

The Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D2SI): A new specific multicriteria index to assess the impact of harbour sediment dumping

Noémie Baux, B. Chouquet, M. Martinez, Jean-Philippe Pezy, Aurore Raoux, P. Balay, C. Dancie, Alexandrine Baffreau, Jean-Claude Dauvin

▶ To cite this version:

Noémie Baux, B. Chouquet, M. Martinez, Jean-Philippe Pezy, Aurore Raoux, et al.. The Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D2SI): A new specific multicriteria index to assess the impact of harbour sediment dumping. Ecological Indicators, 2020, 112, pp.106109. 10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106109 . hal-02458357

HAL Id: hal-02458357 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-02458357v1

Submitted on 7 Mar 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	
2	The Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D ² SI): a new specific muticriteria index to
3	assess the impact of harbour sediment dumping
4	
5	N. Baux ^{a,b,c} , B. Chouquet ^d , M. Martinez ^a , J.P. Pezy ^a , A. Raoux ^a , P. Balay ^d , C. Dancie ^d ,
6	A. Baffreau ^{a,e} and J.C. Dauvin ^a
7	
8	^a Normandie Univ., UNICAEN, UNIROUEN, CNRS UMR 6143 M2C, Laboratoire
9	Morphodynamique Continentale et Côtière, 14000 Caen, France.
10	^b Normandie univ., UNICAEN, Laboratoire des Sciences Appliquées de Cherbourg, EA 4253,
11	50100 Cherbourg, France
12	^c Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, INTECHMER, 50100 Cherbourg, France
13	^d Cellule de Suivi du Littoral Normand, CSLN, 76600 Le Havre, France.
14	^e GEMEL-Normandie, Groupe d'Etude des Milieux Estuariens et Littoraux de Normandie,
15	14530 Luc-sur-mer, France.
16	
17	*Corresponding author: Conservatoire National des arts et Métiers, INTECHMER, 50100
18	Cherbourg, France, noemie.baux@lecnam.net (Noémie Baux).
10	

20 ABSTRACT

21 At present, there are few operational indexes to assess the impact of a given human 22 pressure taken alone. Nevertheless, the current challenge in the management of coastal marine 23 environments in the framework of cumulative impacts and climatic change is to identify the 24 relative contribution of each activity on ecosystem functioning. The objective of the present 25 study is to propose an integrative approach to assess the environmental impacts of dumping 26 operations, via the development of a new index, the Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D²SI). 27 From the selection of two types of station: impacted and unimpacted, D²SI integrates six subindices considering the biological and physical changes generated by dredge disposal on the 28 29 seabed. A final score is proposed, according to the five classes of the European Water Framework Directive. The initial development of D²SI was conducted on the experimental 30 31 dumping site of Machu (Bay of Seine), by applying a BACI (Before-After-Control-Impact) 32 approach. An additional implementation (D²SI₂) is used in the case of absence of data on 33 ichthyofaunal or biological traits of life of macrobenthic fauna, which yields a comparable 34 assessment of dumping pressure.

In the future, the D²SI or D²SI₂ indexes should be tested on other dumping sites with a
 view to comparing the assessment of this dumping activity.

37

Keywords: multicriteria indicator, integrative approach, dredge disposal impact,
anthropogenic impact, benthic habitat, fine particles, morphological changes, Traits of Life,
Bay of Seine.

41

42 Highlights

43 - Aim: Assessment of the impact of dumping on benthic ecosystems.

44 - A multicriteria index [Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D²SI)] is developed.

45 - D²SI integrates six sub-indices based on biological and physical elements.

46 - Application on the experimental dumping site of Machu (Bay of Seine).

47

48 Introduction

49

50 The EEA (European Environment Agency, https://www.eea.europa.eu/) has 51 implemented the DPSIR model [Drivers (driving forces, responsible for nuisance); Pressures 52 (quantitative or qualitative); States (describing a component of the environment at a given 53 moment); Impacts (on the studied biological/physical/chemical compartment) and Responses 54 (reactions implemented for decision-making and management applied to minimize the 55 impact)]. DPSIR is an approach that describes the interactions between society and 56 environment and proposes recommendations for the development of an environmental 57 assessment strategy (Kristensen, 2004). In this framework, indicators are developed to 58 classify the degree of impact in relation to a pressure and to allow management to minimize 59 its impact. In the future, the DPSIR (Drivers, Pressures, State, Impact and Response) 60 approach should be extended to DAPSI(W)R(M) [Drivers-Activities-Pressures-State changes-61 Impacts (on Welfare)-Responses (as Measures)], according to the complexity of a given 62 managed sea area in terms of multiple activities (Elliott et al., 2017). The aim of this approach 63 is also to prevent confusion surrounding the terminology of the various elements, in particular 64 between the definitions of Drivers and Pressures, between State and State changes as well as 65 between these elements and Impact (Elliott et al., 2017).

66 Dredging is necessary to maintain sufficient water depth in harbour basins and provide 67 access channels for the purpose of navigation and port activities. The dredged sediment, in 68 cases where they do not contain hazardous products, can be dumped at sea or in rivers (Engler 69 et al., 1991; Marmin et al., 2014, 2016). Nevertheless, dumped sediment may have different physical and chemical characteristics compared to the native sediments, which produces 70 71 multiple effects on the environment. A first and direct effect is the covering of sediment and 72 benthos compartments (mechanical effect), which leads to the destruction of local habitats at 73 least temporarily (Chauvin et al., 1986; Olenin, 1992; Boutin, 2000; Desprez, 2000; Smith 74 and Rule, 2001; Bolam et al., 2006a, 2006b; Hermand, 2008; Marmin et al., 2014, 2016; Dauvin et al., 2017b; Méar et al., 2018). The environmental impact of dredging activities is 75 76 one of the most important problems in coastal zone management (O'Connor, 1998).

77 Nevertheless, most scientific studies generally focus only on studying the structure of 78 benthic invertebrates, in relation to their environment. The objectives of these studies are to 79 assess the impacts generated and/or the recovery of the system (Van Dolah et al., 1984; 80 Olenin, 1992; Harvey et al., 1998; Smith and Rule, 2001; Witt et al., 2004; Bolam et al., 81 2006a; Vivan et al., 2009; Marmin et al., 2016). There are a large number of indexes, based 82 on benthic macrofauna, which generally classify taxa according to their membership in 83 functional ecological groups. These indexes are frequently used in environmental studies, but 84 few of them are related to specific pressures (Ware et al., 2009; Munari and Mistri, 2014). 85 Indeed, most of the Benthic Indices were initially developed to assess the impact of Organic 86 Matter (OM) enrichment or the impact of oil pollution on benthic organisms; this was the case 87 for AMBI, BOPA, I2EC, BENTIX, BO2A, BQI etc. (Borja et al., 2000; Simboura and 88 Zenetos, 2002; Dauvin, 2007, 2018; Pinto et al., 2009; Dauvin et al., 2016). However, these 89 indices are not specific to the response of the benthos, to assess bottom perturbations and the 90 impact of sediment disposal (Ware et al., 2009; Munari and Mistri, 2014). To our knowledge, only few indicators are specifically designed to identify the impact of dredge sediment 91 92 disposal. Roberts et al. (1998) proposed a Macrofauna Monitoring Index based on the 93 selection of species in response to spoil disposal, and calculating a ratio between the 94 abundance of each species at impacted and unimpacted stations. Twenty years later, this 95 approach was reconsidered by Dauvin et al. (2018a) who suggested to modify the Robert's 96 index to a Dredge Spoil Disposal (DSD) index, which takes into account a more objective 97 method for selecting and scoring species. Nevertheless, this index is also based on the benthic 98 macrofauna response to a human pressure. In fact, the impact of dredging activities and 99 disposal of dredge sediment produces multiple effects on the sedimentology, morphology and 100 biological compartments of the environment (Van Dolah et al., 1984; Chauvin et al., 1986; 101 Olenin, 1992; Boutin, 2000; Desprez, 2000; Smith and Rule, 2001; Bolam et al., 2006a, b;

3

Hermand, 2008; Vivan et al., 2009; Marmin et al., 2014, 2016; Méar et al., 2018; Pezy et al.,
2018). Therefore, it appears essential to develop a multicriteria index, which includes both
biological and physical changes generated by the impact of dredge sediment disposal.

105 The objective of this study is to improve the index developed by Roberts et al. (1998) 106 and modified by Dauvin et al. (2018), considering the biological and physical changes that are 107 generated, to assess objectively the impact of dumping operations at sea and take into account 108 the degradation or improvement of environment conditions. In the framework of the 109 INDICLAP project ("INDicateurs de CLAPage") funded by the French Biodiversity Agency 110 (FBA), a multicriteria approach was proposed, which includes benthic macrofauna 111 (invertebrates >1mm) and ichthyofauna (benthodemersal fish), as well as morpho-112 sedimentary environment changes (bathymetry, sedimentary facies and deposit thickness). 113 The new index proposed was named D²SI (Dredge Disposal Sediment Index). In the present 114 study, we apply this index to a monitoring survey on an experimental dredge spoil disposal 115 site (Machu site) located in the eastern part of the Bay of Seine (English Channel) using a 116 BACI (Before-After-Control-Impact) approach (Pezy et al., 2017, 2018).

- 117
- 118 **1. Materials and methods**
- 119

120

1.1. Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D²SI) concept

121

122 The multicriteria D²SI index is calculated considering the selection of two types of 123 stations: impacted stations (under the direct influence of harbour sediment disposal) and 124 unimpacted stations (without direct or indirect influence of harbour sediment disposal). Both 125 impacted and unimpacted stations must be located on the same benthic community and 126 sediment habitat at the beginning of dredge spoil operations. The D²SI is composed of six 127 sub-indices taking into account the biological and physical changes generated by dredge 128 disposal on the seabed. A score is calculated for each sub-index (Fig. 1). The addition of these 129 scores yields a final score (D²SI) which allows us to classify the environment from "bad" to 130 "excellent", according to the five classes of the European Water Framework Directive. 131 Intervals are defined according to data from the Machu experimental dumping (eastern part of 132 the Bay of Seine).

