–328.
57. Seltzer, J.M.; Fedoruk, M.J. Health effects of mold in children. *Pediatric Clin. N. Am.* **2007**, *54*, 309–333.
58. Takigawa, T.; Wang, B.L.; Sakano, N.; Wang, D.H.; Ogino, K.; Kishi, R. A longitudinal study of environmental risk factors for subjective symptoms associated with sick building syndrome in new dwellings. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2009**, *407*, 5223–5228.
59. Fungand, F.; Hughson, W.G. Health Effects of Indoor Fungal Bioaerosol Exposure. *Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* **2003**, *18*, 535–544.
60. Hemberg, S.; Sripaiboonkij, P.; Quansah, R.; Jaakkola, J.; Jaakkola, M. Indoor molds and lung function in healthy adults. *Respir. Med.* **2014**, *108*, 677–684.
61. Richerson, H.B.; Bernstein, I.L.; Fink, J.N.; Hunninghake, G.W.; Novey, H.S.; Reed, C.E.; Salvaggio, J.E.; Schuyler, M.R.; Schwartz, H.J.; Stechschulte, D.J. Guidelines for the Clinical Evaluation of Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis. Report of the Subcommittee on Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **1989**, *84*, 839–844.

62. Packer, C.N.; Stewart-Brown, S.; Fowle, S.E. Damp housing and adult health: Results from a lifestyle study in Worcester, England. *J. Epidemiol. Comm. Health* **1994**, *48*, 555–559.
63. Tiesler, C.M.; Thiering, E.; Tischer, C.; Lehmann, I.; Schaaf, B.; von Berg, A.; Heinrich, J. Exposure to visible mould or dampness at home and sleep problems in children: Results from the LISAplus study. *Environ. Res.* **2015**, *137*, 357–363.
64. Liao, L.Y.; Chen, K.M.; Chung, W.S.; Chien, J.Y. Efficacy of a respiratory rehabilitation exercise training package in hospitalized elderly patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: A randomized control trial. *Int. J. Chronic Obstr. Pulm. Dis.* **2015**, *10*, 1703–1709.
65. Platt, S.D.; Martin, C.J.; Hunt, S.M.; Lewis, C.W. Damp housing, mould growth, and symptomatic health state. *Br. Med. J.* **1989**, *298*, 1673–1678.
66. Sharpe, R.; Bearman, N.; Thornton, C.R.; Husk, K.; Osborne, N. Indoor fungal diversity and asthma: A meta-analysis and systematic review of risk factors. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2015**, *135*, 110–122.
67. Douwes, J.; Pearce, N.; Heederik, D. Does environmental endotoxin exposure prevent asthma? *Thorax* **2002**, *57*, 86–90.
68. Liebers, V.; Raulf-Heimsoth, M.; Brüning, T. Health effects due to endotoxin inhalation (review). *Arch. Toxicol.* **2008**, *82*, 203–210.
69. Huttunen, K.; Pelkonen, J.; Nielsen, K.F.; Nuutinen, U.; Jussila, J.; Hirvonen, M.R. Synergistic Interaction in Simultaneous Exposure to *Streptomyces californicus* and *Stachybotrys chartarum*. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2004**, *112*, 659–665.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).