

Hypoxia Imaging and Adaptive Radiotherapy: A State-of-the-Art Approach in the Management of Glioma

Michael Gérard, Aurélien Corroyer-Dulmont, Paul Lesueur, Solène Collet, Michel Chérel, Mickaël Bourgeois, Dinu Stefan, Elaine Johanna Limkin, Cécile Perrio, Jean-Sébastien Guillamo, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Michael Gérard, Aurélien Corroyer-Dulmont, Paul Lesueur, Solène Collet, Michel Chérel, et al.. Hypoxia Imaging and Adaptive Radiotherapy: A State-of-the-Art Approach in the Management of Glioma. Frontiers in Medicine, 2019, 6:117, 10.3389/fmed.2019.00117. hal-02153761

HAL Id: hal-02153761 https://normandie-univ.hal.science/hal-02153761

Submitted on 10 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Hypoxia Imaging and Adaptive Radiotherapy: A State-of-the-Art Approach in the Management of Glioma

Michael Gérard^{1,2}, Aurélien Corroyer-Dulmont¹, Paul Lesueur^{1,2}, Solène Collet^{1,3}, Michel Chérel⁴, Mickael Bourgeois⁴, Dinu Stefan², Elaine Johanna Limkin⁵, Cécile Perrio⁶, Jean-Sébastien Guillamo^{1,7}, Bernard Dubray⁸, Myriam Bernaudin¹, Juliette Thariat² and Samuel Valable^{1*}

¹ Normandie Université, UNICAEN, CEA, CNRS, ISTCT/CERVOxy Group, GIP Cyceron, Caen, France, ² Department of Radiation Oncology, Centre Lutte Contre le Cancer François Baclesse, Caen, France, ³ Department of Radiophysics, Centre Lutte Contre le Cancer François Baclesse, Caen, France, ⁴ Team 13–Nuclear Oncology, INSERM U1232 Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie et Immunologie Nantes Angers (CRCINA), Nantes, France, ⁵ Department of Radiotherapy, Gustave Roussy, Université Paris-Saclay, Villejuif, France, ⁶ Normandie Université, UNICAEN, CEA, CNRS, ISTCT/LDM-TEP Group, GIP Cyceron, Caen, France, ⁷ Department of Neurology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nîmes, Nîmes, France, ⁸ Département de Radiothérapie et de Physique Médicale, Laboratoire QuantIF–LITIS [EA 4108], Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer Henri Becquerel, Université de Normandie, Rouen, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Samer Ezziddin, Saarland University, Germany

Reviewed by:

Baljinder Singh, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), India Ekaterina Dadachova, University of Saskatchewan, Canada

> *Correspondence: Samuel Valable samuel.valable@cnrs.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Nuclear Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 31 January 2019 Accepted: 13 May 2019 Published: 12 June 2019

Citation:

Gérard M, Corroyer-Dulmont A, Lesueur P, Collet S, Chérel M, Bourgeois M, Stefan D, Limkin EJ, Perrio C, Guillamo J-S, Dubray B, Bernaudin M, Thariat J and Valable S (2019) Hypoxia Imaging and Adaptive Radiotherapy: A State-of-the-Art Approach in the Management of Glioma. Front. Med. 6:117. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2019.00117 Severe hypoxia [oxygen partial pressure (pO₂) below 5-10 mmHg] is more frequent in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) compared to lower-grade gliomas. Seminal studies in the 1950s demonstrated that hypoxia was associated with increased resistance to low-linear energy transfer (LET) ionizing radiation. In experimental conditions, the total radiation dose has to be multiplied by a factor of 3 to achieve the same cell lethality in anoxic situations. The presence of hypoxia in human tumors is assumed to contribute to treatment failures after radiotherapy (RT) in cancer patients. Therefore, a logical way to overcome hypoxia-induced radioresistance would be to deliver substantially higher doses of RT in hypoxic volumes delineated on pre-treatment imaging as biological target volumes (BTVs). Such an approach faces various fundamental, technical, and clinical challenges. The present review addresses several technical points related to the delineation of hypoxic zones, which include: spatial accuracy, quantitative vs. relative threshold, variations of hypoxia levels during RT, and availability of hypoxia tracers. The feasibility of hypoxia imaging as an assessment tool for early tumor response to RT and for predicting long-term outcomes is discussed. Hypoxia imaging for RT dose painting is likewise examined. As for the radiation oncologist's point of view, hypoxia maps should be converted into dose-distribution objectives for RT planning. Taking into account the physics and the radiobiology of various irradiation beams, preliminary in silico studies are required to investigate the feasibility of dose escalation in terms of normal tissue tolerance before clinical trials are undertaken.

Keywords: glioblastoma, hypoxia, imaging, PET, MRI, radiation therapy

1

INTRODUCTION

Brain Tumors and Hypoxia

Brain tissue physiologically has a tissue pO_2 (ptO₂) of ~40 mmHg, referred to as a normoxic or aerobic state. Hypoxia, generally defined when ptO_2 falls below 10 mmHg, is the result of an imbalance between oxygen consumption and delivery, a common situation in various types of malignancies.

Tumor growth was initially modelized by Gompertzian curves in the 1970s, in which the growth saturates when the tumor volume reaches the carrying capacity (1, 2). However, this model has some limitations and has been improved by incorporating various parameters such as angiogenesis and necrosis. A specific focus was placed on hypoxia, known to play a crucial role in tumor angiogenesis, genetic instability, and tumor invasion (3). More recently, hypoxia has also been shown to induce protumoral activity by macrophage polarization (4). It is evident that hypoxia has a positive role in tumor growth and a negative role in therapeutic response (5) and is ultimately related to poor prognosis (6–8).

In primary brain tumors, hypoxia is also associated with malignant tumor growth. Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), the most aggressive glioma and most frequent primary brain tumor, is particularly hypoxic. Using the Eppendorf needle electrode, previous works demonstrated that while the oxygenation in the normal brain ranges around 40 mmHg of oxygen, it falls below 10 mmHg in GBM (9, 10). However, hypoxic components are highly heterogeneous both within a single tumor and among patients. It has been proposed that tumors could be separated into three compartments: well oxygenated, acutely hypoxic, and chronically hypoxic (11).

Hypoxia also induces resistance to radiotherapy (RT) (12). In the early 1950s, Gray and colleagues reported that the radiosensitivity of mammalian cells was dependent on oxygen concentration (13). Hypoxia was therefore assumed to contribute to the failures after RT in cancer patients. It has also been suspected to be involved in resistance to various chemotherapies (14, 15). Explored solutions to target hypoxia included the use of hyperbaric oxygen chambers, hypoxic radiosensitizers, and, in recent years, hypoxia image guided radiotherapy (HIGRT) (16).

More recently, various publications have demonstrated that hypoxia changes during tumor growth. Hypoxia is a result of an increased oxygen demand not only from tumor cells but also from immune cells, coupled with a perturbed vasculature (17). While in normal situations, the capillary density allows oxygen to be delivered to the cells with distances ranging from 30 to $60\,\mu$ m, within a tumor, the distance to the closest capillary dramatically increases and causes a decrease in oxygen pressure. The concept of perfusion-limited hypoxia resulting from vessel obstruction and perturbed blood flow (poorly oxygenated blood) has introduced the concept of dynamic or cycling hypoxia (18–20). Temporal instability of ptO_2 has been observed with intermittent periods of reoxygenation. The kinetics of cycling hypoxia follow a complex timescale and occur with two frequencies: a few cycles per hour and cycles lasting from hours to days (21, 22). At present, no clear distinction exists between chronic and cycling hypoxia.

Hypoxia and Radiobiological Basis

In the presence of molecular oxygen at the time of or within microseconds after exposure, low-LET radiation ionizes water molecules, producing high-energy electrons and highly reactive oxygen species (ROS) (23). DNA damage results from either a direct or an indirect (via ROS) effect of irradiation. In the absence of oxygen, ROS are not produced, and DNA damage is reduced for a given RT dose. *In vitro*, the ratio of the doses yielding the same level of cell mortality in anoxic (100% N₂ atmosphere) vs. oxic (100% O₂ atmosphere) conditions is 2.5–3, corresponding to the oxygen enhancement ratio (OER) (24–26). This "oxygen effect" is not associated with oxygen-dependent differences in DNA repair processes (27). Therefore, oxygen is considered as the strongest existing radiosensitizing agent. Hypoxic tumors are thus considered radioresistant and are harder to control with conventional RT doses.