133 The six sub-indices are:

D²SI-Benthos (D²SI_B), based on benthic macrofauna (invertebrates >1 mm), i.e.
 presence of the species and their abundances.

136	- D ² SI-Ichtyofauna (D ² SI _I), based on demersal fish i.e. presence of the species and their
137	abundances.
138	- D^2SI -Sediment (D^2SI_S), based on the percentage of sediment modification between the
139	natural sediment before disposal and the deposited sediment after the disposal.
140	- D^2SI -Thickness (D^2SI_T), based on the thickness of the accumulated deposit each year
141	(measured with bathymetric differentials) and considering the initial bathymetry
142	before operations at the dumping site.
143	- D ² SI-Resistance to dredge spoil sedimentation (D ² SI _R), based on the ability of species
144	to resist deposition of dredge spoil during harbour sediment disposal.
145	- D^2SI -Drifting (D^2SI_D), based on the ability of drifting individuals of a species to
146	recolonize an area after disturbance near the sea bed.
147	
148	Calculation methods for each sub-indices and D ² SI index applications are available in
149	additional online data.
150	
151	1.2. Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D ² SI) computation
152	
153	1.2.1. D^2SI -Benthos (D^2SI_B)
154	
155	The benthic macrofauna of soft-bottom habitats is known to be a good indicator of
156	environmental quality because the constituent species live on and within the substrate. Since
157	the benthic macrofauna is specific to a particular habitat if the sediment is normally
158	unperturbed, any perturbations of the substrate induces a modification of the benthic
159	communities (Dauvin, 1993; Borja et al., 2000). Numerous studies have highlighted the
160	negative impacts on this compartment caused by physical and chemical modifications induced
161	by dredge spoil deposition (Van Dolah et al., 1984; Olenin, 1992; Desprez, 2000; Bolam et
162	al., 2006a; b; Hermand, 2008; Vivan et al., 2009; Marmin et al., 2014, 2016; Pezy et al., 2017,
163	2018).
164	The D ² SI-Benthos is constructed following the DSD index (Dauvin et al., 2018), and the
165	resulting values are scored from 0 to 1.
166	Calculations are based on successive steps (Robert et al., 1998; Dauvin et al., 2018)
167	which are performed separately for each campaign (Fig. 1):
1681)	The first step is similar to that carried out for the DSD index (Dauvin et al., 2018). The sum of
169	the macrofauna abundances is calculated for each species for impacted and unimpacted

170 stations; the ratio of the sum of the impacted stations and unimpacted stations is then 171 calculated for each species. A first score from 1 to 10 is attributed based on each species ratio, 172 reflecting the impact of dumping operations on the macrofauna abundances (Table 1). A score 173 of 10 indicates a species present only at the unimpacted stations, while a score of 1 indicates a 174 species tolerant of dumping operations with high abundances at impacted stations (abundance 175 at unimpacted samples ten times higher than those at impacted samples). A score of 0 176 indicates the species is present only at impacted stations (ratio not predictable; Table 1). In 177 this case, the ratio is not calculable. Then, a mean score is computed which corresponds to the 178 sum of the species scores (Table 1) divided by the number of species present in the sample. 179 This mean score varies between 0 and 10.

In a second step, the mean score is divided in five classes corresponding to a scale varying from 0 to 1 in order to give more weight to the middle classes, thus taking account of natural variations in the macrofauna abundances (Table 2). For a mean score between 4 and 6 corresponding to an undisturbed environment, a D^2SI_B score of 0 is attributed; then, with an increase in perturbation of the environment, scores of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1 are attributed following the threshold defined in Table 2.

186

187 1.2.2. D^2SI -Ichtyofauna (D^2SI_I)

188

Pelagic fish species living in the water column are mobile and are thus less impacted by dumping operations (Wilber et al., 2007). Demersal fishes, which feed mainly on benthic organisms, can be heavily impacted by the destruction and alteration of benthic environments (Alzieu, 1999). Moreover, fish eggs and larvae are sensitive to turbid plumes and the release of nutrients or chemical contaminants into the water column when the sediments are deposited.

195 The D²SI-Ichtyofauna (D²SI_I) is calculated similarly to the D²SI_B (Fig. 1), taking into 196 account the species richness and abundances of the demersal fish species, it is scored from 0 197 to 1 in the same way of D²SI_B (Table 2).

198

199 *1.2.3. D*²*SI*-Sediment

200

Dumping operations induce changes of bottom sediment at the dumping site and surrounding areas, locally causing the release of fine particles and increasing the turbidity near the seafloor (Chauvin et al., 1986; Boutin, 2000; Smith and Rule, 2001; Marmin et al.,
2016; Baux et al., 2019).

This sub-index reflects the difference between deposited sediment and native sediment, regardless of any differences in their grain-size characteristics. The working hypothesis adopted here is that large differences between native sediment and deposited sediment lead to higher impacts than when the sediments are similar. In the Bay of Seine, the enhanced impact is related to the massive inputs of fine particles (<63 μ m) from harbour basins and the Seine estuary (Lesourd et al., 2016; Méar et al., 2018; Baux et al., 2019).

211 The D²SIs is based on the difference of fine particles (<63 μ m; noted "FP" in Eq. 1) 212 content (in percentage) between impacted and unimpacted stations (Eq. 1).

- 213
- 214

$$D^{2}SI_{S} = \left|\frac{\% FP \text{ at impacted stations} - \% FP \text{ at unimpacted stations}}{\% FP \text{ at impacted stations} + \% FP \text{ at unimpacted stations}}\right| \quad (Eq. 1)$$

215

As with other sub-indices, calculations are performed separately for each campaign and a score from 0 to 1 is attributed based on the results (Eq. 1) to allow classification of the disturbance (Table 3). The score interval limits (interval of 0.2, except for the first scale and last scale) are defined according to results from the Machu site. A score of 0 corresponds to an undisturbed environment, in contrast to a score of 1, which corresponds to the maximal degree of defined disturbance (Table 3).

- 222
- 223

1.2.4. D²SI-Thickness

224

A large amount of research has highlighted the changes in bathymetry (formation of underwater relief) induced by dumping operations (Desprez, 2000; Fredette and French, 2004), generating a modification of physical conditions (modification of local marine currents near the seabed and sedimentary types).

To calculate D²SI-Thickness, the *in-situ* thickness is obtained by bathymetric measurements, integrating initial bathymetry (before operations at the dumping site) and the accumulation of deposits over time between each survey included in the study (Eq. 2). It is preferable to keep the same method of measuring bathymetry for all surveys, in order to eliminate measurement bias. Multibeam measurements are recommended.

234

235
$$D^{2}SI_{T} = \left(TH_{lmpacted}^{n} - TH_{lnimpacted}^{n}\right) + \left(e^{\left(Th_{lmpacted}^{n} - Th_{lnimpacted}^{n}\right)} - 1\right) \quad (Eq. 2)$$

237

238

With TH^n = Initial bathymetry – bathymetry measured at time n and Th^n = bathymetry measured at time n – bathymetry measured at n + 1

239

240 THⁿ corresponds to the thickness variation (bathymetric differential) measured from 241 the initial state to time n. Thⁿ corresponds to the variation in thickness (bathymetric 242 differential) between time n and n+1 (Eq. 2).

As with the other sub-indices, a score from 0 to 1 is attributed based on the calculation results (Eq. 2) to allow classification of the disturbance (Table 3). A calculated value of ≤ 0 corresponds to no change in thickness or sediment loss by erosion. Also, a D²SI_T value of 0 leads to classification as an undisturbed environment. In contrast, D²SI_T 1 (calculated value >6) corresponds to a significant and gradual increase of thickness compared to the state before dumping (Table 3).

- 249
- 250

1.2.5. D²SI-Drifting and D²SI-Resistance to landfill

251

The use of biological and ecological traits of life in the assessment of the quality of marine habitats is relatively recent and offers some interesting perspectives (Dauvin et al., 2017b). Several authors have hypothesized that specific modifications of the combination of community life-history traits in disturbed ecosystems depend on the nature and intensity of the disturbance (Usseglio-Polatera et al., 2000; Bremner et al., 2003, 2006; Bolam et al., 2006a; Janson, 2007; Dauvin et al., 2017a,b)

Two Biological Traits of Life are selected here and integrated into the calculation of the D²SI index:

260 (1) Resistance to landfill (resistance to sediment spoil deposition), which corresponds 261 to the capacity of the macrofauna to resist increases in thickness of the sedimentary layer 262 during sediment disposal. Indeed, benthic species that live in close contact with the seabed 263 and have reduced mobility are highly exposed to the effects of dumping. In general, a large 264 majority of the fauna disappear or are very strongly reduced just after the dumping (Witt et 265 al., 2004; Bolam et al., 2006b). Some species are more resistant than others as the bivalves 266 and polychaetes, partly owing to their ability to migrate vertically (Maurer and Aprill, 1979; 267 Maurer et al., 1981a, 1981b, 1982; Miller et al., 2002; Janson, 2007). Very little information on this biological trait of life exists in the literature and the behaviour of species is often based
on laboratory experiments or studies in the wild, and concern only a small number of species
(Trevor, 1978; EPA, 1989; Chandrasekara and Frid, 1998; Essink, 1999; Bolam et al., 2004;
Powilleit et al., 2006; Janson, 2007; Powilleit et al., 2009).

