

Oenococcus sicerae sp. nov., isolated from French cider

Fabien Cousin, Rozenn Le Guellec, Caroline Chagnot, Didier Goux, Marion Dalmasso, Jean-Marie Laplace, Marina Cretenet

▶ To cite this version:

Fabien Cousin, Rozenn Le Guellec, Caroline Chagnot, Didier Goux, Marion Dalmasso, et al.. Oenococcus sicerae sp. nov., isolated from French cider. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 2019, 42 (3), pp.302-308. 10.1016/j.syapm.2018.12.006. hal-02166854

HAL Id: hal-02166854 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-02166854

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Oenococcus sicerae sp. nov., isolated from French cider

Authors:

Fabien J. COUSIN^a, Rozenn LE GUELLEC^a, Caroline CHAGNOT^a, Didier GOUX^b, Marion DALMASSO^a, Jean-Marie LAPLACE^a, Marina CRETENET^{¶ a,c}

E-mail addresses:

fabien.cousin@unicaen.fr
rozenn.le.guellec@live.fr
caroline.chagnot@unicaen.fr
didier.goux@unicaen.fr
marion.dalmasso@unicaen.fr
jean-marie.laplace@unicaen.fr
marina.cretenet@unicaen.fr

¶Corresponding author:

Mailing address: ER MALIM, EA 4651 ABTE, Esplanade de la paix, 14032 Caen cedex 05, France

Phone: +33 (0)2 31 56 57 85 Fax: +33 (0)2 31 56 61 79

E-mail: marina.cretenet@unicaen.fr

^a Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, UNIROUEN, ABTE, 14000 Caen, France

^b Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, SF ICORE 4206, CMAbio3, F-14032 Caen, France

^c Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, SF ICORE 4206, CBM, F-14032 Caen, France

ABSTRACT

2	Two Gram-stain-positive, small ellipsoidal cocci, non-motile, oxidase- and catalase-negative, and
3	facultative anaerobic strains (UCMA15228 ^T and UCMA17102) were isolated in France, from
4	fermented apple juices (ciders). The 16S rRNA gene sequence was identical between the two
5	isolates and showed 97 % similarity with respect to the closest related species Oenococcus oeni and
6	O. kitaharae. Therefore, the two isolates were classified within the genus Oenococcus. The
7	phylogeny based on the pheS gene sequences also confirmed the position of the new taxon. DNA-
8	DNA hybridizations based on in silico genome-to-genome comparisons (GGDC) and Average
9	Nucleotide Identity (ANI) values, as well as species-specific PCR, validated the novelty of the
10	taxon. Various phenotypic characteristics such as the optimum temperature and pH for growth, the
11	ability to metabolise sugars, the aptitude to perform the malolactic fermentation, and the resistance
12	to ethanol and NaCl, revealed that the two strains are distinguishable from the other members of the
13	Oenococcus genus. The combined genotypic and phenotypic data support the classification of
14	strains UCMA15228 ^T and UCMA17102 into a novel species of <i>Oenococcus</i> , for which the name
15	O. sicerae sp. nov. is proposed. The type strain is $UCMA15228^{T}$ (= $DSM107163^{T}$ = $CIRM$ -
16	$BIA2288^{T}$).

KEY WORDS

19 Lactic acid bacteria; *Oenococcus sicerae*; Cider; Apple; fermented beverage

21 **ABBREVIATIONS** 22 23 ANI: Average Nucleotide Identity 24 DDH: DNA-DNA Hybridization 25 GGDC: Genome-To-Genome Distance Calculator 26 LAB: Lactic Acid Bacteria 27 MLF: MaloLactic Fermentation 28 MLO: Medium for Leuconostoc oenos RAPD: Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA 29 UCMA: Université de Caen Microbiologie Alimentaire 30 31 32