OER and OER modeling: As a function of pO₂ and LET, OER increases nonlinearly with decreasing pO₂ as described by the Alper and Howard-Flanders formula (28) and with decreasing LET (27–29) (**Figure 1**). Under exposure to low-LET radiation, OER is around 2 for a pO₂ value of around 10–15 mmHg, and a maximum is reached with pO₂ <5 mmHg (30, 31). For high LET (over a few hundred keV/ μ m), OER remains around 1, whatever the pO₂ (29, 32). Thus, high-LET radiation therapy is supposed to be more efficient than low-LET conventional RT (photons or protons) when treating hypoxic tumors (33, 34). This could be explained by the *in situ* "oxygen production in the heavy ion track" phenomenon (35–38).

For a precise modeling of OER dependence, a rigorous analysis should include several parameters: ptO_2 in both the



FIGURE 1 Theoretical computational modeling of the OER as a function of pO_2 and LET (performed on MATLAB). OER increases nonlinearly with increasing degree of hypoxia and decreases with increasing LET. Compared to low-LET conventional RT (photons or protons), high-LET RT, over a few hundreds of keV/ μ m (carbons), is expected to be less sensitive to hypoxia and could be more efficient for treating hypoxic tumors.

	Advantages	Limitations
StO ₂	 Easy setup and application in clinical routine Sensitive 	• Indirect assessment of p_tO_2
	 Assuming fully oxygenated arterial blood, the fraction of deoxygenated blood corresponds to the OEF Spatial resolution is better than PET biomarkers 	Specificity for hypoxia needs to be validated
OE-MRI	 Showed promising results in the characterization of intratumor hypoxia heterogeneity in one GBM model Spatial resolution is better than PET biomarkers 	 Indirect assessment of ptO2 Specificity for hypoxia needs to be validated Needs to be validated in other GBM models and in the clinical setting
MOBILE	No need to inject contrast agentSpatial resolution better than PET biomarkers	 Indirect and relative assessment of p_tO₂ No studies in brain tumors
MR fingerprint	 Multi-parametric (vascularization, oxygenation) characterization with rapid acquisition Spatial resolution is better than PET biomarkers 	 Indirect and relative assessment of p₁O₂ Needs to be validated in other GBM models and in the clinical setting with multiple slices
¹⁵ O-oxygen	•Allows direct quantification of OEF	 Very short radioactive decay No linear relation between oxygen consumption and cellular hypoxia Spatial resolution
[¹⁸ F]-FMISO	Current gold standard for hypoxia imagingIndicator of cellular hypoxia	 Injection of a radioactive compound Relatively prolonged time before steady-state acquisition (2h) Spatial resolution
[¹⁸ F]-FAZA	 Indicator of cellular hypoxia More rapid clearance than [¹⁸F]-FMISO 	 Injection of a radioactive compound Needs to be validated in a more important number of studies Spatial resolution
[¹⁸ F]-HX4	 Indicator of cellular hypoxia More hydrophilic tracer allowing more rapid clearance than [¹⁸F]-FMISO/FAZA 	 Injection of a radioactive compound Not recommended for brain tumors Spatial resolution
[¹⁸ F]-DiFA	 Indicator of cellular hypoxia More hydrophilic tracer allowing more rapid clearance than [¹⁸F]-FMISO/FAZA 	 Injection of a radioactive contrast agent Needs to be validated in a more important number of studies Spatial resolution
[⁶² Cu]/[⁶⁴ Cu]-ATSM	 Characterization of moderate hypoxia Promising tracer for imaging hypoxia thanks to its high membrane permeability and low redox potential 	 Injection of a radioactive compound with long half-life (12.7 h) Specificity to hypoxia is questionable Spatial resolution

hypoxic and aerobic conditions, LET, cell survival end point, dose per fraction, particle species, tissue, and cell cycle phase. These variables are derived from in vitro survival data and may overestimate or underestimate the effects of hypoxia in vivo. Due to the complexity of dependencies, results of experimental data on OER measurements possess significant uncertainty. Improved understanding of the physical and chemical basis of the OER would add useful information on top of current empirical models. An accurate OER model is necessary to calculate doses necessary for RT dose escalation. Numerous mathematical OER models have been proposed, based on a range of experimental data from literature (Figure 1). However, the optimal mathematical function remains unknown, and estimation remains empirical. Once known, the model will be of invaluable aid to radiation oncologists in performing "hypoxia dose painting" in treatment planning for photon and ion beam RT.

Characterizing the heterogeneity of hypoxia necessitates tools with good temporal and spatial resolution to enable its eventual use in personalized medicine. Medical imaging is a promising tool, as it allows repeated noninvasive measurements to track both the temporal and spatial heterogeneity of tumor hypoxia. This is particularly relevant in RT, where constant technological advancements may permit treatment personalization based on the local ptO_2 . There are, however, numerous points that require validation before using imaging of hypoxia for radiation therapy guidance.

MAPPING OF HYPOXIA IN CLINICAL SITUATIONS: CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

Various approaches have been designed to assess hypoxia in tissues. The use of implantable probes or needles is still the gold standard for ptO_2 measurement (5). In a clinical environment, however, tissue ptO_2 cannot be mapped with probes (39), and biomedical imaging based on positron-emission tomography (PET) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) serves as a surrogate biomarker of hypoxia or of cerebral oxygenation (**Table 1**).

MRI Markers

MRI has the advantage of being nonionizing and can be used to quantify the blood oxygenation level in tissue (StO_2) (40). In particular, a BOLD-based MRI method for the measurement of relative oxygen extraction fraction (rOEF) showed that high rOEF was present in high-grade but not low-grade gliomas.

However, confounding factors such as cerebral blood volume (CBV), tissular T2, and contrast agent leakage need further investigation (41). Oxygen-enhanced MRI (OE-MRI) is likewise useful, based on the correlation between hypoxia and the variation in longitudinal relaxation rate ($\Delta R1$) during oxygen challenge (42). In a preclinical model of GBM, Fan et al. have shown that OE-MRI is able to show intratumoral hypoxic heterogeneity and present an interesting correlation of OE-MRI with hypoxia by histological staining (24). However, OE-MRI still has to be validated in other GBM models and in the clinical setting. Mapping of oxygen by imaging lipids relaxation enhancement (MOBILE) (25) has also been proposed and also needs validation. OE-MRI and MOBILE present the advantage of repeated measurements of oxygenation without the need for exogenous contrast agents. Recently, an original approach termed MR fingerprint has also been proposed, which simultaneously obtains data on CBV, mean vessel radius, and blood oxygen saturation and creates high-resolution parametric maps of the microvascular network of the brain (26).

PET Markers

PET can also be used to map the OEF with radioactive molecular oxygen $(^{15}O_2)$ as a tracer. It can also be used to estimate ptO₂ by mapping of tracers trapped in areas with low ptO₂. This approach is achieved with a variety of PET tracers based on an imidazole structure such as $3 \cdot [^{18}F]$ fluoro-1-(2-nitro-1-imidazolyl)-2-propanol ([^{18}F]-FMISO) (43, 44) and [^{18}F]-fluoroazomycin arabinoside ([^{18}F]-FAZA), the uptake of which depends on a ptO₂ threshold (45). After cell penetration by passive diffusion, these tracers are reduced in a two-step process, with the first step being reversed if oxygen is present and with the tracer becoming irreversibly trapped in the absence of oxygen.