- (2) Drifting corresponds to the ability of macrofauna juveniles and adults to colonize
 following a disturbance, by their active or passive movements mainly due to currents near the
 seabed. Drifting is an important part of recolonization phenomena in the eastern part of the
 Bay of Seine (Olivier et al., 1996; Desroy and Retière, 2001; Janson, 2007; Marmin, 2013).
- 276 For calculation of the D²SI_R and D²SI_D sub-indices, the data are grouped into five 277 categories identifying the ability of macrofauna to resist sediment spoil deposition: G1 =278 <1cm; G2 = 3 to 10 cm; G3 = 10 to 30 cm; G4 = 30 to 50 cm; G5 = >50 cm] and into three 279 categories quantifying the ability to recolonize by drifting (drifting and associated categories: 280 G3 = high; G2 = low or possible; and G1 = absent). The calculations of both sub-indices are 281 based on the assumption that the most sensitive species will disappear first after dumping 282 operations [low capacity to resist to increase in thickness of the sedimentary layer (G1 and 283 G2) or absence/low capacity of recolonization by drifting (G1 and G2)], and the proportion of 284 species that show a high resistance to sediment spoil deposition (G3-G5) or high ability to 285 recolonize by drifting (G3) will increase. The calculation takes into account the percentage of 286 G1 and G2 taxa (Eq. 3) that will be the most sensitive during sediment disposal. The 287 respective percentages of G1 and G2 (% G1 and % G2; Eq. 3) are calculated on all taxa that 288 can be included into a category. Taxa that are not categorized as belonging to any of the 289 groups are excluded from the calculation (taxa that have no group may be sensitive or 290 resistant).
- 291
- 292

 $D^2 SI_{R \text{ or } D} = 1 - (\% G1 + \% G2)$ (Eq. 3)

293

As with the other sub-indices, D^2SI_R and D^2SI_D are determined separately for each campaign and a score from 0 to 1 is attributed to allow classification of the disturbance (Table 3). Low calculated values indicate the presence of sensitive species, poorly resistant to spoil deposition or with very little ability to recolonize by drifting, and which thus reflect an undisturbed environment (score of D^2SI_R and $D^2SI_D = 0$). A high calculated value highlights the disappearance of sensitive species impacted by dumping operations.

300

301 *1.2.6. D*²*SI*, final calculation

9

303

304

$$D^{2}SI = D^{2}SI_{B} + D^{2}SI_{I} + D^{2}SI_{S} + D^{2}SI_{T} + D^{2}SI_{R} + D^{2}SI_{D} \quad (Eq. 4)$$

305

As with the other sub-indices which are calculated separately for each campaign, a score of 0 to 1 is attributed to allow classification of the disturbance (Table 4). A D²SI score of 0-0.2 corresponds to an undisturbed environment. The degree of impact increase gradually up to a D²SI score of 0.8-1, classifying the disturbance at the highest level (extremely disturbed; Table 4).

311

312 1.3. Study site

313

314 The experimental dumping site of Machu (eastern part of the Bay of Seine) was used 315 to develop and test the application of D²SI. A dumping experiment was carried out in 2012-316 2013, according to a BACI (Before-After-Control-Impact) approach (covering the 2010-2015 317 period; Fig. 2). Dredged material was deposited at two experimental sites with two distinct 318 approaches to study two dumped sediment methods. At the first site (MASED), $1 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ of 319 sediment was deposited on a single point at 16 m water depth, with the dredger remaining 320 stationary from 13 May 2012 to 15 December 2012, with two interruptions in dumping (1-26 321 July and 9 October-22 November 2012). At the MASED site, the dumping generated a 322 conical deposit 5 m in height (Marmin et al., 2016). At the second site (MABIO), 999,800 m³ 323 was deposited and spread out over a rectangular area of about 100 ha (1400 m x 700 m) at 13 324 m depth. On this site, a wider area was covered, leading to the formation of a smaller deposit 325 2 m in height (Marmin et al., 2016). At the MABIO site, the dumping took place during four 326 periods with about 250,000 m³ deposited per season: spring (16 April-14 May 2012), summer 327 (1-26 July 2012), autumn (10 October-7 November 2012) and winter (24 January-21 February 328 2013). Both zones correspond to a fine to medium clean sand Ophelia borealis-Nephtys 329 cirrosa community (Marmin, 2013). The dumped sediment consisted of muddy fine sand, and 330 the spoil material accumulated on or near the two dumping sites, with a maximum mud 331 content (i.e. particles $< 63 \mu$ m) of 50% compared to < 5% in the natural sediment in place 332 before dumping operations. Due to hydrodynamic forcing (wave climate and tidal currents), 333 about 50% (MABIO) and 75% (MASED) of the volume of dredged material remained at the 334 end of the dumping periods (Marmin et al., 2016).

Following the experimental dumping operations, the Rouen harbour authority (*Grand Port Maritime de Rouen*, GPMR) has used the Machu dumping site since 2016, replacing the saturated Kannik dumping site, historically used since 1977 (Fig. 2; Marmin et al., 2014).

338

339 1.4. Data used in the study

340

All of the benthic macrofauna data (invertebrates >1mm) come from Marmin (2013), while the bathymetry and sedimentological data are due to Marmin et al. (2016) and the ichthyofauna (demersal fishes) observations of the GMPR were acquired by the technical studies office "Cellule du Suivi du Littoral Normand (CSLN)".

- 345
- 346

1.4.1. Macrofauna, sediment and ichthyofauna data

347

Two WSW – ENE transects (named AK4 for MASED and AK7 for MABIO, Fig. 2) were defined to study the sediment characteristics and macrofauna (Marmin et al., 2016). Seven stations spaced at intervals of 500 m were defined for each transect. To develop and test the new index, four stations were selected within the MABIO site: AK4 C and AK4 D as impacted stations, AK4 A and AK4 F as unimpacted stations; and two stations were selected within the MASED site (due to the presence of conical deposit which reduce the impacted area surface): AK7 D as an impacted station and AK7 A as an unimpacted station (Fig. 2).

355 Sediments and macrobenthos were sampled using a Van Veen grab. Three replicates 356 were sampled (unit sampling surface area: 0.1 m², for a total of 0.3 m²; Marmin, 2013). Grab 357 sampling was carried out 14 times from 2010 to 2015: twice before dumping (April 2010 and 358 November 2011), seven times during the overall dumping period (May, June, July, October 359 and November 2012 and January and March 2013) and five times after dumping (May, 360 August and November 2013, March 2014 and March 2015). The sampled macrofauna 361 material was sieved on a 1-mm circular mesh. Only species with an occurrence >4 and/or with 362 a total abundance >20 individuals were selected (61 species for MABIO and 41 for MASED; 363 see Dauvin et al., 2018). Fine granulometric fractions (<63 µm) were obtained by wet sieving 364 (Marmin et al., 2016).

365 Ichthyofauna data were collected from 19 bottom trawl surveys (headline rope: 9 m; mesh 366 size cod end: 10 mm knot-to-knot; trawling at night for 15 min at a speed of approximately 367 2.5 knots) on the MABIO site, comparable to the benthos sampling (Fig. 2): before the 368 dumping operations (April, July, October 2010 and January 2011); during the dumping operations (May, June, July, October and November 2012 and January and February 2013)
and after dumping (April, July and October 2013, January 2014, July, November 2014 and
February, May 2015). There are no fish data from the MASED site.

- 372
- 373

1.4.2. Bathymetric data

374

375 Bathymetric data were acquired by multibeam echo-sounder by Rouen harbour staff. 376 A first campaign was conducted in April 2010, before experimental dumping, on the MABIO 377 and MASED sites. These data represent the initial bathymetry of the two dumping sites. On 378 MABIO site, ten campaigns were conducted: one campaign before dumping (November 379 2011), three campaigns during dumping operations (May, August and October 2012) and six 380 campaigns after dumping operations (May, July and September 2013, April and September 381 2014 and December 2015). Seven campaigns were conducted on the MASED site one 382 campaign before dumping (November 2011), two campaigns during dumping operations (July 383 and December 2012) and four campaigns after dumping operations (September 2013, 384 September 2014, March 2015 and December 2016).

- 385
- 386

1.4.3. Biological traits of life

387

A literature search allowed us to create a database of biological traits characterizing (Resistance to landfill' and 'Drifting' for taxa whose abundances represent 95% of the total abundance (40 taxa on MABIO and 35 taxa on MASED).

- 391
- 392 1.5. Statistical analysis
- 393

To validate the index, Spearman's correlation coefficients were calculated, and the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test and multiple comparison tests were performed with the R 396 3.4.1 software, after testing normality of data with the Shapiro test.

397 Standard deviation (Eq. 5) for D²SI scores were calculated from sub-indices scores 398 (D²SI_B, D²SI_I, D²SI_S, D²SI_T; D²SI_D and D²sI_R) at each survey for MABIO and MASED sites 399 and presented on figures 3 and 4.

400
$$s_x^2 = \sqrt{\sum \frac{(xi - \bar{x})^2}{(n-1)}} \quad (Eq. 5)$$

with \bar{x} : mean of sample and n: size of sample.

402 403

1.6. Benthic indicators comparisons

404

405 The D²SI results and environmental classification were compared with other biotic 406 indices: A) indices based on species classification in ecological groups: AMBI (AZTI Marine 407 Biotic Index; Borja et al., 2000; Muxika et al., 2005), M-AMBI (modified AMBI; Muxika et 408 al., 2007) and BO2A (Benthic Opportunistic Polychaete Amphipods index; Dauvin and 409 Ruellet, 2009); B) index based on diversity: H' (Shannon-Wiener index; Shannon, 1948); and 410 C) index based on trophic groups: ITI (Infaunal Trophic Index; Mearns and Word, 1982). To 411 compare the results, the environmental classification associated with the five EcoQs 412 (ECOlogical Quality Status) classes recommended by the WFD (Water Framework Directive) 413 are used ("high"= unpolluted sites or normal; "good"= slightly polluted sites; "moderate"= 414 Moderately polluted sites; "poor"= heavily polluted sites and "bad" for extremely polluted 415 and azoic sites). The several ecological statuses considered for the selected benthic indicators 416 come from: Borja et al. (2004) and Muxika et al. (2007) for AMBI and M-AMBI; Dauvin 417 (2018) for BO2A (revisited from De-la-Ossa Carretero et al., 2010), Vincent et al. (2002) for 418 H', and Bellan (2008) for ITI.

- 419
- 420 **2.**

Results

421

Values are calculated for the six sub-indices and a score is assigned to each station;
then, a final score is calculated for the index (D²SI). The scoring results are presented with the
volumes of spoil dumped on both sites (Fig. 3).