INTRODUCTION

33

34 French cider is produced from apple juice, which is usually fermented by indigenous yeasts and 35 bacteria, and is produced mainly in the Normandy and Brittany regions in France [4]. The 36 abundance and diversity of microorganisms during the fermentation processes are widely 37 recognised to contribute to the quality of the final product [4]. French ciders can be bottled for 38 direct consumption or distilled in order to produce spirit (i.e. calvados). Saccharomyces yeasts are 39 principally responsible for the alcoholic fermentation of apple juice, and predominate at the 40 beginning of the cider process [4]. Non-Saccharomyces yeasts and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are 41 also present. The major LAB isolated from cider are part of the Lactobacillus sp., Pediococcus sp., 42 Oenococcus sp. and Leuconostoc sp. genera [4]. They are particularly involved in the malolactic 43 fermentation (MLF) during the second step of the cider fermentation process, and especially the 44 Oenococcus genus. 45 The Oenococcus genus currently comprises three species, O. oeni [5], O. kitaharae [6] and 46 O. alcoholitolerans [1]. Oenococcus oeni is, by far, the most studied species in the genus, especially 47 for its ability to perform MLF during wine and other alcoholic-food related fermentation processes 48 [2,18]. Only O. kitaharae is not able to perform MLF due to a point mutation resulting in a 49 premature stop codon in the *mleA* gene encoding the malolactic enzyme [3]. Two LAB strains, UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102, were isolated from fermenting ciders, 50 51 produced in Normandy (France). These strains differed from the currently documented *Oenococcus* 52 species based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences. Oenococcus members are usual inhabitants of 53 fermenting apple juice during cider production, which makes cider a potential source for revealing 54 new biochemical and genomic traits of the genus. The aim of this study was to perform the 55 taxonomic characterisation of these new *Oenococcus* strains. They were found to form a separate 56 genetic group from the other known *Oenococcus* species, which is here proposed as *Oenococcus* sicerae sp. nov., with the type strain $UCMA15228^{T}$ (= $DSM107163^{T}$ = $CIRM-BIA2288^{T}$). In our 57

58 genomic taxonomic approach, we analysed a complete genome sequence of the type strain

59 UCMA15228^T in order to determine genomic and phenotypic features of the new species.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The novel strains, UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102, were isolated from two distinct cider samples during the fermentation process, originating from two separate localities in Normandy (France). The UCMA15228^T strain has been isolated from a cider intended to be bottled whereas the UCMA17102 strain has been isolated from a cider intended to be distilled for spirit production. The isolation media used were modified medium for *Leuconostoc oenos* (MLO) supplemented with 10 g.L⁻¹ L-malic acid, and Rogosa SL agar (Rogosa SL agar, Conda) for UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102 strains, respectively. The agar plates were supplemented with 7 mg.L⁻¹ cycloheximide and 100 mg.L⁻¹ pimaricin, and incubated at 10°C under anaerobic conditions (Anaerogen, Thermo

supplemented with 5 g.L⁻¹ fructose and 0.5 g.L⁻¹ cysteine, and incubated at 30°C with 5 % CO₂.

Scientific) for a month. After isolation, bacteria were routinely cultured on MRS (Difco) at pH 5.5,

Phenotypic characterization

Physiological and biochemical characteristics were assessed by standard methods. Briefly, the nitrate reductase test was performed in Nitrate Broth with inverted Durham tubes, and zinc and Griess reagents (Biomérieux, France). The growth of the two strains was tested with 49 different carbon sources using Api 50 CHL strips (Biomérieux, France) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isomers of lactic acid formed from D-glucose were determined with Enzytec[™] D-/L-Lactic Acid kit (r-biopharm), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fatty acid and peptidoglycan analyses were carried out by the Identification Service of the DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany.

- 82 Sugar and organic acid contents were determined by HPLC (Waters Alliance HPLC system) at
- 83 40°C at 0.6 ml/min on a C18 Carbomix H-NP 5 column with 5mM sulfuric acid asmobile phase.
- 84 Sugars and organic acids were monitored with the FID and the PAD (210 nm) detectors at 30°C,
- 85 respectively. HPLC data were also used to validate the heterofermentative metabolism of
- 86 UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102 strains.
- The optimal pH and temperature ranges for growth were assessed at pH 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5,
- 88 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5 and 7.0, and at 5, 10, 15, 20, 22.5, 25, 27.5, 30 and 37°C, respectively. Tolerance
- 89 towards NaCl (1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5 and 5.0 % w/v) and ethanol content (2, 4, 6, 8, 10,
- 90 12, 14, 16 and 18 % v/v) was also checked. All these tests were performed in tubes containing 3 ml
- 91 of MRS supplemented with 20 % apple juice and 0.05 % cysteine, and inoculated at 1% (v/v) with
- 92 fresh cultures for 72 h. For testing the temperature range, assayswere performed under both aerobic
- and anaerobic conditions. For all the other tests, they were performed at 30°C supplemented with
- 94 5% CO₂, with no NaCl and ethanol for testing pH, with no ethanol at pH 5.5 for testing NaCl, and
- 95 with no NaCl at pH 5.5 for testing ethanol.