It takes time to visualize hypoxic regions using $[^{18}\text{F}]$ -FMISO or $[^{18}\text{F}]$ -FAZA due to lipophilicity and slow clearance in normoxic tissues. More recently, 3- $[^{18}\text{F}]$ fluoro-2-(4-((2-nitro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)propan-1-ol ($[^{18}\text{F}]$ -HX4 or 18F-flortanidazole) (46) and 1-(2,2-dihydroxymethyl-3- $[^{18}\text{F}]$ fluoropropyl)-2-nitroimidazole ($[^{18}\text{F}]$ -DiFA) (47) have been developed as more hydrophilic tracers with the potential advantages of shorter acquisition times. However, formal validation in clinical situations is required.

Other radiopharmaceuticals have been described. Cu(II)diacetyl-bis(N4-methylthiosemicarbazone) (⁶⁴Cu-ATSM) seems to be a promising tracer for imaging hypoxia thanks to its high membrane permeability and low redox potential. However, the selectivity of Cu-ATSM to hypoxia has been challenged and discussed (48). See **Figure 2** for the chemical structures of the various PET tracers designed for hypoxia imaging.

ROBUSTNESS AND ACCURACY OF AVAILABLE TECHNIQUES TO ASSESS HYPOXIA IN THE BRAIN

MRI and PET biomarkers have the advantage of being available and regularly utilized in the clinics; however, in assessing



hypoxia imaging.

hypoxia, they have several limitations, which presently hinder routine clinical utilization for RT dose modulation (**Table 1**).

Limitation of MRI Markers

Mapping StO_2 or the OEF yields a continuous signal with high temporal and spatial resolutions, but the relationship to ptO_2 is indirect, and vascular changes indirectly reflect tissue changes. In particular, their relationship depends on the dissociation curve of hemoglobin, which itself depends on pH and temperature, among other factors. For example, a lower blood pH or a higher blood temperature would lead to a higher blood ptO_2 for the same blood oxygen saturation.

In addition, OE-MRI and MOBILE have to be validated in various GBM models and in the clinical setting. MR fingerprint has been validated in patients but only for a single slice; thus, further developments are necessary.

Limitation of PET Markers

Accessibility: One of the main drawbacks of the extensive use of PET tracers of hypoxia in oncology is that tracer production is cost-intensive and only available at selected centers, in part due to limited manufacturers.

Poor Spatial Resolution

As discussed in the review of Grimes et al. (49) the molecular effect of oxygen is in the range of nm to μ m, while PET resolution is about 3–4 mm. This raises various concerns about the interpretation of the PET results. It was shown that the PET signal would be similar between two voxels if 25% of a voxel



FIGURE 3 | The two main approaches of dose painting: by contour (DPBC) or by number (DPBN). For DPBC, added to the standard clinical dose level (in pink), the radiation oncologist manually delineates a uniform HTV (in black) corresponding to a subjective PET-uptake level threshold (dashed line). Note that both methods use PET images, but DPBN requires a mathematical data pre-processing step (*) that computes PET image into a "dose modulation map." When performed, dose painting allows RT dosimetric simulation for optimal dose escalation.

was anoxic (but viable) and the remainder well oxygenated, if the voxel was 50%/50% split between 1.4 mmHg and oxic, or if the whole voxel was at 4.2 mmHg (49).

Impact of Altered Blood Flow in Tracer Uptake

PET tracers are delivered to the hypoxic tumor cells via the bloodstream. However, GBM vascularization is highly perturbed, which could impact the tracer biodistribution, notably in anoxic areas without any functional vascularization where delivery of the tracer might not be achieved (50). This could result in a very low tracer uptake in highly hypoxic areas. Vessel permeability may also have an impact in tissue biodistribution if more hydrophilic tracers have to be used. Dynamic PET has been proposed as an alternative to address the issues of both tumor perfusion and hypoxia, but the increased duration of the examination is a limitation for its routine use.

Poor Temporal Resolution

The 109-min half-life of ¹⁸F is hardly ideal for examining temporal resolution. In general, the radioactive nature and short half-lives of PET tracers make it difficult to assess the evolution of hypoxia over hours or days. For instance, a study on head and neck cancers demonstrated that variability in spatial uptake can occur between repeated ¹⁸F-FMISO PET scans (51). These results could be either a reflection of the poor reproducibility of FMISO PET due to confounding influences (perfusion, permeability) or a reflection of cycling hypoxia.

Molecular oxygen, with its very short half-life, would in theory address the dynamic nature of tissue oxygenation. However, its access is limited to a few centers worldwide, and ¹⁵O has a poor intrinsic spatial resolution in comparison to ¹⁸F.

In summary, while being of major importance for tumor growth and resistance to treatment, the mapping and routine assessment of hypoxia remains a challenge. Among the various markers, [¹⁸F]-FMISO PET remains the most extensively studied and most accurate approach to map hypoxia in the clinical situation (52), but for brain tumors where PET imaging is not standard practice, MRI may provide surrogate biomarkers of oxygenation.

HYPOXIA FROM THE RADIATION ONCOLOGIST'S POINT OF VIEW

Hypoxia and the Dose Painting Concept

At present, the same radiation dose is delivered to all subregions of the tumor volume regardless of their individual biology and radiosensitivity. The RT concept of dose painting involves adapting the dose prescriptions for tumor subvolumes as a function of the tumor's heterogeneous biology. This could be done with functional imaging that maps different dose-response levels (53) over anatomic contours provided by morphological imagery, resulting in a "biological target volume" (BTV), where dose escalation could be applied. Hypoxia imaging could be used to provide the level of ptO₂ and, subsequently, the spatial distribution of potentially radioresistant regions (54). These hypoxic target volumes (HTVs) are given a higher dose to achieve better tumor control (54), taking care not to compromise normal tissue tolerance (55, 56). To counteract radioresistance associated with hypoxic tumors, radiation oncologists need accurate calculations of the biologically optimal RT doses. The technical feasibility of optimizing RT plans has been well documented, mostly in head and neck cancers (57, 58). A similar study has never been done in gliomas.

To define the HTV, there are two main approaches: dose painting by contour (DPBC) or by number (DPBN) based on PET images (**Figure 3**).

Dose Painting by Contour

Also called multilevel or subvolume boosting, DPBC defines the HTV by segmenting a volume based on an uptake threshold on hypoxia functional images. This approach delivers a uniform boost dose to hypoxic subvolumes (59). Pixels with intensities higher than a defined value are considered as potentially hypoxic volumes. The cutoff is based on an empirical uptake threshold relative to a well-oxygenated reference, such as tumor-to-muscle and tumor-to-blood ratios (>95% of normal tissue voxels had a tissue/blood ratio of \leq 1.2) or SUV>1.4 (60, 61).

DPBC is the most common approach in studies for several reasons. First, it is easier to integrate into conventional clinical workflows using commercial RT treatment planning systems (TPS). Second, it is easier to prescribe uniform dose boost regions. Lastly, it is more robust to spatial errors (62). In practice, dose escalation is achievable for the vast majority of



cases (63, 64). However, the absence of consensus on the most appropriate threshold cutoff, the fact that high values can be found outside the tumor, and disparate tracer characteristics (intrinsic biochemical, uptake, clearance, etc.) make this method clinically debatable.

Dose Painting by Numbers

DPBN is a voxel-by-voxel level dose prescription based on a relationship between the intensities of neighboring voxels integrated in a "dose modulation map." This is achieved through a mathematical transformation of the spatial distribution of hypoxia from noninvasive methods such as PET scans, named "ptO₂map." The ptO₂ and OER levels enable algorithms to compute the heterogeneous doses to be prescribed (65, 66).

Several attempts have been made to estimate ptO_2 and include OER in RT treatment planning. However, these methods are much more complex than DPBC and require specific algorithms and software for numerical processing steps (67). Some methods are proposed (68) but remain subject to discussion (69). Some authors consider a linear transformation of the image intensity into a prescribed dose (65, 67), whereas others assume a "dose redistribution" between hypoxic and normoxic pixels resulting in the same average dose as a conventional RT plan (58, 62, 70).