425 The D²SI-Benthos (D²SI_B) scoring (Fig. 3 A) reveals an immediate impact of dumping 426 on benthic communities, with an increase in score from 0 to 1 during dumping on MASED 427 and from 0.25 to 1 on MABIO. The scores increase on both sites during dumping operations, 428 thus reflecting an impact, and decrease during periods without dumping. At the end of the 429 experiment, both sites show a score equal to 0, highlighting a cessation of the impact of 430 dumping on the benthic communities. Nevertheless, on the MABIO site, the score increases 431 after the cessation of dumping and takes several months to return to 0. The correlation 432 coefficients between D²SI_B and dumped volumes (Table 5) are 0.60 and 0.54 for the MABIO 433 and MASED sites, respectively, which represent statistically significant values. D²SI_B scoring 434 variations are in agreement with the results of Marmin (2013) and Pezy et al. (2017, 2018),

435 who have highlighted the impact of dumping on benthic communities of Machu site, finding a 436 higher taxonomic richness and abundances before and after dumping in comparison to the 437 dumping period, which is associated with lower values. The dumping impact is correctly 438 identified by the higher D^2SI_B values

439 The D²SI-Sediment (D²SI₅) scoring for MABIO and MASED (Fig. 3C) shows a 440 modification in sediments characterized by an increase of score during the dumping period. 441 The score is 0 before dumping for both sites but increases rapidly after the first dumping 442 operation (score= 1). Although variations in this sub-index are observed, the scores remain 443 relatively high throughout the dumping period. The scores observed after cessation of 444 experimental dumping are high, reflecting a persistent impact. These scores are in agreement 445 with variations in observed fine particle percentages. On the MABIO site, the average 446 percentage of fine particles measured ($< 63 \mu m$) before, during and after dumping operations 447 are, respectively, 0.4%, 21.2% and 10.5% on impacted stations and only 0.9%, 3.2% and 448 1.8% on unimpacted stations. On the MASED site, the average percentage of fine particles (< 449 63μ m) measured before, during and after dumping operations are, respectively, 4.3%; 20.7% 450 and 11.7% on impacted station, and only 0.7%, 2.4% and 0.6% on unimpacted station. The 451 increase of the percentage of fine particles is clearly observed on the impacted zone of the 452 MABIO and MASED sites during dumping operations (21.2% and 20.7%), and remains high 453 after cessation of dumping (10.5% and 11.7%). The D²SIs score correctly reflects the 454 sedimentary modification caused by the inputs of fine particles during dumping operations 455 and the persistent impact after dumping operations. However, there is no direct correlation 456 between the D²SI_S and dumped volumes (-0.098 on MABIO and 0.25 on MASED; Table 5), 457 since this sub-index is not linked to the deposited volumes but rather the difference between original and deposit types of sediment surface. 458

459 The D²SI-Thickness (D²SI_T) score highlights a gradual increase of sedimentary 460 thickness, characterized by a gradual increase of values, especially for the MASED site (Fig.3 461 D), which shows a stronger increase in the score (0 before dumping and 1 from the third 462 operation, on the MASED site), in comparison to the MABIO site (0 before dumping, 0.5 463 from the second operation). At the end of the experiment, the score for the MASED site is 464 higher than for the MABIO site (respectively, 0.50 and 0.25). In addition, the D²SI_T allows us 465 to differentiate both dumping methods and reflects the two different impacts produced. These 466 high scores observed on MASED site are linked to the experimental dumping method, which 467 consists of creating a conical deposit on the impacted zone (initial bathymetry: 16.4 m; 468 bathymetry on the last survey: 12.2 m). This contrasts with the MABIO site, where the

sediment was spread out over a rectangular area on the impacted zone (initial bathymetry: 14.3 m; bathymetry on the last survey: 12.2 m). In the same way as described for the previous sub-index, D^2SI_T is not directly correlated with dumped volumes (0.14 on MABIO and 0.12 on MASED; Table 5), but reflects the dumping method used.

473 The scores obtained for the other sub-indices, D²SI_I, D²SI_R and D²SI_D, show few 474 distinct trends (Fig. 3 B, E and F). The D²SI₁ scores are low during the main dumping periods 475 (0-0.25) and high after dumping operations (0.50-1), with large fluctuations (Fig. 3B) but no 476 apparent link with dumping operations or dumped volumes (Table 5). In the same way, the 477 D^2SI_R and D^2SI_D scores are difficult to understand, with very high values for D^2SI_R for both 478 sites before and after the dumping operations (Fig.3 E) and no significant correlations with 479 dumped volumes (-0.083 for MABIO and -0.21 for MASED; Table 5). D²SI_D shows clearer 480 trends (Fig. 3F), with increased scores during dumping operations for both sites, but with 481 many fluctuations during and after dumping operations and no significant correlations with 482 dumped volumes (0.27 for MABIO and 0.35 for MASED; Table 5).

483 Finally, the final score (D²SI) on MABIO and MASED sites (Fig. 3G, H) shows low 484 values before dumping operations (respectively, 0.25 and 0.20; site classified as Slightly 485 disturbed) in comparison with values during deposition of spoil (max 0.85 in July, 2012 and 486 January 2013 and min 0.60 in November 2012 on the MABIO site; max 0.80 in January 2013 487 and min 0.65 in June 2012), which yields classification of site as Heavily disturbed to 488 Extremely disturbed. After, several months without dumping operations, the scores return to 489 relatively low values (0.35 for MABIO and 0.45 for MASED), the sites being classified as 490 Slightly disturbed on MABIO and Moderately disturbed on MASED. These results highlight 491 the persistence of the impact following spoil deposition, with a higher impact on the conical 492 site (MASED). A non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis test and multiple comparison tests were 493 carried out on the MABIO and MASED data to study score differences between the three 494 periods. These tests reveal significant differences between D²SI before-during dumping and 495 before-after dumping (KW test = 8.964; df = 2, p-value = 0.011). However, many fluctuations 496 are observed, with poor correlations between the final index and the dumped volumes (0.13)497 on MABIO and 0.39 on MASED; Table 5).

- 498
- 499 **4. Discussion**
- 500

501 The BACI method conducted on the experimental Machu site allows to identify the 502 link between the pressures induced by sediment deposal at sea and various physical and 503 biological parameters of the environment (Marmin, 2013; Marmin et al., 2016; Pezy et al., 504 2017, 2018). The data collected on this site are favourable to the development and the test of 505 new indicators as a textbook case. Based on the data collected from this site, we propose an 506 integrative approach, coupling biological and physical data, to assess the impact of dumping 507 operations, *via* a new multicriteria index: The Dredge Disposal Sediment Index (D²SI).

- 508
- 509

4.1.

510

511 Previous studies have highlighted the impact caused by dumping operations on benthic
512 macrofauna and the trophic web, as well as on physical and chemical conditions (Bolam et al.,
513 2006; Ware et al., 2010; Marmin, 2013; Marmin et al., 2016; Pezy et al., 2017, 2018).

Dumping impact on Machu site

514 On the Machu site, on the one hand, taxonomic richness and abundances in the 515 impacted zone are significantly lower than values obtained in the unimpacted zone (Marmin, 516 2013; Marmin et al., 2016; Pezy et al., 2018). Changes in the species composition and 517 abundances of the benthic community are observed during and after dumping operations. 518 Before the dumping operation, there was a typical Nephtys cirrosa community in medium 519 sand characterized by the polychaetes (Magelona filiformis/johnstoni, Nephtys cirrosa) and 520 amphipods (Megaluropus agilis, Urothoe elegans,) as found in the eastern part of the Bay of 521 Seine (Marmin, 2013). After dumping, the Machu site corresponds to a mixture of the 522 Nephtys cirrosa and Abra alba communities in medium and muddy fine sand (with the 523 bivalves Phaxas pellucidus, Spisula subtruncata, and the polychaete Owenia fusiformis; 524 Marmin, 2013; Marmin et al., 2016; Pezy et al., 2018).

525 On the other hand, the study of food web modelling and the ENA (Ecological Network 526 Analysis; Ulanowicz, 2004) carried out by Pezy et al. (2017, 2018) reveals changes in the 527 food-web structure and functioning induced by dumping operations as well as the high 528 resilience of the affected zones after a short dumping phase.

Regarding these results, the D²SI proposed here yields consistent results, with increased values during dumping and a persistent impact after dumping, highlighted by the maintenance of higher values than before the dumping experiment.

- 532
- 533 4.2. Determining sub-indices
- 534

535 Among the six sub-indices proposed, three of them $(D^2SI_B, D^2SI_S \text{ and } D^2SI_T)$ allow to 536 correctly highlight the impact caused by dumping at sea. These three sub-indices, which are based on benthic abundances, sedimentary type and thickness of deposit, show an increase of scores according to the dumping periods. These three factors are determinant in calculating the final index score (D²SI), with significant correlations (respectively 0.69, 0.67 and 0.60 for MABIO and 0.80, 0.64 and 0.48 for MASED; Table 5). Moreover, they are significantly correlated with each other (D²SI_B-D²SI_T: 0.51 and D²SI_S-D²SI_T: 0.65 on MABIO; D²SI_B-D²SI_T: 0.60 on MASED; Table 5), reflecting conjoint response to dumping operations.

543 Conversely, the sub-index based on ichthyofauna (D²SI_I) shows large temporal 544 fluctuations (Fig. 3B) which affect the calculation of the final index score (D²SI_I-D²SI 545 correlations: 0.16 on MABIO, no data on MASED; Table 5). In fact, the mobility of the 546 ichthyofauna and the sparse knowledge of the consequences of dumping impacts on this 547 compartment makes it difficult to establish a reliable index. The previous study on the 548 MABIO site (no ichthyofauna data on MASED site) shows an increase in abundances and 549 biomass of demersal fish during the dumping period, reflecting an attraction of surrounding 550 populations (Pezy et al., 2018). This phenomenon has also been documented, before and after 551 deposition from a sewage effluent outfall into the ocean at Hawaii (Russo, 1982) and suggests 552 that changes in fish abundance are related to variations in the distribution and abundance of 553 benthic preys (Spies, 1984). On the Machu site, dumping operations caused a modification of 554 invertebrate benthic communities with high abundances of some dominant species (Marmin, 555 2013), allowing a constant availability of prey. Moreover, the D²SI_I results highlight the 556 problem of selecting stations, which are rarely identical to those chosen for sampling of other 557 compartments (invertebrate benthic macrofauna), which may introduce a bias into the study of 558 a very local anthropogenic impact.