96 Phylogenetic and fingerprinting analyses

- 97 DNA amplification of the 16S rRNA gene was performed directly on colonies in 30 μL containing
- 98 1X Phusion High Fidelity (Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix, Thermo Fisher, United States)
- 99 and 0.25 µM of both primers 16S_F (5'-AGAGTTTGATYMTGGCTC-3') and 16S_R (5'-
- 100 GGNTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'). PCR was carried out as follows: an initial denaturation step at
- 101 98°C for 10 min, 35 cycles composed of a denaturation step at 98°C for 10 s, an annealing step at
- 102 54°C for 20 s and an amplification step at 72°C for 45 s, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The
- 103 pheS gene was also amplified using primers Oeno_PheS_F (5'-TGGGTGGTAACACGATAA-3',
- 104 targeting the upstream area of the pheS gene) and Oeno_PheS_R (5'-
- 105 CCMARACCAAARGCAAAACC-3', slightly modified from [13]). The PCR conditions were the
- same as described above except for an annealing temperature of 57°C and an amplification step

107 reduced to 30 s. PCR product purification and Sanger sequencing were carried out at GATC 108 Biotech (Germany). The closest recognized relatives of the isolates were determined by performing 109 BLAST and Sequence Match (RDP) searches, and the sequences of closely related species were 110 retrieved from the DDBJ database. Multiple alignments and manual cleaning (deletion of the extra 111 beginning and ending sequences to homogenize the alignment) of the sequences and maximum 112 likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were carried out with MEGA7 [9] with the appropriate 113 substitution model for the ML option selected [7] and 1,000 bootstrap replications. The 16S rRNA 114 and pheS gene sequences of the closest LAB type strains used for the phylogenetic analysis were 115 extracted from the genomes when available (using RNAmmer [10] for 16S rRNA gene sequences) 116 or obtained from the Genbank database. 117 Species-specific PCR primers Osic_16S_F (5'-TCTTCGGAGTGACGCCTAACT-3') and 118 Osic_16S_R (5'-GACCGACATGTGTCAGAC-3') for the novel species were designed based on 119 the 16S rRNA gene sequences. The specificity of the primers was confirmed by PCR using genomic 120 DNA from *Oenococcus* sp. strains (Table S1). Universal primers targeting the 23S rRNA gene of 121 all bacteria (500-bp PCR product) were also used as an internal PCR control (Cousin et al., 122 submitted). PCR amplification was performed using 15 µl of a mixture containing 1× DreamTaq 123 Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 250 nM of each primer and 5 ng of template 124 DNA. The PCR cycling program consisted of one cycle of 95°C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 125 s, 50°C for 15 s and 72°C for 15 s; and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. 126 In addition, genotypic differentiation was assessed by multiplex randomly amplified polymorphic 127 DNA (RAPD) using the Coc and On2 primers as previously described [15], with an annealing 128 temperature of 29°C as previously described [21].

Genome sequencing

- 130 Genomic DNA was extracted from 10 mL stationary phase cultures using the PowerFood microbial
- DNA kit (Mobio) with slight modifications from the manufacturer's instructions for the lysis step.

132 Briefly, the bacterial pellet was resuspended in 450 µl PF1 solution and transferred into a sterile 133 2ml microtube containing 0.1 mm diameter zirconium beads. Mechanical lysis was performed using 134 a MM200 mixer mill (Retsch) for 5 min at 25 Hz. The amount and quality of isolated genomic 135 DNA was verified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer, Quant-it PicoGreen dsDNA assay kit and 136 gel electrophoresis. The genome of strain UCMA15228^T was completely sequenced using a PacBio RSII single-137 138 molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing with a 10 kb library at Genoscreen (Lille, France). De novo 139 assembly of sequencing reads was performed through the HGAP (hierarchical genome assembly 140 process) protocol version 2.0 in SMRT Analysis version 2.3.0 (Pacific Biosciences, USA). The 141 genome was annotated by using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genomes Automatic Annotation Pipeline 142 (PGAAP) version 4.5. 143 The average nucleotide identity (ANI) and DNA G + C content values were estimated with 144 orthologous average nucleotide identity (OrthoANI) and original ANI [11], digital DNA-DNA hybridization (DDH) values were estimated using in silico genome-to-genome comparison 145 146 Genome-To-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC) V.2.1 using the recommended BLAST+ 147 alignment and formula 2 (identities/HSP length) [12]. These values were calculated for UCMA15228^T against O. oeni PSU-1, and against the type strains O. oeni NCDO1674^T, 148 O. kitaharae NRIC0645^T and O. alcoholitolerans UFRJ-M7.2.18^T. 149 150 Bacterial cell morphology 151 The bacterial cells were rinsed with physiological water, and fixed with 1% glutaraldehyde in 152 phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0) for 5 days at 4°C. During this fixation, the cells were sedimented 153 on Thermanox® coverslip coated with poly-L-lysine. The cells were rinsed in phosphate buffer (0.1

observed with the scanning electron microscope JEOL 6400F. Images were processed with the

154

155

156

M, pH 7.0) again. The cells were then dehydrated through successive baths of ethanol (70 to 100%),

and critical point dried (CPD 030 LEICA Microsystem). The cells were sputtered with platinum and

public-domain image processing and analysing program Fiji. The measures of bacterial cell sizewere performed on 20 images of 20 cells each.