For head and neck tumors, Toma-Dasu et al. used a nonlinearity approach, which considers that the relationship between [¹⁸F]-FMISO uptake and ptO_2 follows a hyperbolic function (65). This equation was adapted for brain tumors and fine-tuned patient by patient using two healthy regions of interest for calibration of the model (68). This approach enables the computation of ptO_2 maps. However, once ptO_2 maps are calculated, dose modulation maps must also be computed. To do this, authors reported an equation that links dose modulation to ptO_2 by incorporating the OER effect (65). Another approach used was to compute an inverted dose prescription map that can be directly imported into the RT TPS without any modifications (71). To the best of our knowledge,

these dose modulation maps have never been proposed for brain tumors.

To conclude, the adaption in clinical practice of both DPBC and DPBN to address tumor hypoxia remains to be validated before becoming a clinical routine.

Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy

In GBM, the standard RT dose prescription is 60 Gray, in 1.8– 2 Gray daily fractions, administered 5 days per week for 6 weeks. However, radioresistance is almost constant, inevitably leading to subsequent tumor relapse (72). RT dose escalation is one of the avenues of research being explored to improve local control (73). Because GBMs are infiltrative, diffuse, and often diagnosed late, these usually require irradiation of large volumes encompassing normal brain tissue. Thus, increased doses may potentially lead to unacceptable radiation-induced toxicities (edema, inflammation, necrosis, etc.) and severe sequelae.

Several methods have been identified to overcome the doselimiting tolerance of the brain, especially in the era of constant technological medical advancements. The improved resolution of MRIs allows better visualization of the brain anatomy and, in consequence, a more accurate delineation of organs at risk (OARs). Furthermore, newer RT planning techniques such as intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) make dose painting feasible. Compared to 3-D conformational radiotherapy (3D-CRT), IMRT allows highly conformal dose distributions of X-rays in target volumes with low levels of radiation to the surrounding normal tissues (74) (**Figures 1–4**). Using IMRT, very steep dose gradients in tumor subvolumes without unacceptable increased doses to OARs are achievable (53, 63, 64, 75).

Boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) is another way to enhance the dose delivery in the tumor while preserving surrounding tissues. It can be done by boron administration into the tumor via the intravenous route or by perioperative intratumoral injection. BNCT relies on epithermal neutrons, which below 10 keV are not toxic to healthy tissues. Excellent spatial distribution is, however, critical due to their lack of spatial selectivity, with depth distribution profiles like photons but with a 3-fold biological efficacy, which can thus turn into a drawback if not targeted properly. Also, obtaining only a low energy spectrum of neutrons (below 10 keV to protect healthy tissues) can be quite challenging, and specific equipment has been designed that might only be adequate for superficial tumors (10 cm deep). Recent approaches suggest that proton and carbon ion beams could also be used to produce epithermal neutrons at the site of boron capture within the tumor (76–80). Thus, the need for specific neutron therapy machines, which are likely inadequate for the treatment of deep-seated tumors, might be surpassed by the use of proton and carbon ion accelerators. BCNT techniques are being investigated by a few teams worldwide, mostly in Japan and Sweden.

While being relevant from a radiobiological point of view, the concept of HIGRT has not entered clinical routine utilization, with some limiting factors being the difficulties tied to OER modeling, ptO_2 mapping, and evolution of hypoxia during the course of RT.

REOXYGENATION STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE RT EFFICACY

Reoxygenation During the Course of RT

The adaptation of RT based on hypoxia imaging also raises some questions about the evolution of hypoxia during the course of RT. Tumor reoxygenation is a phenomenon wherein cells that are hypoxic before RT become oxygenated during or after RT (81). For example, in head and neck cancers, it was recently published that during the course of RT, tumor hypoxia decreases (82). In this review, authors also discuss oxygenation in various tumor types, namely, lung, cervical, and rectal carcinomas. For these tumors, a decrease in hypoxia was likewise seen during RT. Thus, existing OER models do not incorporate variations of a tumor's radiosensitivity or reoxygenation during the course of treatment.

Rapid reoxygenation affects acutely hypoxic cells, while slow reoxygenation affects chronically hypoxic cells. These two processes may provide specific windows of opportunity. The RT fraction should be delivered when tumor reoxygenation is expected to be at its maximum so as to optimize the OER. Consequently, the HTV may not be spatially fixed over time, and a single pre-treatment PET may not be pertinent, especially for adaptive RT (83). PET scans may be repeated (over 5–7 days) to monitor hypoxia dynamics during RT (84). To this aim, numerous studies, mostly in head and neck cancers, have been published (82). Consistent with the reoxygenation model, results show that PET hypoxia uptake decreases during RT (82). Increasing PET uptake during RT has been correlated with locoregional failure (85–87); however, disappearance of hypoxia was not correlated with better prognosis (88, 89).

With regard to the reproducibility of intratumor uptake among repeated scans during RT, results are ambiguous, with a study reporting highly reproducible uptake (90) and another reporting high uptake variability (51). Nevertheless, repeat imaging during the course of treatment might improve measurements (83). It is clear that further work is required to understand the spatio-temporal intratumor distribution of radiotracers before and during RT.

External Reoxygenation Strategies

New radiosensitizing drugs and radio-enhancing nanoparticles may be delivered into the tumor to improve oxygenation. Among the radiosensitizers, some have been designed so as to overcome the effect of hypoxia by inducing reoxygenation of the tumor [reviewed in Graham and Unger (91)]. Of these, fluorochemicals can dissolve considerable amounts of oxygen and could be considered to deliver oxygen through passive diffusion in hypoxic regions. As an example, NVX-108 is a radiosensitizer composed of dodecafluoropentane (DDFP) exhibiting 200 times the oxygen carrying capacity compared to human hemoglobin (92), that demonstrated its promise in preclinical studies, with a clinical study ongoing for GBM.

Breathing of oxygen under normobaric or hyperbaric conditions has also been investigated. As discussed by Graham et al. hyperbaric oxygenation has an overall positive effect on RT but has not been adapted and remains to be validated as standard treatment. To further improve the reoxygenation, the use of carbogen has been proposed for GBM. However, overall results were unsatisfactory, and we recently demonstrated using advanced MRI that this failure was attributable to facilitated reoxygenation in the normal brain relative to the tumor (93).

In endogenous reoxygenation or external reoxygenation strategies, one can observe that hypoxia remains highly dynamic during the course of treatment. This reinforces the need for accurate imaging strategies that quantify temporal variations in tumor hypoxia to be able to adapt the RT regimen based on the hypoxic component of the tumor.

INNOVATIVE RADIATION THERAPY MODALITIES TO OVERCOME HYPOXIA-INDUCED RADIORESISTANCE IN GBM

The efficacy of photon-based RT critically depends on the presence of molecular oxygen. To achieve higher equivalent doses into the tumor, hadrontherapy such as proton therapy has also been proposed, advantageous due to its better spatial distribution and normal tissue sparing (and thus potential for accurate dose escalation). Carbon ion therapy is also a promising option, representing an increase in the biological efficacy of RT by a factor of 3 to 4 relative to photons, thus potentially overcoming radioresistance and achieving better tumor control while sparing healthy tissues.

Proton Therapy

The depth dose distribution of a proton beam, represented by the Bragg peak, can be used to reduce radiation exposure of healthy tissues beyond the tumor (94) (**Figure 4**). These properties are particularly relevant to pediatric malignancies and benign/low-grade intracranial tumors. However, GBMs are rapidly progressive, poorly limited tumors. Thus, proton therapy should be used carefully to avoid marginal misses, with careful monitoring of tumor volumes over the weeks of RT. The process of rescanning, and replanning if necessary, is called adaptive RT. Provided that such caution is employed, proton therapy may be used to perform dose escalation. Proton therapy has a relative biological effectiveness (RBE) relative to high-energy photons of 1.1. Thus, protons are 10% more biologically efficient than highenergy photons. Although the OER of protons is similar to that of photons, the increased RBE might partially counteract the radioresistance of hypoxic areas. A dosimetric study indicated that for a subpopulation of patients with GBM, at least 90 Gray RBE (Gy RBE) could be delivered to the tumor with proton therapy, with only small volumes of normal brain structures receiving more than 70 Gy RBE. In a phase I-II proton therapybased dose escalation study by Mizumoto et al. patients received photon-based RT or 250 MeV proton therapy (50.4 Gy RBE in 28 fractions) to a large tumor volume with a concomitant proton therapy boost (23.1 Gy RBE in 14 fractions) to MRI gadoliniumenhanced areas, which included hypoxic zones (95, 96). Overall, patients received a total dose of 96.6 Gy RBE in 56 fractions. The 1- and 2-year overall survival rates were 78% (95% CI, 61%-95%) and 43% (95% CI, 23%-63%), respectively, with a median survival of 21.0 months (range, 5.5-81.0 months; 95% CI, 16.1-25.9 months). This proof-of-concept study shows an overall survival gain of 6 months in comparison with historical series, but results have yet to be reproduced.