559 The choice of both biological traits (resistance to dumping of spoil and drifting) is 560 based on a knowledge of the study site and the fact that several authors have hypothesized a 561 specific modification of the combination of community life-history traits in disturbed 562 ecosystems, depending on the nature and intensity of the disturbance (Stearns, 1976, 1977; 563 Usseglio-Polatera et al., 2000; Bremner et al., 2003; Bolam et al., 2006a,b; Janson, 2007; 564 Dauvin et al., 2017a,b). The capacity of invertebrate benthic species to resist the deposition of 565 spoil sediment does not seem to be a determining factor to assess the impact of dumping on 566 the studied site (low correlations with dumped volumes: -0.083 on MABIO and -0.21 on 567 MASED and with D²SI: 0.31 on MABIO and -0.064 on MASED). In fact, the benthic 568 communities of the eastern part of the Bay of Seine are characterized by very resistant and 569 resilient species, particularly well adapted to the particular oceanographic and anthropogenic 570 conditions in this shallow coastal area (high-energy hydrodynamic regime, many anthropic

activities, frequent silt input, etc.; Marmin, 2013). D²SI_R does not allow us to account for the 571 572 impact caused by the increase in thickness of the sediment layer (negative correlations 573 between D²SI_T and D²SI_R: -0.20 on MABIO and -0.18 on MASED; Table 5). Conversely, the 574 sub-index based on capacity of drifting shows better correlations with D²SI (0.62 on MABIO 575 and 0.70 on MASED; Table 5), but the poor correlations with dumped volumes (Table 5) and 576 with D²SI_B (0.37 on MABIO and 0.42 on MASED; Table 5) suggest that this biological trait 577 characterizes only a small number of species with high abundances on the studied site. On the 578 Machu site, the ability of drifting has been observed in the bivalve Abra alba (Olivier et al., 579 1996), which has shown an immediate recolonization of the site, with high abundances of 580 adults on the MABIO site in June 2012, just one month after the end of the first dumping 581 phase (Marmin, 2013). The displacement of juveniles but also some adults drifting near the 582 seabed can lead to a rapid colonization of defaunated and impacted zones in this eastern part 583 of the Bay of Seine (Olivier et al., 1996). As the biological traits are variable from one site to 584 another and from area to another in relation to local and general current conditions near the 585 seabed, this sub-index appears inappropriate to assess the impact of dumping on the D²SI 586 score.

- 587
- 588

4.3. Additional approaches

589

590 In this study, we use a calculation test by adding different weighting coefficients for 591 each sub-index according to the consistency of the data (x3 for D²SI_B, x2 for D²SI_S and D²SI_T, 592 x1 for D²SI_I, D²SI_D and D²SI_R). There is no statistical difference between the unweighted 593 (D²SI) and the weighted (D²SI_{weighted}) indices for the MABIO and MASED sites (KW test= 594 25.462; df= 16; p-value= 0.062) and both indices are significantly correlated (0.95 for 595 MABIO and 0.95 for MASED; Table 5 and Fig. 4 A, B). Nevertheless, D²SI is less strongly 596 correlated with dumped volumes (0.13 on MABIO and 0.39 on MASED; Table 5) than 597 D²SI_{weighted}. The weighted index shows higher correlations with dumped volumes, especially 598 on the MASED site (0.25 on MABIO and 0.51 on MASED). By giving a greater weight to the 599 scores of sub-indices based on benthic compartment, sedimentary and thickness modification 600 included in the final index calculation, we can achieve a better assessment of the impact of 601 dumping according to the dumped volumes (Fig. 4 A, B). However, in comparison with the 602 results of statistical tests carried out on D²SI for each dumping period (before, during and 603 after dumping, presented in the Results section), the statistical tests on D²SI_{weighted} for each 604 dumping period only show differences between before-during dumping operations (KW test=

605 10.08; df= 2; p-value= 0.007). Therefore, the weighting of scores of sub-indexes yields a
606 better correlation with the dumped volumes, but reduces the differences between scores after
607 dumping periods, indicating a persistent impact.

608 In the light of the above observations, D²SI is calculated using only the scores of 609 D²SI_B, D²SI_S and D²SI_T (considering unweighted scores for these three sub-indices), without 610 including the scores of D²SI_I, D²SI_R and D²SI_D (Fig. 4 C, D). The resulting index is named 611 D²SI₂ (Fig. 1). Graphically, the absence of these three latter sub-indices changes the 612 ecological classification status for MABIO (Fig. 4C) and MASED (Fig. 4D), with generally 613 lower scores. The Kruskal-Wallis test highlights significant differences between the scores of 614 D²SI and D²SI₂ (KW= 20.69; df = 10; p-value = 0.02). Correlations between D²SI₂ and 615 dumped volumes are stronger, especially on the MASED site (0.31 on MABIO and 0.54 on 616 MASED; Table 5), in comparison with correlations between dumped volumes and original 617 index (D²SI) or between dumped volumes and weighted index (D²SI_{weighted}; Table 5). As with 618 the previous index, the statistical tests on D²SI₂ for each dumping period only show 619 differences between before-during dumping operations (KW test= 10.679; df= 2; p-value= 620 0.005), indicating a persistent impact. Therefore, the index calculated without considering 621 ichthyofauna and biological traits of the macrofauna allows a better assessment of the 622 environmental impact caused by dumping operations.

623

624

4.4. Biotic indices comparison

625

626 In comparison with other biotic indices based on species classification in ecological 627 group (AMBI, M-AMBI, BO2A), on diversity (H') and on trophic groups (ITI), the D²SI is 628 specific to dumping impact and allows a better categorization of this impact (Table 6). In fact, 629 all index mean values obtained for the Machu site correspond to "very good" and "good" 630 ecological status, before, during and after dumping (except for ITI value before dumping on 631 MASED site). These results characterize the Machu site as being unpolluted (or normal, with 632 ITI classification) to slightly stressed, whatever the period studied (Table 6). Conversely, 633 D²SI and D²SI₂ mean values classifies the impact as ranging from Undisturbed to Moderately 634 disturbed before dumping, Heavily disturbed during and after dumping operations (on non-635 average D²SI values, during dumping: from Moderately to Extremely disturbed; after 636 dumping: Slightly to Extremely disturbed; Fig. 3). Also, D²SI and D²I₂ differentiates periods 637 before dumping from other periods and reflecting the impact caused by physical and 638 biological modifications.

640 4.5. Further work

641

642 After developing D²SI on the Machu experimental site in full compliance with the 643 BACI approach, this index is being tested on two other dumping sites in eastern part of the 644 Bay of Seine: Octeville (used by Le Havre harbour) and Kannik (used by Rouen harbour; Fig. 645 2). These sites differ from the Machu site because they have been used historically (from 646 1947 for Octeville and from 1977 to 2015 for Kannik), with higher accumulated dumped volumes (mean of $2-2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3.\text{y}^{-1}$ on the Octeville site and $3.5-4 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3.\text{y}^{-1}$ on the Kannik 647 site). The application of D²SI to these two dumping sites leads to more complicated 648 649 understanding in comparison with the textbook case of the experimental Machu site, 650 especially due to: (1) a lack of initial data conditions, before the beginning of spoil deposition 651 (especially on the Octeville site); (2) a lack of accurate and regularly sampled bathymetric 652 data (or data only available from stations without initial conditions) and (3) spatial and 653 temporal gaps between samplings. These elements are quite often lacking in surveys of 654 French dumping sites, thus preventing a precise study of the impact caused by harbour 655 dumping activities and the use of the D²SI multicriteria index. To use this index for other 656 dumping sites, it would be necessary to acquire regular data on impacted and unimpacted 657 zones, and to have at least some information on macrofauna, bathymetry and sediment 658 composition as a function of time.

659

660 **5.** Conclusion

661

662 An integrative approach is proposed, using a new multicriteria approach, the Dredge 663 Disposal Sediment Index (D²SI), to assess the impact of dumping and attribute a degree of 664 impact according to the pressure undergone by the system. This index is developed on the 665 experimental Machu site in the eastern part of the Bay of Seine. The appropriate assessment 666 of the impacts of dumping on this experimental site and application of the BACI approach 667 allow to differentiate three distinct periods (before, during and after dumping; Marmin et al. 668 2016; Pezy et al, 2017, 2018). The assessment of the impact of dumping at the Machu site 669 offers a textbook example that appears very favourable for the development of a new index 670 designed to measure the response of the benthic environment to the pressure of dumping dredge spoil. 671

The D²SI is calculated by considering impacted (under the direct influence of harbour 672 673 sediment disposal) and unimpacted stations (without direct or indirect influence of the 674 harbour sediment disposal) and is composed of six sub-indices that take into account the 675 biological and physical impacts generated by dredge disposal at sea (integrating data on 676 benthic macrofauna, demersal fish, sedimentary types, bathymetry, resistance to dredge 677 sediment deposition and drifting capacity). A final score is calculated using each sub-index, 678 allowing us to classify the disturbance. In the absence of data on ichthyofauna and biological 679 traits of life of macrobenthic fauna, an additional approach (D²SI₂) shows that results based 680 on only three sub-indices, including at least benthic macrofauna, sedimentary types and 681 thickness, appear sufficient surrogate to provide a similar assessment of dumping pressure.

682 The first application of the D²SI to the Machu site yields a good estimation of the 683 dumping impact, in comparison with other biotic indices (AMBI, M-AMBI, etc.; Pezy et al., 684 2018). The D²SI and D²SI₂ indexes highlight the disturbance induced by dumping at the 685 beginning of sediment deposition, with an increase in their values as well as the degree of 686 associated impact. The multicriteria index also allows to highlight the persistence of the 687 impact after cessation of deposition, while comparing the different methods of dumping 688 (spread out or concentrated deposit) and shows a return to the values before dumping two 689 years after the end of the pressure.