Accession numbers

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ sequence accession numbers are as follows: MH384882 and MH384883 for the 16S rRNA gene sequences of strains UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102, respectively; MH392191 and MH392192 for the *pheS* gene sequences of strains UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102, respectively; and CP029684 for the complete genome of strain UCMA15228^T.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic analysis

The 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis revealed that the designated type strain UCMA15228^T formed a tight monophyletic branch affiliated to the genus *Oenococcus*, in a robust sub-cluster separated from its closest neighbours (Fig. 1 and S1). UCMA15228^T strain showed 16S rRNA gene sequence identities of 97.4 % and 97.0 % with *O. kitaharae* NRIC0645^T and *O. oeni* NCDO1674^T, respectively (Table S2). The 16S rRNA sequence identity of UCMA15228^T strain with its two closest phylogenetic neighbours was close to 97%, the conservative threshold established for a bacterial species delineation [17,19]. The other phylogenetic analysis, based on the *pheS* gene sequence, confirmed this new branch (Fig. 2 and S2). Strain UCMA15228^T shared 74.2 % and 76.5 % identity of the *pheS* gene sequence with *O. kitaharae* NRIC0645^T and *O. oeni* NCDO1674^T, respectively (Table S2). These identity scores are comparable to those described for *O. alcoholitolerans*, the last designated species of *Oenococcus* sp. [1]. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the two strains, UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102 shared 100 % identity. Nonetheless, two SNPs were found between the *pheS* gene sequences of the two strains (Table S2), which also displayed different RAPD (Fig. S3) patterns, suggesting a non-clonal origin of the strains (Fig. S3). This assertion is confirmed by the fact that the two strains have been isolated from different cider

samples, at two distinct producers in Normandy about 50 km apart with no known history of interactions.

Species-specific PCR for *Oenococcus sicerae* sp. nov.

A species-specific primer pair (Osic 16S F/R) was designed from r16S sequence multiple alignments of Oenococcus spp. Genomic DNA of several oenococci were used as templates for PCR amplification using universal and species-specific primer pairs (Table S1). The universal primers, used as an internal PCR control, gave a 500 bp positive signal for all the tested bacteria (Fig. S4), as expected. A single species-specific band of 134 bp was observed for O. sicerae sp. nov. (Fig. S4), with no cross-reaction against the other species of Oenococcus spp. (Fig. S4 and Table S1). This result confirms the divergence of these new members of the genus *Oenococcus*. This PCR method may constitute an efficient tool for their rapid identification in future works.

General taxonomic genome features of *Oenococcus sicerae* sp. nov. UCMA15228^T

The complete genome sequence of strain UCMA15228^T was obtained using the PacBio RSII. A library, with inserts of 10 kb, was sequenced, and 110,082 polymerase reads were generated. Sequence processing and *de novo* assembly were performed using 205,930 postfiltered subreads with an average read length of 9,560.8 bp, resulting in a unique contig. The complete genome sequence of UCMA15228^T consisted of 1,684,519 bp with an average coverage of 880× and a G+C mol% of 40.35. It contains 1,663 coding genes and 53 predicted RNA genes.

We compared the genome characteristics and sequence of strain UCMA15228^T to other related species (Tables 1 and S3). The orthoANI and original values between *O. sicerae* sp. nov. UCMA15228^T and *O. oeni* NCDO1674^T were of 73.72 % and 73.35 %, respectively (Table 1), which is far below the proposed threshold of 95–96% for species delineation [11,16]. The GGDC value (isDDH) between these strains obtained by the recommended formula 2 (identities/HSP)

length) was of 17.7 % [15.6–20.0 %], which is also clearly below the boundary of 70 % for species

circumscriptions [20]. The calculated probability that isDDH is >70 % was of 0 %. An isDDH

value of 22.6 % was obtained with formula 1 (HSP length/total length) and of 20.8 % with formula

3 (identities/total length), supporting the affiliation of strain UCMA15228^T to a single species. The

OrthoANI, original ANI and isDDH values between strain UCMA15228^T and the other *Oenococcus*sp. strains varied from 70.08 to 73.72 %, 69.62 to 73.35 %, and 17.5 to 19.5 % respectively (Table

1), which is clearly lower than the generally accepted cut-off threshold values of 95–96% and 70%

for delineation of bacterial species, confirming the authenticity of the novel species.