Carbon Ion Beam Irradiation

Carbon ions have, to an even higher degree, the spatial selectivity of protons and can exhibit a very high LET of ~100 keV/µm. Carbon ions are densely ionizing, releasing their energy in a constant and very close manner, contrary to photons or protons. They possess, physical doses being equal, a higher RBE (around 3), as they more likely interact with DNA and produce complex damage that is difficult or impossible to repair (97). This direct effect of carbon ions is less influenced by the presence of oxygen. OER values of hypoxic cells are, respectively, 1.5 for high-LET ions and 3.0 for X-rays. For a similar effect in hypoxic conditions, the dose needed for conventional RT is three times higher than in normoxic conditions, but such increase in dose is not achievable without compromising OAR dose limits. For carbon ions, the $1.5 \times$ increase needed is achievable. Preclinical studies have reported that accelerated heavy ion particles may have an advantage over X-rays in overcoming GBM radioresistance (98, 99). A phase I-II study combined 50 Gy X-ray RT with chemotherapy, followed by a carbon ion boost in the contrast enhancing region with doses from 16.8 to 24.8 Gray (RBE) (100). For the 32 GBM patients included, the median survival time was 17 months and reached 26 months for the high-dose group, with dose escalation having a significant impact. In line with these results, the randomized CLEOPATRA trial compares low- and high-LET irradiation in GBM patients (101).

Spatial Fractionation, Hypofractionation, and Flash Dose

Alternative approaches also include modulation of radiation delivery to deliver tumoricidal doses to large volumes, using

REFERENCES

 Simpson-Herren L, Lloyd HH. Kinetic parameters and growth curves for experimental tumor systems. *Cancer Chemother. Rep.* (1970) 54:143–74. adaptations that allow an enhanced differential effect between normal tissues and the tumor. Spatial fractionation has been identified as a promising approach to such aim. This is particularly relevant to GBMs because of the large volumes irradiated and the radiosensitivity of the brain (102–104). Specific devices are being designed and adapted on various types of treatment machines using different radiation modalities, including synchrotron radiation, very-high-energy electrons, and proton beams (either double scattering with a grid or with modified pencil beam scanning).

Hypofractionation has been originally defined as the use of doses above 2.5 Gy per fraction. However, the concept of hypofractionation has now been extended to very high doses per fraction using photon-based stereotactic irradiation. Fraction doses commonly use 3 times 20 Gy (in lung cancers) but may even use 90 Gy in a single fraction for conditions such as trigeminal neuralgia. An extension of the concept is a flash (ultrahigh) dose that combines hypofractionation with a very high dose rate (105, 106). Animal models have consistently shown excellent skin sparing and tumor response equivalent to standard regimens (107, 108).

CONCLUSIONS

It is widely accepted that hypoxia is a poor prognostic factor in GBM. Among the key effects of hypoxia, radioresistance is a promising and potentially actionable factor. Imaging offers the opportunity to map tumor hypoxia or oxygenation before and during the course of RT and consequently opens an avenue for treatment adaptation. These adaptations can be by modulating doses based on ptO_2 and OER measurements, by introducing reoxygenation strategies in combination with conventional RT, or by adapting the RT techniques. All these developments require accurate characterization of hypoxia. In this review, we argue that while various strategies are being developed, at present, PET remains the most relevant strategy with the most evidence.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work has been supported by the French national agency for research Investissements d'Avenir via grant Labex IRON n° ANR-11-LABX-0018-01 and Institut National du Cancer (INCa).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Elmostafa Fadaili, Nicolas Pirault, and Yann Lauzin for their technical help.

- Norton L, Simon R, Brereton HD, Bogden AE. Predicting the course of Gompertzian growth. *Nature*. (1976) 264:542–5. doi: 10.1038/264542a0
- 3. Pouysségur J, Dayan F, Mazure NM. Hypoxia signalling in cancer and approaches to enforce tumour

regression. Nature. (2006) 441:437-43. doi: 10.1038/nature 04871

- Leblond MM, Gérault AN, Corroyer-Dulmont A, MacKenzie ET, Petit E, Bernaudin M, et al. Hypoxia induces macrophage polarization and reeducation toward an M2 phenotype in U87 and U251 glioblastoma models. Oncoimmunology. (2016) 5:e1056442. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2015.1056442
- Corroyer-Dulmont A, Chakhoyan A, Collet S, Durand L, MacKenzie ET, Petit E, et al. Imaging modalities to assess oxygen status in glioblastoma. *Front Med.* (2015) 2:57. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2015.00057
- Evans SM, Jenkins KW, Chen HI, Jenkins WT, Judy KD, Hwang W-T, et al. The relationship among hypoxia, proliferation, and outcome in patients with de novo glioblastoma: a pilot study. *Transl Oncol.* (2010) 3:160–9. doi: 10.1593/tlo.09265
- Spence AM, Muzi M, Swanson KR, O'Sullivan F, Rockhill JK, Rajendran JG, et al. Regional hypoxia in glioblastoma multiforme quantified with [18F]fluoromisonidazole positron emission tomography before radiotherapy: correlation with time to progression and survival. *Clin. Cancer Res.* (2008) 14:2623–30. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4995
- Gerstner E, Zhang Z, Fink J, Muzi M, Hanna L, Greco E, et al. ACRIN 6684: Assessment of tumor hypoxia in newly diagnosed GBM using 18F-FMISO PET and MRI. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2016). doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2529
- Rampling R, Cruickshank G, Lewis AD, Fitzsimmons SA, Workman P. Direct measurement of pO2 distribution and bioreductive enzymes in human malignant brain tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (1994) 29:427– 31. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(94)90432-4
- Collingridge DR, Piepmeier JM, Rockwell S, Knisely JP. Polarographic measurements of oxygen tension in human glioma and surrounding peritumoural brain tissue. *Radiother Oncol.* (1999) 53:127–31. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8140(99)00121-8
- Popple RA, Ove R, Shen S. Tumor control probability for selective boosting of hypoxic subvolumes, including the effect of reoxygenation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2002) 54:921–7. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(02)03007-9
- Horsman MR, Overgaard J. The impact of hypoxia and its modification of the outcome of radiotherapy. J Radiat Res. (2016) 57(Suppl. 1):i90–8. doi: 10.1093/jrr/rrw007
- Gray LH, Conger AD, Ebert M, Hornsey S, Scott OC. The concentration of oxygen dissolved in tissues at the time of irradiation as a factor in radiotherapy. *Br J Radiol.* (1953) 26:638–48. doi: 10.1259/0007-1285-26-312-638
- 14. Rohwer N, Cramer T. Hypoxia-mediated drug resistance: novel insights on the functional interaction of HIFs and cell death pathways. *Drug Resist Updat.* (2011) 14:191-201. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2011.03.001
- Harris AL. Hypoxia—a key regulatory factor in tumour growth. Nat Rev Cancer. (2002) 2:38–47. doi: 10.1038/nrc704
- Dische S. Chemical sensitizers for hypoxic cells: a decade of experience in clinical radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol.* (1985) 3:97–115. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8140(85)80015-3
- Ostergaard L, Tietze A, Nielsen T, Drasbek KR, Mouridsen K, Jespersen SN, et al. The relationship between tumor blood flow, angiogenesis, tumor hypoxia, and aerobic glycolysis. *Cancer Res.* (2013) 73:5618–24. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-0964
- Dewhirst MW, Cao Y, Moeller B. Cycling hypoxia and free radicals regulate angiogenesis and radiotherapy response. *Nat Rev Cancer.* (2008) 8:425–37. doi: 10.1038/nrc2397
- Bristow RG, Hill RP. Hypoxia and metabolism. Hypoxia, DNA repair and genetic instability. Nat Rev Cancer. (2008) 8:180–92. doi: 10.1038/nrc2344
- Dewhirst MW. Relationships between cycling hypoxia, HIF-1, angiogenesis and oxidative stress. *Radiat Res.* (2009) 172:653–65. doi: 10.1667/RR 1926.1
- Trotter MJ, Chaplin DJ, Olive PL. Effect of angiotensin II on intermittent tumour blood flow and acute hypoxia in the murine SCCVII carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer*. (1991) 27:887–93. doi: 10.1016/0277-5379(91)90140-9
- 22. Chaplin DJ, Olive PL, Durand RE. Intermittent blood flow in a murine tumor: radiobiological effects. *Cancer Res.* (1987) 47:597–601.
- 23. Howard-Flanders P, Moore D. The time interval after pulsed irradiation within which injury to bacteria can be modified by dissolved oxygen. I. A search for an effect of oxygen 0.02 second after pulsed irradiation. *Radiat Res.* (1958) 9:422–37. doi: 10.2307/3570768