690 In the future, depending on the availability of data, the D^2SI or D^2SI_2 indexes should 691 be tested on other dumping sites to estimate its robustness and with a view to comparing the 692 assessment of the impact of this human activity. The present study opens up prospects for the 693 development of multicriteria indices as well as indices that are specific of dumping impact.

694

695 Acknowledgments

696

697 The study was funded by the Agence Française de la Biodiversité (AFB; INDICLAP 698 project: INdicateurs d'Impacts de CLAPages sur les habitats benthiques). This study also 699 forms part of the PhD research work of N. Baux (Baux, 2018), funded by the ANRT (Le 700 Havre harbour and the French State). The authors thank Gwenola De Roton (AFB) for her 701 very useful comments during the D²SI development and the members of the Scientific 702 Committee of INDICLAP (P. Galichon, S. Brasselet, S. Samson, P. Tournier, N. Bacq and J. 703 Grall) for their participation at regular meetings to evaluate the progress of the project. Part of 704 this work was carried out at the CSLN in association with the University of Le Havre and its

- representative Fabrice Durant, which the authors thank. The authors are also grateful to M.
- 706 Carpenter for revising the English style and grammar.
- 707

708 References

- 709 Alzieu, C., 1999. Dredging and Marine Environment State of the Art (Report). IFREMER.
- Baux, N., 2018. Dynamique d'habitats benthiques sous contraintes anthropiques : le cas du site de dépôt de dragage d'Octeville (thesis). Normandie.
- Baux, N., Murat, A., Faivre, Q., Lesourd, S., Poizot, E., Méar, Y., Brasselet, S., Dauvin, J.-C.,
 2019. Sediment dynamic equilibrium, a key for assessing a coastal anthropogenic
 disturbance using geochemical tracers: Application to the eastern part of the Bay of
 Seine. Cont. Shelf Res. 175, 87–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2019.02.002
- Bellan, G., 2008. Pollution Indices, in: Jørgensen, S.E., Fath, B.D. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of
 Ecology. Academic Press, Oxford, pp. 2861–2868. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978008045405-4.00127-0
- Bolam, S.G., Rees, H.L., Somerfield, P., Smith, R., Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., Atkins, M.,
 Garnacho, E., 2006a. Ecological consequences of dredged material disposal in the
 marine environment: A holistic assessment of activities around the England and Wales
 coastline. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 52, 415–426.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.09.028
- Bolam, S.G., Schratzberger, M., Whomersley, P., 2006b. Macro- and meiofaunal
 recolonisation of dredged material used for habitat enhancement: Temporal patterns in
 community development. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 52, 1746–1755.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.07.010
- Bolam, S.G., Whomersley, P., Schratzberger, M., 2004. Macrofaunal recolonization on intertidal mudflats: effect of sediment organic and sand content. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 306, 157–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2004.01.007
- Borja, A., Franco, J., Pérez, V., 2000. A marine biotic index to establish the ecological quality
 of soft-bottom benthos within European estuarine and coastal environments. Mar.
 Pollut. Bull. 40, 1100–1114.
- Borja, Á., Franco, J., Valencia, V., Bald, J., Muxika, I., Jesús Belzunce, M., Solaun, O., 2004.
 Implementation of the European water framework directive from the Basque country (northern Spain): a methodological approach. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 48, 209–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.12.001
- Boutin, R., 2000. Amelioration des connaisssances sur les rejets par clapage de type vase.
 Presented at the VI èmes Journées Nationnales Génie Civil Génie Côtier, Caen, pp.
 623–633.
- Bremner, J., Rogers, S.I., Frid, C.L.J., 2006. Methods for describing ecological functioning of
 marine benthic assemblages using biological traits analysis (BTA). Ecol. Indic. 6,
 609–622. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2005.08.026
- Bremner, J., Rogers, S.I., Frid, C.L.J., 2003. Assessing functional diversity in marine benthic
 ecosystems: a comparison of approaches. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 254, 11–25.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps254011
- Chandrasekara, W.U., Frid, C.L.J., 1998. A laboratory assessment of the survival and vertical
 movement of two epibenthic gastropod species, Hydrobia ulvae (Pennant) and
 Littorina littorea (Linnaeus), after burial in sediment. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 221,
 191–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00123-8
- Chauvin, P., Freger, G., Guyader, J., 1986. Synthèse des conaissances sur les effets du depôt
 de dragage d'Octeville. Mar. Pollut. Bull., (Actes de Colloques). IFREMER. 465–470.

- Dauvin, J.-C., 2018. Twenty years of application of Polychaete/Amphipod ratios to assess
 diverse human pressures in estuarine and coastal marine environments: A review.
 Ecol. Indic. 95, 427–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.07.049
- Dauvin, J.-C., 2007. Paradox of estuarine quality: Benthic indicators and indices, consensus
 or debate for the future. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55, 271–281.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.08.017
- Dauvin, J.-C., 1993. Le benthos : témoin des variations de l'environnement. Oceanis 19, 25–
 53.
- Dauvin, J.-C., Andrade, H., de-la-Ossa-Carretero, J.A., Del-Pilar-Ruso, Y., Riera, R., 2016.
 Polychaete/amphipod ratios: An approach to validating simple benthic indicators.
 Ecol. Indic. 63, 89–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.11.055
- Dauvin, J.-C., Baffreau, A., Baux, N., Pezy, J.-P., Marmin, S., 2018. Dredge Spoil Disposal
 (DSD) Index. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 129, 102–105.
- Dauvin, J.-C., Bakalem, A., Baffreau, A., Delecrin, C., Bellan, G., Lardicci, C., Balestri, E.,
 Sardá, R., Grimes, S., 2017a. The well sorted fine sand community from the western
 Mediterranean Sea: A resistant and resilient marine habitat under diverse human
 pressures. Environ. Pollut. 224, 336–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.013
- Dauvin, J.-C., Bakalem, A., Baffreau, A., Grimes, S., 2017b. Benthic ecological status of
 Algerian harbours. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 125, 378–388.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.049
- 773 Dauvin, J.-C., Lucas, S., Navon, M., Lesourd, S., Mear, Y., Poizot, E., Alizier, S., 2017c. 774 Does the hydrodynamic, morphometric and sedimentary environment explain the 775 structure of soft-bottom benthic assemblages in the Eastern Bay of Seine (English 776 Channel)? Estuar. Shelf 156–172. Coast. Sci. 189, 777 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2017.03.014
- Dauvin, J.-C., Ruellet, T., 2009. The estuarine quality paradox: Is it possible to define an
 ecological quality status for specific modified and naturally stressed estuarine
 ecosystems? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 59, 38–47.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.11.008
- De-la-ossa Carretero, A., Dauvin, J.-C., others, 2010. A comparison of two biotic indices,
 AMBI and BOPA/BO2A, for assessing the ecological quality status (EcoQS) of
 benthic macro-invertebrates. Transitional Waters Bull. 4, 12–24.
- Desprez, M., 2000. Physical and biological impact of marine aggregate extraction along the
 French coast of the Eastern English Channel: short- and long-term post-dredging
 restoration. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 57, 1428–1438. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0926
- Desroy, N., Retière, C., 2001. Long-term changes in muddy fine sand community of the
 Rance Basin: role of recruitment. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 553–564.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315401004234.
- Elliott, M., Burdon, D., Atkins, J.P., Borja, A., Cormier, R., de Jonge, V.N., Turner, R.K.,
 2017. "And DPSIR begat DAPSI(W)R(M)!" A unifying framework for marine
 environmental management. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 118, 27–40.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.049
- Engler, R., Saunders, L., Wright, T., 1991. Environmental effects of aquatic disposal of
 dredged material. Environ. Prof. 13, 317–325.
- EPA, 1989. Characteristics and effects of dredged material disposal in the marine
 environment (report). United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Essink, K., 1999. Ecological effects of dumping of dredged sediments; options for management. J. Coast. Conserv. 5, 69–80.

- Fredette, T.., French, G.., 2004. Understanding the physical and environmental consequences
 of dredged material disposal: history in New England and current perspectives. Mar.
 Pollut. Bull. 49, 93–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.01.014
- Harvey, M., Gauthier, D., Munro, J., 1998. Temporal changes in the composition and abundance of the macro-benthic invertebrate communities at dredged material disposal sites in the anse à Beaufils, baie des Chaleurs, eastern Canada. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 36, 41–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(98)90031-5
- Hermand, R., 2008. Réponses d'une communauté macrobenthique méditerranéenne soumise à
 des apports sédimentaires allochtones naturels ou anthropiques (thesis). Université de
 la Méditerranée Aix-Marseille II.
- Janson, A.-L., 2007. Evolution de la biodiversité benthique des vasières subtidales de
 l'estuaire de la Seine en réponse à la dynamique sédimentaire. De l'approche
 descriptive à l'approche fonctionnelle. (thesis). Université de Rouen.
- Kristensen, P., 2004. The DPSIR Framework, workshop on a comprehensive/detailed
 assessment of the vulnerability of water resources to environmental change in Africa
 using river basin approach (report). IFREMER.
- Lesourd, S., Lesueur, P., Fisson, C., Dauvin, J.-C., 2016. Sediment evolution in the mouth of
 the Seine estuary (France): A long-term monitoring during the last 150years. Comptes
 Rendus Geosci. 348, 442–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2015.08.001
- Marmin, S., 2013. Impacts biosédimentaires des expérimentations de clapages en baie de
 Seine, sur la communauté des sables moyens propres à Nephtys cirrosa. (thesis).
 Université Caen-Normandie.
- Marmin, S., Dauvin, J.-C., Lesueur, P., 2014. Collaborative approach for the management of
 harbour-dredged sediment in the Bay of Seine (France). Ocean Coast. Manag. 102,
 328–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.10.012
- Marmin, S., Lesueur, P., Dauvin, J.C., Samson, S., Tournier, P., Gallicher Lavanne, A.,
 Dubrulle-Brunaud, C., Thouroude, C., 2016a. An experimental study on dredge spoil
 of estuarine sediments in the bay of seine (France): A morphosedimentary assessment.
 Cont. Shelf Res. 116, 89–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2016.01.010
- Marmin, S., Lesueur, P., Dauvin, J.C., Samson, S., Tournier, P., Lavanne, A.G., DubrulleBrunaud, C., Thouroude, C., 2016b. An experimental study on dredge spoil of
 estuarine sediments in the bay of seine (France): A morphosedimentary assessment.
 Cont. Shelf Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2016.01.010
- Maurer, D., Aprill, G., 1979. Intertidal Benthic Invertebrates and Sediment Stability at the
 Mouth of Delaware Bay. Int. Rev. Gesamten Hydrobiol. Hydrogr. 64, 379–403.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19790640311
- Maurer, D., Keck, R.T., Tinsman, J.C., Leathem, W.A., 1982. Vertical migration and
 mortality of benthos in dredged material: Part III—polychaeta. Mar. Environ. Res. 6,
 49–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(82)90007-1
- Maurer, D., Keck, R.T., Tinsman, J.C., Leathem, W.A., 1981a. Vertical migration and
 mortality of benthos in dredged material: Part II—Crustacea. Mar. Environ. Res. 5,
 301–317.
- Maurer, D., Keck, R.T., Tinsman, J.C., Leathem, W.A., 1981b. Vertical migration and
 mortality of benthos in dredged material—part I: Mollusca. Mar. Environ. Res. 4,
 299–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(81)90043-X
- 846 Méar, Y., Poizot, E., Murat, A., Beryouni, K., Baux, N., Dauvin, J.-C., 2018. Improving the 847 monitoring of a dumping site in a dynamic environment. Example of the Octeville site Channel). 848 (Bay of Seine, English Mar. Pollut. Bull. 129, 425-437. 849 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.10.011