Phenotypic and chemotaxonomic characteristics of *O. sicerae* sp. nov.

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

Strains are heterofermentative, produce lactic acid, carbon dioxide and ethanol or acetic acid from D-glucose. D- and L-lactic acid are produced in the ratio 9:1. Nitrate is not reduced. The major cellular fatty acids (>10% of the total fatty acids) of UCMA15228^T strain were C 16:0 (39.52%), C 18:1 ω 9c (40.21%) and C 19:0 cyclo ω 10c (12.46%). The overall fatty acid profile of UCMA15228^T strain is presented in Table S4. The total hydrolysate (100 °C, 4N HCl, 16 h) of UCMA15228^T strain contained the amino acids alanine, serine, glutamic acid and lysine, while the analysis of partial hydrolysates (100 °C, 4N HCl, 45 min) revealed the presence of the peptidoglycan type A3α (L-Lys – L-Ser – L-Ala), corresponding to type A11.13 (www.peptidoglycan-types.info). Growth profiles and phenotypic features of the novel species and its phylogenetically related species are listed in Table 2. In addition, the decarboxylation of Lmalate into L-lactate (malolactic fermentation) was investigated by using HPLC. Both O. sicerae strains were able to convert L-malate into L-lactate when inoculated in apple juice at pH 4 (Fig. S5). The genes responsible for this malolactic conversion have been found in the genome of UCMA15228^T and are organised in an operon similar to that of O. oeni [2]. This operon is composed of three genes involved in the malolactic reaction: mleA, encoding the malolactic enzyme (responsible for the conversion of malic acid into lactic acid), mleP, encoding the malate permease (responsible for the transport of malate into the cell) and mleR, encoding the LysR-type regulatory protein for these two downstream genes.

232 SEM morphology of the new species O. sicerae Strains UCMA15228^T and UCMA17102 cells were ellipsoidal cocci, in couples, chains or piles 233 (Figs. 3 and S6). In comparison, O. oeni NCDO1674^T appeared almost exclusively in pairs in our 234 235 study. The "pile" phenotype of O. sicerae was also visible at a macroscopic scale where cellular 236 mass were observable in broth cultures, especially for strain UCMA17102. 237 238 Based on the phenotypic, genomic and phylogenetic data obtained in this study we propose the new species *Oenococcus sicerae* for which the type strain UCMA15228^T (= DSM107163^T, = CIRM-239 BIA2288^T) is designated. 240 241 The formal proposal of the species *Oenococcus sicerae* is given in Table 3 with the taxonumber 242 TA00560. 243 244 Acknowledgments 245 This work was supported by the region Basse-Normandie through the grant "Retour Jeune 246 Chercheur" 14P06493. The authors also thank all the cider producers for providing the cider 247 samples during the sample collection in Normandy, France, 2016.

248 **REFERENCES**

- [1] Badotti, F., Moreira, A.P.B., Tonon, L.A.C., Lucena, B.T.L. de., Gomes, F. de C.O., Kruger, R., Thompson, C.C., Morais, M.A. de., Rosa, C.A., Thompson, F.L. (2014) *Oenococcus alcoholitolerans* sp. nov., a lactic acid bacteria isolated from cachaça and ethanol fermentation processes. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 106(6), 1259–67, Doi: 10.1007/s10482-014-0296-z.
- 253 [2] Bartowsky, E.J. (2005) *Oenococcus oeni* and malolactic fermentation moving into the molecular arena. Aust. J. Grape Wine Res. 11(2), 174–87, Doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2005.tb00286.x.
- 256 [3] Borneman, A.R., McCarthy, J.M., Chambers, P.J., Bartowsky, E.J. (2012) Functional divergence in the genus *Oenococcus* as predicted by genome sequencing of the newly-described species, *Oenococcus kitaharae*. PLoS ONE 7(1), Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029626.
- [4] Cousin, F.J., Le Guellec, R., Schlusselhuber, M., Dalmasso, M., Laplace, J.-M., Cretenet, M. (2017) Microorganisms in fermented apple beverages: current knowledge and future directions. Microorganisms 5(3), 39, Doi: 10.3390/microorganisms5030039.
- [5] Dicks, L.M.T., Dellaglio, F., Collins, M.D. (1995) Proposal to reclassify *Leuconostoc oenos* as
 Oenococcus oeni [corrig.] gen. nov., comb. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 45(2), 395–7,
 Doi: 10.1099/00207713-45-2-395.
- 265 [6] Endo, A., Okada, S. (2006) *Oenococcus kitaharae* sp. nov., a non-acidophilic and non-malolactic-fermenting oenococcus isolated from a composting distilled shochu residue. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56(10), 2345–8, Doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64288-0.
- [7] Hall, B.G. (2013) Building phylogenetic trees from molecular data with MEGA. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30(5), 1229–35, Doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst012.
- [8] Kimura, M. (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16(2), 111–20.
- [9] Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, K. (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33(7), 1870–4, Doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054.
- 274 [10] Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E.A., Stærfeldt, H.-H., Rognes, T., Ussery, D.W. (2007) 275 RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 35(9), 276 3100–8, Doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm160.
- 277 [11] Lee, I., Ouk Kim, Y., Park, S.-C., Chun, J. (2016) OrthoANI: An improved algorithm and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66(2), 1100–3, Doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.000760.
- 280 [12] Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., Auch, A.F., Klenk, H.-P., Göker, M. (2013) Genome sequence-based 281 species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC 282 Bioinformatics 14, 60, Doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-60.
- 283 [13] Naser, S.M., Thompson, F.L., Hoste, B., Gevers, D., Dawyndt, P., Vancanneyt, M., Swings, J. (2005) Application of multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) for rapid identification of *Enterococcus* species based on *rpoA* and *pheS* genes. Microbiology 151(7), 2141–50, Doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27840-0.
- 287 [14] Nei, M., Kumar, S. (2000) Molecular evolution and phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York.
- 289 [15] Reguant, C., Bordons, A. (2003) Typification of *Oenococcus oeni* strains by multiplex 290 RAPD-PCR and study of population dynamics during malolactic fermentation. J. Appl. 291 Microbiol. 95(2), 344–53, Doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.01985.x.
- 292 [16] Richter, M., Rosselló-Móra, R. (2009) Shifting the genomic gold standard for the 293 prokaryotic species definition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106(45), 19126–31, Doi: 294 10.1073/pnas.0906412106.