- 24. Fan Q, Tang CY, Gu D, Zhu J, Li G, Wu Y, et al. Investigation of hypoxia conditions using oxygen-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging measurements in glioma models. *Oncotarget*. (2017) 8:31864–75. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16256
- Colliez F, Neveu M-A, Magat J, Cao Pham TT, Gallez B, Jordan BF. Qualification of a noninvasive magnetic resonance imaging biomarker to assess tumor oxygenation. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2014) 20:5403–11. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3434
- Christen T, Pannetier NA, Ni WW, Qiu D, Moseley ME, Schuff N, et al. MR vascular fingerprinting: a new approach to compute cerebral blood volume, mean vessel radius, and oxygenation maps in the human brain. *Neuroimage*. (2014) 89:262–70. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.052
- Zhang H, Koch CJ, Wallen CA, Wheeler KT. Radiation-induced DNA damage in tumors and normal tissues. III. Oxygen dependence of the formation of strand breaks and DNA-protein crosslinks. *Radiat Res.* (1995) 142:163–8. doi: 10.2307/3579024
- Alper T, Howard-Flanders P. Role of oxygen in modifying the radiosensitivity of *E. coli* B. *Nature*. (1956) 178:978–9. doi: 10.1038/178978a0
- Tinganelli W, Durante M, Hirayama R, Krämer M, Maier A, Kraft-Weyrather W, et al. Kill-painting of hypoxic tumours in charged particle therapy. *Sci Rep.* (2015) 5:17016. doi: 10.1038/srep17016
- Wouters BG, Brown JM. Cells at intermediate oxygen levels can be more important than the "hypoxic fraction" in determining tumor response to fractionated radiotherapy. *Radiat Res.* (1997) 147:541–50. doi: 10.2307/3579620
- Freyer JP, Jarrett K, Carpenter S, Raju MR. Oxygen enhancement ratio as a function of dose and cell cycle phase for radiation-resistant and sensitive CHO cells. *Radiat Res.* (1991) 127:297–307. doi: 10.2307/3577945
- Furusawa Y, Fukutsu K, Aoki M, Itsukaichi H, Eguchi-Kasai K, Ohara H, et al. Inactivation of aerobic and hypoxic cells from three different cell lines by accelerated (3)He-, (12)C- and (20)Ne-ion beams. *Radiat Res.* (2000) 154:485–96. doi: 10.1667/0033-7587(2000)154[0485:IOAAHC]2.0.CO;2
- Schlaff CD, Krauze A, Belard A, O'Connell JJ, Camphausen KA. Bringing the heavy: carbon ion therapy in the radiobiological and clinical context. *Radiat Oncol.* (2014) 9:88. doi: 10.1186/1748-717X-9-88
- Wenzl T, Wilkens JJ. Modelling of the oxygen enhancement ratio for ion beam radiation therapy. *Phys Med Biol.* (2011) 56:3251–68. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/56/11/006
- Alper T, Bryant PE. Reduction in oxygen enhancement ratio with increase in LET: tests of two hypotheses. *Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med.* (1974) 26:203–18. doi: 10.1080/09553007414551171
- Baverstock KF, Burns WG. Primary production of oxygen from irradiated water as an explanation for decreased radiobiological oxygen enhancement at high LET. *Nature*. (1976) 260:316–8. doi: 10.1038/260316a0
- Michael BD, Prise KM. A multiple-radical model for radiation action on DNA and the dependence of OER on LET. *Int J Radiat Biol.* (1996) 69:351–8. doi: 10.1080/095530096145913
- Meesungnoen J, Jay-Gerin J-P. High-LET ion radiolysis of water: oxygen production in tracks. *Radiat Res.* (2009) 171:379–86. doi: 10.1667/RR1468.1
- 39. Valable S, Corroyer-Dulmont A, Chakhoyan A, Durand L, Toutain J, Divoux D, et al. Imaging of brain oxygenation with magnetic resonance imaging: a validation with positron emission tomography in the healthy and tumoural brain. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. (2017) 37:2584–97. doi: 10.1177/0271678X16671965
- Christen T, Bouzat P, Pannetier N, Coquery N, Moisan A, Lemasson B, et al. Tissue oxygen saturation mapping with magnetic resonance imaging. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (2014) 34:1550–7. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2014.116
- Tóth V, Förschler A, Hirsch NM, den Hollander J, Kooijman H, Gempt J, et al. MR-based hypoxia measures in human glioma. *J Neurooncol.* (2013) 115:197–207. doi: 10.1007/s11060-013-1210-7
- O'Connor JPB, Boult JKR, Jamin Y, Babur M, Finegan KG, Williams KJ, et al. Oxygen-enhanced MRI accurately identifies, quantifies, and maps tumor hypoxia in preclinical cancer models. *Cancer Res.* (2016) 76:787–95. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2062
- Corroyer-Dulmont A, Pérès EA, Petit E, Durand L, Marteau L, Toutain J, et al. Noninvasive assessment of hypoxia with 3-[18F]-fluoro-1-(2-nitro-1-imidazolyl)-2-propanol ([18F]-FMISO): a PET study in two experimental models of human glioma. *Biol Chem.* (2013) 394:529–39. doi: 10.1515/hsz-2012-0318