- Mearns, A.J., Word, J.Q., 1982. Forecasting effects of sewage solids on marine benthic
 communities, in: Forecasting Effects of Sewage Solids on Marine Benthic
 Communities, Ecological Stress and the New York Bight: Science and Management.
 Estuarine Research Federation, Columbia. pp. 495–512.
- Miller, D.C., Muir, C.L., Hauser, O.A., 2002. Detrimental effects of sedimentation on marine
 benthos: what can be learned from natural processes and rates? Ecol. Eng. 19, 211–
 232. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(02)00081-2
- Munari, C., Mistri, M., 2014. Spatio-temporal pattern of community development in dredged
 material used for habitat enhancement: A study case in a brackish lagoon. Mar. Pollut.
 Bull. 89, 340–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.09.039
- Muxika, I., Borja, Á., Bald, J., 2007. Using historical data, expert judgement and multivariate
 analysis in assessing reference conditions and benthic ecological status, according to
 the European Water Framework Directive. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55, 16–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.05.025
- Muxika, I., Borja, A., Bonne, W., 2005. The suitability of the marine biotic index (AMBI) to
 new impact sources along European coasts. Ecol. Indic. 5, 19–31.
- 866 O'Connor, T.P., 1998. Comparative criteria: Land application of sewage sludge and ocean
 867 disposal of dredged material. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 36, 181–184.
 868 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(97)00179-3
- Olenin, S., 1992. Changes in a south-eastern Baltic soft-bottom community induced by
 dredged spoil dumping. Int. Symp. Ser. 119–123.
- Olivier, F., Vallet, C., Dauvin, J.-C., Retière, C., 1996. Drifting in post-larvae and juveniles in
 an Abra alba (wood) community of the eastern part of the Bay of Seine (English
 Channel). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 199, 89–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/00220981(95)00187-5
- Pezy, J.-P., Raoux, A., Marmin, S., Balay, P., Dauvin, J.-C., 2018. What are the most suitable
 indices to detect the structural and functional changes of benthic community after a
 local and short-term disturbance? Ecol. Indic. 91, 232–240.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.04.009
- Pezy, J.-P., Raoux, A., Marmin, S., Balay, P., Niquil, N., Dauvin, J.-C., 2017. Before-After
 analysis of the trophic network of an experimental dumping site in the eastern part of
 the Bay of Seine (English Channel). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 118, 101–111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.02.042
- Pinto, R., Patrício, J., Baeta, A., Fath, B.D., Neto, J.M., Marques, J.C., 2009. Review and
 evaluation of estuarine biotic indices to assess benthic condition. Ecol. Indic. 9, 1–25.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.01.005
- Powilleit, M., Graf, G., Kleine, J., Riethmüller, R., Stockmann, K., Wetzel, M.A., Koop,
 J.H.E., 2009. Experiments on the survival of six brackish macro-invertebrates from the
 Baltic Sea after dredged spoil coverage and its implications for the field. J. Mar. Syst.,
 Dynamics of Natural and Anthropogenic Sedimentation (DYNAS) 75, 441–451.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2007.06.011
- Powilleit, M., Kleine, J., Leuchs, H., 2006. Impacts of experimental dredged material disposal
 on a shallow, sublittoral macrofauna community in Mecklenburg Bay (western Baltic
 Sea). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 52, 386–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.09.037
- Roberts, R.D., Gregory, M.R., Foster, B.A., 1998. Developing an efficient macrofauna
 monitoring index from an impact study A dredge spoil example. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
 36, 231–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(97)00183-5
- Russo, A.R., 1982. Temporal changes in fish community structure near a sewage ocean
 outfall, Mokapu, Oahu, Hawaii. Mar. Environ. Res. 6, 83–98.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(82)90023-X

- Shannon, C.E., 1948. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Bell Syst. Tech. J. 27, 379–
 656.
- Simboura, N., Zenetos, A., 2002. Benthic indicators to use in Ecological Quality classification
 of Mediterranean soft bottom marine ecosystems, including a new Biotic Index.
 Mediterr. Mar. Sci. 3, 77. https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.249
- Smith, S.D.A., Rule, M.J., 2001. The Effects of Dredge-Spoil Dumping on a Shallow Water
 Soft-Sediment Community in the Solitary Islands Marine Park, NSW, Australia. Mar.
 Pollut. Bull. 42, 1040–1048. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00059-5
- Spies, R., 1984. Benthic-pelagic coupling in sewage-affected marine ecosystems. Mar.
 Environ. Res. 13, 195–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-1136(84)90030-8
- Stearns, S.C., 1977. The evolution of life history traits: a critique of the theory and a review of
 the data. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 8, 145–171.
- 912 Stearns, S.C., 1976. Life-history tactics: a review of the ideas. Q. Rev. Biol. 51, 3–47.
- 913 Trevor, J.H., 1978. The dynamics and mechanical energy expenditure of the polychaetes
 914 Nephtys cirrosa, Nereis diversicolor and Arenicola marina during burrowing. Estuar.
 915 Coast. Mar. Sci. 6, 605–619. https://doi.org/10.1016/0302-3524(78)90034-8
- Ulanowicz, R.E., 2004. Quantitative methods for ecological network analysis. Comput. Biol.
 Chem. 28, 321–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2004.09.001
- Usseglio-Polatera, P., Bournaud, M., Richoux, P., Tachet, H., 2000. Biological and ecological traits of benthic freshwater macroinvertebrates: relationships and definition of groups with similar traits. Freshw. Biol. 43, 175–205. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2000.00535.x
- Van Dolah, R.F., Calder, D.R., Knott, D.M., 1984. Effects of dredging and open-water
 disposal on benthic macroinvertebrates in a South Carolina estuary. Estuaries Coasts
 7, 28–37.
- Vincent, C., Heinrich, H., Edwards, A., Nygaard, K., Haythornthwaite, J., 2002. Guidance on
 typology, reference conditions and classification systems for transitional and coastal
 waters (No. 2.4HOL5 Final Draft (COAST)).
- Vivan, J.M., Di Domenico, M., de Almeida, T.C.M., 2009. Effects of dredged material
 disposal on benthic macrofauna near Itajaí Harbour (Santa Catarina, South Brazil).
 Ecol. Eng. 35, 1435–1443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2009.06.005
- Ware, S.J., Rees, H.L., Boyd, S.E., Birchenhough, S.N., 2009. Performance of selected
 indicators in evaluating the consequences of dredged material relocation and marine
 aggregate extraction. Ecol. Indic. 9, 704–718.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.09.010
- Wilber, D.H., Clarke, D.G., Rees, S.I., 2007. Responses of benthic macroinvertebrates to thin layer disposal of dredged material in Mississippi Sound, USA. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 54,
 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.08.042
- Witt, J., Schroeder, A., Knust, R., Arntz, W.E., 2004. The impact of harbour sludge disposal
 on benthic macrofauna communities in the Weser estuary. Helgol. Mar. Res. 58, 117.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-004-0177-3
- 941 942

Figure captions

944

Fig. 1: D²SI and D²SI₂ workflow. A: abundances; S: specific richness; I: impacted
station; U: unimpacted station; G: group; +: addition (see text for other explanations).

947

Fig. 2. Map of the eastern part of the Bay of Seine showing location of the Machu experimental dredge spoil disposal zone. Distribution of sampling stations (point: benthic macrofauna and sediment; line: ichthyofauna) over the two dumping sites (MASED and MABIO). In red: impacted stations; in blue: unimpacted stations.

Fig. 3. Sub-indices and final index scores (black and red points) with standard deviation (error bars on G and H, calculated with n=6 for D²SI MABIO and n=5 for D²SI MASED, for each period. No error bars in graphs A to F because n=1 for each period) for each survey and cumulated dumped volumes (m³; histograms), for MABIO and MASED sites. MABIO and MASED points can be superimposed. The y-axis and x-axis are the same for each graph.

957

Fig. 4. A and B: comparison between D²SI and D²SI_{weighted} (calculated with different degrees of weighting for each sub-index); C and D: comparison between D²SI and D²SI₂ (calculated without D²SI_I, D²SI_R and D²SI_D), for each survey with cumulated dumped volumes (m³; Histograms), for MABIO and MASED sites. Standard deviation is illustrated by error bars and calculated for each period with n=6 for D²SI and D²SI_{weighted} MABIO; n=5 for D²SI and D²SI_{weighted} MASED; n=3 for D²SI₂ MABIO and MASED. The y-axis and x-axis are the same for each graph.