295 [17] Stackebrandt, E., Goebel, B.M. (1994) Taxonomic note: a place for DNA-DNA 296 reassociation and 16S rRNA sequence analysis in the present species definition in bacteriology. 297 Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 44(4), 846–9, Doi: 10.1099/00207713-44-4-846.

- [18] Sternes, P.R., Borneman, A.R. (2016) Consensus pan-genome assembly of the specialised wine bacterium *Oenococcus oeni*. BMC Genomics 17, Doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2604-7.
- [19] Vandamme, P., Pot, B., Gillis, M., de Vos, P., Kersters, K., Swings, J. (1996) Polyphasic taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematics. Microbiol. Rev. 60(2), 407–38.
 - [20] Wayne, L.G., Brenner, D.J., Colwell, R.R., Grimont, P.A.D., Kandler, O., Krichevsky, M.I., Moore, L.H., Moore, W.E.C., Murray, R.G.E., Stackebrandt, E., Starr, M.P., Truper, H.G. (1987) Report of the ad hoc committee on reconciliation of approaches to bacterial systematics. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 37(4), 463–4, Doi: 10.1099/00207713-37-4-463.
 - [21] Zapparoli, G., Torriani, S., Dellaglio, F. (1998) Differentiation of *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis* strains by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 166(2), 325–32, Doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb13908.x.

311 FIGURE LEGENDS 312 313 Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree showing the position of the *Oenococcus sicerae* sp. nov. strains based on 314 the 16S rRNA gene sequences (1,463 bp). The tree was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood 315 method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model [8] with MEGA7 [9]. Bootstrap values (>70 %) based on 1,000 repetitions are shown. The sequence of Lactococcus lactis DSM 20481^T was used as 316 317 outgroup. Bar 5 % estimated sequence divergence. 318 319 Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree showing the position of the *Oenococcus sicerae* sp. nov. strains based on 320 the PheS gene sequences (1,069 bp). The tree was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood 321 method based on the General Time Reversible model [14] with MEGA7 [9]. Bootstrap values 322 (>70 %) based on 1,000 repetitions are shown. The sequence of Lactococcus lactis DSM 20481^T 323 was used as outgroup. Bar 20 % estimated sequence divergence. 324 Fig. 3. Photograph taken by SEM of O. sicerae sp. nov. UCMA15228^T cultured in MRS 325 326 supplemented with 20 % apple juice, pH 5.