- Lee ST, Scott AM. Hypoxia positron emission tomography imaging with 18f-fluoromisonidazole. Semin Nucl Med. (2007) 37:451–61. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2007.07.001
- 45. Tran L-B-A, Bol A, Labar D, Jordan B, Magat J, Mignion L, et al. Hypoxia imaging with the nitroimidazole 18F-FAZA PET tracer: a comparison with OxyLite, EPR oximetry and 19F-MRI relaxometry. *Radiother Oncol.* (2012) 105:29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2012.04.011
- 46. Wack LJ, Mönnich D, van Elmpt W, Zegers CML, Troost EGC, Zips D, et al. Comparison of [18F]-FMISO, [18F]-FAZA and [18F]-HX4 for PET imaging of hypoxia—a simulation study. *Acta Oncol.* (2015) 54:1370–7. doi: 10.3109/0284186X.2015.1067721
- Shimizu Y, Zhao S, Yasui H, Nishijima K-I, Matsumoto H, Shiga T, et al. A novel PET probe "[18F]DiFA" accumulates in hypoxic region via glutathione conjugation following reductive metabolism. *Mol Imaging Biol.* (2018) 21:122–9. doi: 10.1007/s11307-018-1214-y
- Colombié M, Gouard S, Frindel M, Vidal A, Chérel M, Kraeber-Bodéré F, et al. Focus on the controversial aspects of (64)Cu-ATSM in tumoral hypoxia mapping by PET imaging. *Front Med.* (2015) 2:58. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2015.00058
- Grimes DR, Warren DR, Warren S. Hypoxia imaging and radiotherapy: bridging the resolution gap. Br J Radiol. (2017) 90:20160939. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20160939
- Marcu LG, Moghaddasi L, Bezak E. Imaging of tumor characteristics and molecular pathways with PET: developments over the last decade toward personalized cancer therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2018) 102:1165– 82. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.04.055
- Nehmeh SA, Lee NY, Schröder H, Squire O, Zanzonico PB, Erdi YE, et al. Reproducibility of intratumor distribution of (18)F-fluoromisonidazole in head and neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2008) 70:235–42. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.08.036
- Inubushi M, Tatsumi M, Yamamoto Y, Kato K, Tsujikawa T, Nishii R. European research trends in nuclear medicine. Ann Nucl Med. (2018) 32:579–82. doi: 10.1007/s12149-018-1303-7
- Ling CC, Humm J, Larson S, Amols H, Fuks Z, Leibel S, et al. Towards multidimensional radiotherapy (MD-CRT): biological imaging and biological conformality. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2000) 47:551–60. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(00)00467-3
- Bentzen SM, Gregoire V. Molecular-imaging–based dose painting—a novel paradigm for radiation therapy prescription. *Semin Radiat Oncol.* (2011) 21:101–10. doi: 10.1016/j.semradonc.2010.10.001
- 55. Chang JH, Wada M, Anderson NJ, Lim Joon D, Lee ST, Gong SJ, et al. Hypoxia-targeted radiotherapy dose painting for head and neck cancer using (18)F-FMISO PET: a biological modeling study. *Acta Oncol.* (2013) 52:1723–9. doi: 10.3109/0284186X.2012.759273
- Hendrickson K, Phillips M, Smith W, Peterson L, Krohn K, Rajendran J. Hypoxia imaging with [F-18] FMISO-PET in head and neck cancer: potential for guiding intensity modulated radiation therapy in overcoming hypoxia-induced treatment resistance. *Radiother Oncol.* (2011) 101:369–75. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2011.07.029
- 57. Søvik A, Malinen E, Skogmo HK, Bentzen SM, Bruland OS, Olsen DR. Radiotherapy adapted to spatial and temporal variability in tumor hypoxia. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2007) 68:1496–504. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.04.027
- Flynn RT, Bowen SR, Bentzen SM, Mackie TR, Jeraj R. Intensity modulated x-ray (IMXT) vs. proton (IMPT) therapy for theragnostic hypoxia-based dose painting. *Phys Med Biol.* (2008) 53:4153–67. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/53/15/010
- Bentzen SM. Theragnostic imaging for radiation oncology: dose-painting by numbers. *Lancet Oncol.* (2005) 6:112–7. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(05)01737-7
- Zegers CML, van Elmpt W, Reymen B, Even AJG, Troost EGC, Ollers MC, et al. *In vivo* quantification of hypoxic and metabolic status of NSCLC tumors using [18F]HX4 and [18F]FDG-PET/CT imaging. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2014) 20:6389–97. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1524
- Thureau S, Dubray B, Modzelewski R, Bohn P, Hapdey S, Vincent S, et al. FDG and FMISO PET-guided dose escalation with intensity-modulated radiotherapy in lung cancer. *Radiat Oncol.* (2018) 13:208. doi: 10.1186/s13014-018-1147-2

- Malinen E, Søvik A, Hristov D, Bruland ØS, Olsen DR. Adapting radiotherapy to hypoxic tumours. *Phys Med Biol.* (2006) 51:4903–21. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/51/19/012
- 63. Choi W, Lee S, Park SH, Ryu JS, Oh SJ, Im KC, et al. Planning study for available dose of hypoxic tumor volume using fluorine-18– labeled fluoromisonidazole positron emission tomography for treatment of the head and neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* (2010) 97:176–82. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2010.04.012
- 64. Lee NY, Mechalakos JG, Nehmeh S, Lin Z, Squire OD, Cai S, et al. Fluorine-18–labeled fluoromisonidazole positron emission and computed tomography-guided intensity-modulated radiotherapy for head and neck cancer: a feasibility study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2008) 70:2–13. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.06.039
- Toma-Dasu I, Uhrdin J, Antonovic L, Dasu A, Nuyts S, Dirix P, et al. Dose prescription and treatment planning based on FMISO-PET hypoxia. Acta Oncol. (2012) 51:222–30. doi: 10.3109/0284186X.2011.599815
- Powathil G, Kohandel M, Milosevic M, Sivaloganathan S. Modeling the spatial distribution of chronic tumor hypoxia: implications for experimental and clinical studies. *Comput Math Methods Med.* (2012) 2012:410602. doi: 10.1155/2012/410602
- Alber M, Paulsen F, Eschmann SM, Machulla HJ. On biologically conformal boost dose optimization. *Phys Med Biol.* (2003) 48:N31–35. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/48/2/404
- Chakhoyan A, Guillamo J-S, Collet S, Kauffmann F, Delcroix N, Lechapt-Zalcman E, et al. FMISO-PET-derived brain oxygen tension maps: application to glioblastoma and less aggressive gliomas. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:10210. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-08646-y
- 69. Lindblom E, Dasu A, Uhrdin J, Even A, van Elmpt W, Lambin P, et al. Defining the hypoxic target volume based on positron emission tomography for image guided radiotherapy—the influence of the choice of the reference region and conversion function. *Acta Oncol.* (2017) 56:819–25. doi: 10.1080/0284186X.2017.1293289
- Søvik A, Malinen E, Bruland ØS, Bentzen SM, Olsen DR. Optimization of tumour control probability in hypoxic tumours by radiation dose redistribution: a modelling study. *Phys Med Biol.* (2007) 52:499–513. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/52/2/013
- Arnesen MR, Knudtsen IS, Rekstad BL, Eilertsen K, Dale E, Bruheim K, et al. Dose painting by numbers in a standard treatment planning system using inverted dose prescription maps. *Acta Oncol.* (2015) 54:1607–13. doi: 10.3109/0284186X.2015.1061690
- Wilson TA, Karajannis MA, Harter DH. Glioblastoma multiforme: state of the art and future therapeutics. *Surg Neurol Int.* (2014) 5:64. doi: 10.4103/2152-7806.132138
- Badiyan SN, Markovina S, Simpson JR, Robinson CG, DeWees T, Tran DD, et al. Radiation therapy dose escalation for glioblastoma multiforme in the era of temozolomide. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2014) 90:877–85. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.07.014
- Connell PP, Hellman S. Advances in radiotherapy and implications for the next century: a historical perspective. *Cancer Res.* (2009) 69:383–92. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6871
- Chao KS, Bosch WR, Mutic S, Lewis JS, Dehdashti F, Mintun MA, et al. A novel approach to overcome hypoxic tumor resistance: Cu-ATSM-guided intensity-modulated radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2001) 49:1171–82. doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(00) 01433-4
- Sato T, Masunaga S-I, Kumada H, Hamada N. Depth distributions of RBEweighted dose and photon-isoeffective dose for boron neutron capture therapy. *Radiat Prot Dosim.* (2018) 183:247–50. doi: 10.1093/rpd/ncy235
- Safavi-Naeini M, Chacon A, Guatelli S, Franklin DR, Bambery K, Gregoire M-C, et al. Opportunistic dose amplification for proton and carbon ion therapy via capture of internally generated thermal neutrons. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:16257. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-34643-w
- Zaidi L, Belgaid M, Taskaev S, Khelifi R. Beam shaping assembly design of 7Li(p,n)7Be neutron source for boron neutron capture therapy of deep-seated tumor. *Appl Radiat Isot.* (2018) 139:316–24. doi: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2018.05.029
- 79. Cirrone GAP, Manti L, Margarone D, Petringa G, Giuffrida L, Minopoli A, et al. First experimental proof of proton boron capture therapy

(PBCT) to enhance protontherapy effectiveness. Sci Rep. (2018) 8:1141. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-19258-5