965

966 **Table captions**

967

Table 1: Ratio, threshold and scores for species used in calculation of D²SI-Benthos and D²SI-Ichthyofauna [from DSD index (Dauvin *et al.*, 2018)]. Example for reading assistance: a score of 1 indicates high abundances at impacted stations (abundance at unimpacted samples is ten times higher than those at impacted samples).

972 Table 2. D²SI-B and D²SI-I score and site disturbance classification.

973

974 Table 3. D^2SI_s , D^2SI_T , D^2SI_R and D^2SI_D scores associated with results of calculation 975 and site disturbance classification.

976

Table 4. D²SI results of calculation and site disturbance classification.

978

977

Table 5: Spearman's correlation coefficients and significance tests (p-value 0: ***; 0.001: **; 0.05: *; 0.1: ') between each sub-index, final D²SI and dumped volumes, for MABIO and MASED sites. D²SI_{weighted}: final index with weighting coefficient; D²SI₂: final index calculation without scores integrating D²SI_I, D²SI_R and D²SI_D; Volumes: *in situ* dumped volumes. Numbers in bold: significant values. In red: p-value.

984

Table 6: Ecological indicators mean values and environmental classification (EcoQS) for MABIO and MASED sites according to dumping periods (before, during and after dumping) and considered stations (AK4 A, C, D, F and AK7 A, D). The several ecological statuses considered for the selected benthic indicators come from: Borja et al. (2004) and Muxika et al. (2007) for AMBI and M-AMBI; Dauvin (2018) for BO2A (revisited from De-la-ossa Carretero et al., 2010), Vincent et al. (2002) for H'and Bellan (2008) for ITI. Colours correspond to the WFD classification.



Fig. 1: D²SI and D²SI₂ workflow. A: abundances; S: specific richness; I: impacted station; U: unimpacted station; G: group; +: addition (see text for other explanations).



Fig. 2. Map of the eastern part of the Bay of Seine showing location of the Machu experimental dredge spoil disposal zone. Distribution of sampling stations (point: benthic macrofauna and sediment; line: ichthyofauna) over the two dumping sites (MASED and MABIO). In red: impacted stations; in blue: unimpacted stations.



Fig. 3. Sub-indices and final index scores (black and red points) with standard deviation (error bars on G and H, calculated with n=6 for D²SI MABIO and n=5 for D²SI MASED, for each period. No error bars in graphs A to F because n=1 for each period) for each survey and cumulated dumped volumes (m³; histograms), for MABIO and MASED sites. MABIO and MASED points can be superimposed. The y-axis and x-axis are the same for each graph.



Fig. 4. A and B: comparison between D²SI and D²SI_{weighted} (calculated with different degrees of weighting for each sub-index); C and D: comparison between D²SI and D²SI₂ (calculated without D²SI_I, D²SI_R and D²SI_D), for each survey with cumulated dumped volumes (m³; Histograms), for MABIO and MASED sites. Standard deviation is illustrated by error bars and calculated for each period with n=6 for D²SI and D²SI_{weighted} MABIO; n=5 for D²SI and D²SI_{weighted} MASED; n=3 for D²SI₂ MABIO and MASED. The y-axis and x-axis are the same for each graph.

Table 1: Ratio, threshold and scores for species used in calculation of D²SI-Benthos and D²SI-Ichthyofauna [from DSD index (Dauvin *et al.*, 2018)]. Example for reading assistance: a score of 1 indicates high abundances at impacted stations (abundance at unimpacted samples is ten times higher than those at impacted samples).

Ratio Impacted/unimpacted		Group		Score for species
Ratio $= 0$		Species found only at the unimpacted stations		10
$0.2 \ge \text{Ratio}$		>5 times higher		9
$0.2 \leq \text{Ratio} \leq 0.4$		>2.5–5 times higher		8
$0.4 < \text{Ratio} \le 0.6$		>1.75–2.5 times higher		7
$0.6 < \text{Ratio} \le 0.8$	Abundance	>1.25–1.75 times higher	than at	6
$0.8 < \text{Ratio} \le 1$	at	>1–1.25 times higher	impacted	5
$1 \leq \text{Ratio} \leq 2$	samples	>1-2 times lower	samples	4
$2 \leq \text{Ratio} \leq 4$	samples	>2–4 times lower		3
4 < Ratio ≤ 10		>4–10 times lower		2
10 < Ratio		>10 times lower		1
Ratio not calculable		Species absent from unimpacted stations and present only at impacted stations		0

Score for species / taxonomic richness	D ² SI _B and D ² SI _I Score	Site disturbance classification				
[10 - 8]	1	Extremely disturbed				
]8 - 7]	0.75	Heavily disturbed				
]7 - 6.5]	0.5	Moderately disturbed				
]6.5 - 6]	0.25	Slightly disturbed				
]6 - 4]	0	Undisturbed				
]4 - 3.5]	0.25	Slightly disturbed				
]3.5 - 3]	0.50	Moderately disturbed				
]3 - 2]	0.75	Heavily disturbed				
]2 - 0]	1	Extremely disturbed				

Table 2: D^2SI_B and D^2SI_I score and site disturbance classification.

Index	Results of calculation	Score	Site disturbance classification
	[0 - 0.1[0	Undisturbed
	[0.1 - 0.3[0.25	Slightly disturbed
D ² SI _S	[0.3 - 0.5[0.50	Moderately disturbed
	[0.5 - 0.7[0.75	Heavily disturbed
	> 0.7	1	Extremely disturbed
	≤ 0	0	Undisturbed
	[0 - 2[0.25	Slightly disturbed
D ² SI _T	[2 - 4[0.50	Moderately disturbed
	[4 - 6[0.75	Heavily disturbed
	> 6	1	Extremely disturbed
	[0- 0.1[0	Undisturbed
	[0.1 - 0.3[0.25	Slightly disturbed
D^2SI_R and D^2SI_D	[0.3 - 0.5[0.50	Moderately disturbed
	[0.5 - 0.7[0.75	Heavily disturbed
	[0.7 - 1]	1	Extremely disturbed

Table 3: D^2SI_S , D^2SI_T , D^2SI_R and D^2SI_D scores associated with results of calculation and site disturbance classification.

D ² SI	Site disturbance classification
[0 - 0.2[Undisturbed
[0.2 - 0.4[Slightly disturbed
[0.4 - 0.6[Moderately disturbed
[0.6 - 0.8[Heavily disturbed
[0.8 - 1]	Extremely disturbed

Table 4: Results of D²SI calculation and associated site disturbance classification.

Table 5: Spearman's correlation coefficients and significance tests (p-value 0: ***; 0.001: **; 0.05: *; 0.1: ') between each sub-index, final D²SI and dumped volumes, for MABIO and MASED sites. D²SI_{weighted}: final index with weighting coefficient; D²SI₂: final index calculation without scores integrating D²SI_I, D²SI_R and D²SI_D; Volumes: *in situ* dumped volumes. Numbers in bold: significant values. In red: p-value.

D ² SI _B 0.20 0.44 6 0.13 0.37 ** ***	_
NA D ² SI _I 0.34 0.00 -0.17 -0.28 0.16 0.27 0.35 -0.15	_
NA D ² SII 0.34 0.00 -0.17 -0.28 0.16 0.27 0.35 -0.15	_
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}} = $	_
0.60 0.65 0.67 0.69 0.78	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0.074 NA 0.072 D²SI _T -0.20 0.18 0.50 0.50 0.14	
\square -0.15 NA -0.013 -0.18 D ² SI _R 0.28 0.31 0.23 -0.11 -0.083	\leq
	BI
Σ 0.42 NA 0.40 0.41 -0.35 D²SID 0.42 0.45 0.27 0.27	0
*** * * * * *** D201 *** ***	
0.80 NA 0.64 0.48 -0.064 0.70 D ² SI 0.95 0.70 0.13	
0.00 0.04 0.40 0.70 0.70 0.75 0.77	_
0.91 NA 0.65 0.38 -0.14 0.59 0.95 $D^2SI_{weighted}$ 0.89 0.25	
	_
0.89 NA 0.64 0.44 -0.23 0.52 0.92 0.97 0.512 0.51	
	-
0.54 0.25 0.12 0.21 0.55 0.57 0.51 0.54 Volumes	

Table 6: Ecological indicators mean values and environmental classification (EcoQS) for MABIO and MASED sites according to dumping periods (before, during and after dumping) and considered stations (AK4 A, C, D, F and AK7 A, D). The several ecological statuses considered for the selected benthic indicators come from: Borja et al. (2004) and Muxika et al. (2007) for AMBI and M-AMBI; Dauvin (2018) for BO2A (revisited from De-la-ossa Carretero et al., 2010), Vincent et al. (2002) for H' and Bellan (2008) for ITI. Colours correspond to the WFD classification.

		AMBI		MBI M-AMBI		BO2A		H'		ITI		D ² SIF		D ² SIF ₂	
		Values	EcoQS	Values	EcoQS	Values	EcoQS	Values	EcoQS	Values	EcoQS	Values	EcoQS	Values	EcoQS
	Before	1.10	Undisturbed	0.75	Slightly	0.0035	Undisturbed	4.81	Undisturbed	59	Perturbed	0.4	Moderately	0.0	Undisturbed
MASED	During	1.10	Undisturbed	0.81	Undisturbed	0.0080	Undisturbed	4.78	Undisturbed	68	Normal	0.7	Heavily	0.7	Heavily
	After	1.23	Slightly	0.81	Undisturbed	0.0042	Undisturbed	4.83	Undisturbed	74	Normal	0.7	Heavily	0.6	Heavily
MABIO	Before	1.21	Slightly	0.71	Slightly	0.0088	Undisturbed	4.39	Undisturbed	62	Normal	0.2	Slightly	0.3	Slightly
	During	1.85	Slightly	0.74	Slightly	0.0289	Slightly	4.35	Undisturbed	70	Normal	0.7	Heavily	0.6	Heavily
	After	0.67	Undisturbed	0.76	Slightly	0.0078	Undisturbed	3.70	Slightly	84	Normal	0.6	Heavily	0.6	Heavily