Table 1. Ortho Average Nucleotide Identity (OrthoANI), original ANI and GGDC values (%) between *Oenococcus sicerae* sp. nov. and the other *Oenococcus* species

Species	Strain	<i>O. sicerae</i> sp. nov UCMA15228 ^T	O. oeni NCDO1674 ^T	O. oeni PSU-1	O. kitaharae NRIC0645 ^T	O. alcoholitolerans UFRJ-M7.2.18 ^T
O. sicerae sp. nov.	UCMA15228 ^T	100				
		73.72 ^a				
O. oeni	NCDO1674 ^T	73.35 ^b	100			
		17.7 ^c				
		73.56	99.66			
O. oeni	PSU-1	73.34	99.63	100		
		17.8	97.9			
		72.62	72.15	71.88		
O. kitaharae	NRIC0645 ^T	71.99	71.61	71.51	100	
		17.5	17.6	17.6		
		70.08	69.79	69.99	70.08	
O. alcoholitolerans	UFRJ-M7.2.18 ^T	69.62	69.59	69.81	69.73	100
		19.5	20.6	21.9	20.3	

^a OrthoANI values; ^b Original ANI values; ^c GGDC values.

Table 2. Differential phenotypic characteristics of the O. sicerae sp. nov. strains and the other Oenococcus species

	Oenococcus oeni [5]	O. kitaharae [6]	O. alcoholitolerans	O. sicerae sp. nov.	
Characteristics			[1]	UCMA15228 ^T	UCMA17102
Growth					
T °C range	15-30 ^b	20-30	20 - 40	5 - 30	5 - 30
Optimum growth T °C	22	30	30	25	25
pH range	3.0 - 6.5 ^b	5.0 - 7.5	4.0 - 7.5	4.0 - 7.0	4.0 - 7.0
Optimum growth pH	4.8	6.0 - 6.8	6.0 - 6.5	5.5 - 6.0	5.5 - 6.0
Max ethanol (%)	10.0	5.0	12.0	6.0	6.0
Max NaCl (%)	3.5 ^b	1.0	2.5	2.0	2.5
Malolactic fermentation	+	_	+	+	+
Acid production ^a from:					
L-arabinose	+/-	-	+/-	_	_
D-ribose	ND	+	+/-	+	+
D-xylose	+/-	_	+/-	+	+
D-galactose	+/-	+	+/-	_	_
D-glucose *	+	+	+	+	+
D-fructose	+	+	+	+	+
D-mannose	+/-	+	+/-	+	_
Salicin	+/-	+/-	+/-	_	_
D-cellobiose	+/-	+/-	+/-	_	_

D-maltose	-	+	+/-	_	-
D-lactose	-	_	+/-	_	-
D-melibiose	+/-	+	+	+	-
Sucrose	-	_	+	+	+
D-trehalose	+	+	-	+	-
D-raffinose	-	+	+	+	-
Gluconate potassium	+	+	-	+	+

³³¹ a + Acid production, – no acid production, +/- strain dependent, ND not determined. b Data obtained in this study. * D-glucose was negative for

strain UCMA17102 with API50CHL test but D-glucose was shown to be used by this strain by HPLC analysis.

 Table 3. Description of Oenococcus sicerae sp. nov. according to Digital Protologue TA00560

assigned by the www.imedea.uib.es/dprotologue website.

	a.uib.es/aprotologue website.		
TAXONUMBER	TA00560		
SPECIES NAME (Give the	Oenococcus sicerae		
binomial species name)	Oanococcus		
GENUS NAME	Oenococcus		
SPECIFIC EPITHET	sicerae		
SPECIES STATUS	sp. nov.		
SPECIES ETYMOLOGY	si'ce.rae. L. fem. gen. n. sicerae of cider		
DESIGNATION OF THE	UCMA15228		
TYPE STRAIN	OCM113220		
STRAIN COLLECTION	DSM107163 = CIRM-BIA2288		
NUMBERS 16S rRNA GENE			
ACCESSION NUMBER	MH384882		
ALTERNATIVE			
HOUSEKEEPING	-b-C [MII202101]		
GENES:GENE [ACCESSION	pheS [MH392191]		
NUMBER]			
GENOME ACCESSION	CP029684		
NUMBER [RefSeq] GENOME STATUS	Complete		
	^		
GENOME SIZE	1,684,519		
GC mol %	40.35		
DATA ON THE ORIGIN OF T	HE SAMPLE FROM WHICH THE STRAIN HAD BEEN ISOLATED		
COUNTRY OF ORIGIN	France		
REGION OF ORIGIN	Normandie, Calvados		
DATE OF ISOLATION	17/02/2016		
SOURCE OF ISOLATION	Apple juice in fermentation (cider)		
SAMPLING DATE	11/02/2016		
GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION	Calvados		
pH OF THE SAMPLE	4.05		
NUMBER OF STRAINS IN			
STUDY	2		
SOURCE OF ISOLATION	Apple juice in fermentation (cider)		
OF NON-TYPE STRAINS			
GROWTH MEDIUM,	- MRS (55 g/L, difco BD TM 288130) supplemented with 5 g/L D-Fructose and 0.5		
INCUBATION CONDITIONS [Temperature, pH, and	g/L cysteine-HCl - pH 5.5		
further information] USED	- pri 5.5 - 30°C in air with 5% CO2		
FOR STANDARD			
CULTIVATION	- Growth on agar medium is enhanced under anaerobic conditions		
ALTERNATIVE MEDIUM 1	MRS supplemented with 0.5 g/L cysteine-HCl		
CONDITIONS OF	Liquid medium mixed with 15% (v/v) glycerol and stored at -80°C		
PRESERVATION	Freeze-dried		
GRAM STAIN	Positive		
CELL SHAPE	Coccus		
CELL SIZE (length or			
diameter)	0.5-0.8 by 0.3-0.6 μm		
MOTILITY	Nonmotile		
SPORULATION (resting	None		
` "			