- Jung J-Y, Yoon D-K, Barraclough B, Lee HC, Suh TS, Lu B. Comparison between proton boron fusion therapy (PBFT) and boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT): a Monte Carlo study. *Oncotarget.* (2017) 8:39774–81. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.15700
- Kallman RF. The phenomenon of reoxygenation and its implications for fractionated radiotherapy. *Radiology*. (1972) 105:135–42. doi: 10.1148/105.1.135
- Stieb S, Eleftheriou A, Warnock G, Guckenberger M, Riesterer O. Longitudinal PET imaging of tumor hypoxia during the course of radiotherapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2018) 45:2201-17. doi: 10.1007/s00259-018-4116-y
- Thorwarth D, Eschmann S-M, Paulsen F, Alber M. A model of reoxygenation dynamics of head-and-neck tumors based on serial 18F-fluoromisonidazole positron emission tomography investigations. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2007) 68:515–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.12.037
- Fleming IN, Manavaki R, Blower PJ, West C, Williams KJ, Harris AL, et al. Imaging tumour hypoxia with positron emission tomography. *Br J Cancer*. (2015) 112:238–50. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2014.610
- 85. Zips D, Zöphel K, Abolmaali N, Perrin R, Abramyuk A, Haase R, et al. Exploratory prospective trial of hypoxia-specific PET imaging during radiochemotherapy in patients with locally advanced head-and-neck cancer. *Radiother Oncol.* (2012) 105:21–8. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2012.08.019
- 86. Löck S, Perrin R, Seidlitz A, Bandurska-Luque A, Zschaeck S, Zöphel K, et al. Residual tumour hypoxia in head-and-neck cancer patients undergoing primary radiochemotherapy, final results of a prospective trial on repeat FMISO-PET imaging. *Radiother Oncol.* (2017) 124:533–40. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2017.08.010
- 87. Dirix P, Vandecaveye V, De Keyzer F, Stroobants S, Hermans R, Nuyts S. Dose painting in radiotherapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: value of repeated functional imaging with (18)F-FDG PET, (18)F-fluoromisonidazole PET, diffusion-weighted MRI, and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. J Nucl Med. (2009) 50:1020–7. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.109.062638
- Kikuchi M, Koyasu S, Shinohara S, Usami Y, Imai Y, Hino M, et al. Prognostic value of pretreatment 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/CT volume-based parameters in patients with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma with known p16 and p53 status. *Head Neck*. (2015) 37:1524–31. doi: 10.1002/hed.23784
- Eschmann S-M, Paulsen F, Reimold M, Dittmann H, Welz S, Reischl G, et al. Prognostic impact of hypoxia imaging with 18F-misonidazole PET in nonsmall cell lung cancer and head and neck cancer before radiotherapy. J Nucl Med. (2005) 46:253–60.
- Okamoto S, Shiga T, Yasuda K, Ito YM, Magota K, Kasai K, et al. High reproducibility of tumor hypoxia evaluated by 18F-fluoromisonidazole PET for head and neck cancer. J Nucl Med. (2013) 54:201–7. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.112.109330
- Graham K, Unger E. Overcoming tumor hypoxia as a barrier to radiotherapy, chemotherapy and immunotherapy in cancer treatment. *Int J Nanomed.* (2018) 13:6049–58. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S140462
- Johnson JLH, Dolezal MC, Kerschen A, Matsunaga TO, Unger EC. *In vitro* comparison of dodecafluoropentane (DDFP), perfluorodecalin (PFD), and perfluoroctylbromide (PFOB) in the facilitation of oxygen exchange. *Artif Cells Blood Substit Immobil Biotechnol.* (2009) 37:156–62. doi: 10.1080/10731190903043192
- 93. Chakhoyan A, Corroyer-Dulmont A, Leblond MM, Gérault A, Toutain J, Chazaviel L, et al. Carbogen-induced increases in tumor oxygenation depend on the vascular status of the tumor: A multiparametric MRI study in two rat glioblastoma models. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (2017) 37:2270–82. doi: 10.1177/0271678X16663947
- 94. Lesueur P, Calugaru V, Nauraye C, Stefan D, Cao K, Emery E, et al. Proton therapy for treatment of intracranial benign tumors in adults: a systematic review. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2019) 72:56–64. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.11.004
- 95. Mizumoto M, Tsuboi K, Igaki H, Yamamoto T, Takano S, Oshiro Y, et al. Phase I/II trial of hyperfractionated concomitant boost proton radiotherapy

for supratentorial glioblastoma multiforme. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. (2010) 77:98–105. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.04.054

- 96. Mizumoto M, Yamamoto T, Takano S, Ishikawa E, Matsumura A, Ishikawa H, et al. Long-term survival after treatment of glioblastoma multiforme with hyperfractionated concomitant boost proton beam therapy. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* (2015) 5:e9–16. doi: 10.1016/j.prro.2014.03.012
- Orecchia R, Krengli M, Jereczek-Fossa BA, Franzetti S, Gerard JP. Clinical and research validity of hadrontherapy with ion beams. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* (2004) 51:81–90. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2004.04.005
- Takahashi M, Hirakawa H, Yajima H, Izumi-Nakajima N, Okayasu R, Fujimori A. Carbon ion beam is more effective to induce cell death in sphere-type A172 human glioblastoma cells compared with X-rays. *Int J Radiat Biol.* (2014) 90:1125–32. doi: 10.3109/09553002.2014.927933
- 99. Combs SE, Zipp L, Rieken S, Habermehl D, Brons S, Winter M, et al. *In vitro* evaluation of photon and carbon ion radiotherapy in combination with chemotherapy in glioblastoma cells. *Radiat Oncol.* (2012) 7:9. doi: 10.1186/1748-717X-7-9
- 100. Mizoe J-E, Tsujii H, Hasegawa A, Yanagi T, Takagi R, Kamada T, et al. Phase I/II clinical trial of carbon ion radiotherapy for malignant gliomas: combined X-ray radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and carbon ion radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2007) 69:390–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.03.003
- 101. Combs SE, Kieser M, Rieken S, Habermehl D, Jäkel O, Haberer T, et al. Randomized phase II study evaluating a carbon ion boost applied after combined radiochemotherapy with temozolomide versus a proton boost after radiochemotherapy with temozolomide in patients with primary glioblastoma: the CLEOPATRA trial. *BMC Cancer*. (2010) 10:478. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-10-478
- 102. Prezado Y, Jouvion G, Hardy D, Patriarca A, Nauraye C, Bergs J, et al. Proton minibeam radiation therapy spares normal rat brain: long-term clinical, radiological and histopathological analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:14403. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-14786-y
- 103. Prezado Y, Fois G, Le Duc G, Bravin A. Gadolinium dose enhancement studies in microbeam radiation therapy. *Med Phys.* (2009) 36:3568–74. doi: 10.1118/1.3166186
- 104. Bräuer-Krisch E, Serduc R, Siegbahn EA, Le Duc G, Prezado Y, Bravin A, et al. Effects of pulsed, spatially fractionated, microscopic synchrotron X-ray beams on normal and tumoral brain tissue. *Mutat Res.* (2010) 704:160–6. doi: 10.1016/j.mrrev.2009.12.003
- 105. Patriarca A, Fouillade C, Auger M, Martin F, Pouzoulet F, Nauraye C, et al. Experimental set-up for FLASH proton irradiation of small animals using a clinical system. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2018) 102:619–26. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.06.403
- 106. Durante M, Bräuer-Krisch E, Hill M. Faster and safer? FLASH ultrahigh dose rate in radiotherapy. Br J Radiol. (2018) 91:20170628. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20170628
- 107. Vozenin M-C, De Fornel P, Petersson K, Favaudon V, Jaccard M, Germond J-F, et al. The advantage of FLASH radiotherapy confirmed in mini-pig and cat-cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25:35–42. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3375
- Montay-Gruel P, Petersson K, Jaccard M, Boivin G, Germond J-F, Petit B, et al. Irradiation in a flash: unique sparing of memory in mice after whole brain irradiation with dose rates above 100Gy/s. *Radiother Oncol.* (2017) 124:365–9. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2017.05.003

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Gérard, Corroyer-Dulmont, Lesueur, Collet, Chérel, Bourgeois, Stefan, Limkin, Perrio, Guillamo, Dubray, Bernaudin, Thariat and Valable. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.