cells)				
TEMPERATURE RANGE	5-30			
LOWEST TEMPERATURE	5			
FOR GROWTH	3			
HIGHEST TEMPERATURE FOR GROWTH	30			
TEMPERATURE OPTIMUM	25			
LOWEST pH FOR				
GROWTH	4			
HIGHEST pH FOR	7			
GROWTH pH OPTIMUM	5.5 - 6.0			
pH CATEGORY	Acidophile (optimum < 6)			
LOWEST NaCl	Treadopinie (optimum < 0)			
CONCENTRATION FOR	0			
GROWTH				
HIGHEST NaCI	2.5			
CONCENTRATION FOR GROWTH	2.5			
SALINITY OPTIMUM	0-1.5			
RELATIONSHIP TO O2	Facultative aerobe			
O2 CONDITIONS FOR				
STRAIN TESTING	Air supplemented with 5% CO2 or anaerobiosis			
CARBON SOURCE USED	Carbohydrates, organic acids			
[class of compounds]				
CARBON SOURCE USED [specific compounds]	D-glucose, D-fructose, D-ribose, sucrose, D-xylose, L-xylose, D-lyxose, potassium gluconate and 5-ketogluconate potassium			
[specific compounds]	glycerol, erythritol, D-arabinose, L-arabinose, D-adonitol, methyl-\(\beta \)D-			
	xylopyranoside, D-galactose, L-sorbose, L-rhamnose, dulcitol, inositol, D-mannitol,			
CARBON SOURCE NOT	D-sorbitol, methyl-αD-mannopyranoside, N-acetylglucosamine, amygdalin, arbutin,			
USED [specific compounds]	salicin, D-cellobiose, D-maltose, D-lactose, inulin, D-melezitose, starch, glycogen, xylitol, gentiobiose, D-turanose, D-tagatose, D-fucose, L-fucose, D-arabitol, L-			
	arabitol and 2-ketogluconate potassium			
CARBON SOURCE	•			
VARIABLE [specific	D-mannose, D-melibiose, D-trehalose, D-raffinose and methyl-αD-glucopyranoside			
compounds]	D-fructose, D-ribose, sucrose, D-xylose, L-xylose, D-lyxose, potassium gluconate			
Positive tests with API	and 5-ketogluconate potassium			
	glycerol, erythritol, D-arabinose, L-arabinose, D-adonitol, methyl-\(\beta \)D-			
	xylopyranoside, D-galactose, L-sorbose, L-rhamnose, dulcitol, inositol, D-mannitol, D-sorbitol, methyl-αD-mannopyranoside, N-acetylglucosamine, amygdalin, arbutin,			
Negative tests with API	salicin, D-cellobiose, D-maltose, D-lactose, inulin, D-melezitose, starch, glycogen,			
	xylitol, gentiobiose, D-turanose, D-tagatose, D-fucose, L-fucose, D-arabitol, L-			
	arabitol and 2-ketogluconate potassium			
Variable tests with API	D-glucose, D-mannose, D-melibiose, D-trehalose, D-raffinose and methyl-αD-glucopyranoside			
COMMERCIAL KITS	API50CHL			
USED?				
ENERGY METABOLISM	Heterofermentative			
OXIDASE	Negative			
CATALASE	Negative			
POSITIVE TESTS	Gas production, malolactic fermentation, hydrolysis of esculin			
NEGATIVE TESTS	Gas formation with nitrate, reduction of nitrate			
MAJOR FATTY ACIDS	C 16:0, C 18:1ω9c, C 19:0 cyclo ω10c			
PEPTIDOGLYCAN TYPE	A3α (L-Lys – L-Ala – L-Ser)			
1				

BIOSAFETY LEVEL	1
BIOTIC RELATIONSHIP	Free-living
KNOWN PATHOGENICITY	None





